

Design A Smart Model For Optimal Location And Rating Of Dg With Improved Biogeography For Loss Minimization In Distributed Networks.

Dr. PRABODH KHAMPARIYA¹, MADHU VALAVALA²

¹Research Guide, Dept. of ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Sri Satya Sai University of Technology and Medical Sciences,

Sehore Bhopal-Indore Road, Madhya Pradesh, India.

²Research Scholar, Dept. of ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Sri Satya Sai University of Technology and Medical Sciences,

Sehore Bhopal-Indore Road, Madhya Pradesh, India.

Abstract

This research has put together a list of the most cutting-edge methods that are currently used to figure out where and how many DG units should be added to an existing network's infrastructure. The study was done by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL). Methods for finding answers include load-flow-based techniques, numerical methods, analytical approaches, evolutionary algorithms (GA, PSO, etc.), and many others. These methods are used to figure out how many DG units and what size they should be. Before deciding where to put DG units, it may be necessary to look at multiple objective functions and a large number of constraints. The most common target functions are to reduce real loss, get rid of reactive power loss, and improve the voltage profile. Lastly, instead of the time-consuming and wasteful numerical and analytical methods, a smart model for optimal positioning and rating of DG with enhanced biogeography for minimising loss in distributed networks is given. The idea behind this was to reduce the amount of data that gets lost in systems with many parts.

Keywords: Distributed Networks , Loss Minimization , IMPROVED BIOGEOGRAPHY

1. Introduction

If DGs are added to the distribution network, the reliability of the utility system can be improved, the voltage can be stabilised, the power quality can be improved, losses can be

reduced, and the installation of new or upgraded transmission and distribution equipment can be put off [1]. But adding DG to the distribution system could be good or bad, depending on how DG works and how the distribution network works. DG can be good for a system, as long as the way the system works and the way the feeders are made allow it]. But if DG is added to a distribution system, the amount of harmonic distortion could go up depending on the type of DG unit used and the technology of the power converter. DGs can be put into one of two groups based on whether or not they have an inverter, which is a device that changes direct current to alternating current [3, 4]. Power converters are used to connect distributed generation (DG) sources like solar panels, wind turbine generators, fuel cells, and microturbines to the grid. On the other hand, small hydro synchronous generators, induction generators, and different types of induction generators are all examples of DG units that do not use inverters. Most people know that DGs should be put in the electric grid's distribution system and should be placed close to the load centre. Before connecting DG to the distribution system, it is standard practise to test the device on its own to see how it affects power losses, voltage profile, short circuit current, harmonic distortion, and power system reliability. Before DG is hooked up to the distribution system, these tests are done. How much someone can benefit from DG depends a lot on how well they are positioned. The results of the investigation show that about 13% of the power that is lost is due to the level of distribution. [5] Another problem that comes up in the distribution system is the voltage profile. As the number of loads goes up, the voltage profile along distribution feeders tends to drop below the acceptable operating limits. This is bad because it can cause the power to go out. This is because the infrastructure of the electricity distribution system needs to be updated right away to keep up with the rising demand for electricity [6]. Before adding DG to power systems, proper planning must be done to cut down on the amount of power that is lost and to improve both the voltage profile and the THDv. In this case, it's important to think about the type of network connection, the technology that will be used, the number of units that will be used and how big they are, as well as where they should be placed to get the best results. Right now, it's important to think about where to put DGs and how big they should be. When distributed generation (DG) units are put in less-than-ideal places and aren't the right size, there could be more power loss, problems with the quality of the power, instability in the system, and rising operational costs [7-8]. By using optimization methods, one can figure out how to run a certain distribution network in the most efficient way. Distribution generator (DG) allocation and scaling can be done in different ways in an electric power

system, depending on the situation. The power flow algorithm [7, 9] can be used to estimate the best size of the DG unit to be installed at each load bus, assuming that each load bus has room for a DG unit. This strategy isn't very good because it requires a lot of calculations about how the load flows. Optimization methods are another way to figure out where to put DG and how big it should be. The genetic algorithm is a type of this type of method (GA). GA gives great answers when it is used in situations with multiple goals, like the distribution of DG. The GA, on the other hand, has a very slow convergence rate and takes a lot of time to compute. DG can be spread out in either radial or mesh-based systems using analytical methods [12]. When using this strategy, you need to use different words for radial network systems and meshed network systems. Also, the location problem can be solved by using complicated methods that are based on phasor current. But this method can only make the position work best within the limits of a certain DG size. Standard practise is to use both sensitivity analysis (SA) [5] and several other heuristic algorithm techniques to get to the same end result. A heuristic method is used to figure out the size of the DG, and a spatial analysis method is used to figure out where the DG should go. Because the method can limit the search space, the time it takes to optimise a system can be cut down by a large amount. [5] looked at particle swarm optimisation (PSO) and sensitivity analysis to find out where and how big DG should be best. In this case, the goal was to improve the voltage profile, cut down on losses, and cut down on the system's overall costs. In a similar study the Harmony search algorithm (HSA) was used, and the results were the same. This study was done to cut down on losses and overall harmonic distortion (THDv). In order to solve most of the sizing and placement problems that were mentioned before, more research needs to be done on how DGs affect the way harmonics spread through the distribution system. In a rapid harmonic load flow method for a three-phase radial distribution system was shown. So that harmonic analysis could be used, this had to be done. The results show that it works better and is more accurate than more traditional harmonic loadflow methods. This conclusion comes from how well the algorithm works.

2. Related work

Angalaeswari, S.; et al[1] The parameter improved particle swarm optimization (PIPSO) technique has been found to be the best way to figure out the best way to seat and rate DG. The goal of this technique is for each particle to lose as little real power as possible. It does this while taking into account DG limits, power demand limits, and bus voltage limits. This article gives a thorough look at where and how distributed generators (DGs) should be rated

best in the way that electric power moves through a power grid. Dan Simon has come up with an interesting way to study biogeography. Using the biogeography-based optimization technique has helped in a number of different areas, such as estimating the state under security constraints and figuring out how healthy aircraft engines.

Vizhiy, S.A., et al.[2] Distributed generation (DG) plays a significant role in reducing real power loss, lowering the operating cost and improving the voltage profile. This paper formulates the DG placement problem as a multi-objective optimization problem and presents a solution method for optimally determining the node locations and sizing of multiple DG units in distribution networks using biogeography-based optimization (BBO). BBO, inspired from the geographical distribution of biological species, searches for optimal solution through the migration and mutation operators. Test results on a 33-node distribution network reveal the superiority of the developed method.

Al-Ammar, et al[3] To this end, this research investigates the optimal sizing and placement of DGs in distribution networks by employing a novel concept with the goal of simultaneously reducing total energy cost, total power loss, and average voltage drop. Specifically, this goal is pursued by investigating how DGs can be sized most effectively and where they should be located. The Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) method is suggested as a potential approach to solving the multi-objective problem that has been taken into consideration. When it comes to performance, the ABC algorithm that has been presented is measured against industry standards. An investigation into the Newton Raphson load flow (NRLF) is carried out on the IEEE 33 and 69-bus radial networks in addition to the CIGRE medium voltage (MV) benchmark grid.

T. R. Ayodele, et al[4] Based on the results, it's clear that the algorithm was able to choose the best DG technology and correctly size and place the DGs to reduce the amount of power lost across the network. Also being looked into is how different placements of distributed generation might affect power loss across the network. Using a mix of different placements is the best way, according to the data, to reduce the amount of power that is lost through a network.

C. J. Mozina, et al.[5] Interconnect protection is one of the most important technical challenges in most DG projects. It makes sure that distributed generation can run at the same time as the utility power grid. In the past, each utility's protection standards have been used to guide the process of connecting distributed generators to the electric grid. These suggestions

are for distributed generators with a power output of 10 megawatts or less that usually connect to the utility system at the subtransmission and distribution levels. These utility circuits are set up in a certain way so that they can power loads that are spread out in all directions. Because of this, the fault current on the feeder circuit may be redistributed as a result of the increase in generation. This could cause the relays to stop working together or, in the worst case, cause voltages to go too high. Over the past few years, the IEEE and a number of other states have worked together to make standards and guidelines for how DG connects to the internet. The goal of these standards and guidelines is to get rid of the need for DG interconnection to follow the specific practises and rules of each utility by giving a unified set of such criteria. This will be done by getting rid of the rule that DG interconnection has to follow such practises and rules. As part of this project, we will check to see how well this goal has been met.

3. Proposed methodology

DG Technologies[4] In this study, we simulate the power that the DGs make to make a model of them. Three different types of DG technology were used in the simulation: asynchronous DGs, synchronous DGs, and DGs based on an induction generator [5]. Many distributed generation (DG) systems, like microturbines, fuel cells, and solar photovoltaic panels, use asynchronous generators. Electricity electronic interfaces are needed so that the power from these generators can be changed into a form that the grid can use. Induction generators, like the ones in wind turbines and especially the "squirrel cage" induction generator, need reactive power in order to produce useful power. On the other hand, synchronous generators can either add reactive power or take it away. Small hydroturbines, combustion turbines, and reciprocating engines are just a few examples of synchronous DGs. For the DGs to be able to connect to the network, the data on the bus had to be changed. After the models are used to figure out the real and reactive power values, these values are applied to the data collected at the bus where the DG is connected. After making some changes to the data about the bus, we were able to figure out the real power loss of the network and the bus voltages.

Optimization based on Geography and Biogeography (BBO) Dan Simon presented an innovative strategy for optimising that takes into account the influence of biogeographic features. The study of how different ecosystems are inhabited by different biological organisms is known as biogeography. It is fascinating to relate it to problems of quantitative optimization that arise in many sectors such as engineering, medicine, economics, sports,

business, and urban planning, among other areas. It is hypothesised that numerous species go from one island to another in quest of a more favourable environment for their continued existence. In point of fact, each island features a distinct ecosystem of its very own. The habitat suitability index is a method for determining how fit each location is for human habitation (HSI). The Adaptability Index is shown here. When determining the quality of a habitat, climate elements such as temperature and rainfall, in addition to the topography of the terrain, are both important considerations. The values assigned to these environmental parameters are determined by a number of different variables (SIV). The scientific mechanisms that lie at the core of actual biogeography are the inspiration for the Biogeography Based Optimization (BBO) algorithm. The BBO is a stochastically streamlined metaheuristic algorithm that was developed with migration as one of its primary considerations. The formation of biotic communities served as an inspiration for this idea. The BBO technique makes use of terminology that is conceptually comparable to that of the field of biogeography. Each habitat is modelled as a potential answer to the optimization problem that was presented before. In this type of inquiry, the letters SIV, which stand for "single initial variable," show what the variables are. These letters describe the variables as "single initial variables." The HSI is a tool that is used to assess habitats. This statistic, which is comparable to the objective fitness function that is frequently sought for in engineering competitions, is one of those that is measured by the HSI. When utilising the BBO approach, the HSI are considered to be dependent factors, whilst the SIV are considered to be independent variables. This BBO approach can be used, for instance, to gather ideas from the real world, as seen in the following example. The parameters of the algorithm are initially set by the BBO as the first step. These parameters include the maximum number of species, the number of SIVs, the stopping condition (maximum iteration or tolerance), the maximum immigration and emigration rates E and I, the probability of mutation, and the probability of modification. Other parameters include the stopping condition (maximum tolerance) and the stopping condition (maximum iteration). The manner in which you establish your initial islands is up to you. The third stage is to determine the levels of immigration and emigration that occur on each individual island. This requires determining how many species move away from each island as well as how many species move to each island. It is recommended to employ a probabilistic strategy when determining the immigration islands. This way can be discovered by looking at the data on the number of individuals that arrive to the country. Put the information to work for you so that you may do this. When determining which islands

should be used for emigration, it is essential to take into account not only the total number of persons that depart but also the general health of those individuals. In the fifth stage, you will be responsible for transferring a SIV from the island that you selected in the previous step. Use a strategy that is based on probability to update each island based on how probable it is to change. This brings us to the sixth step. 7. Calculate the health status index for each island in the group (HSI, often known as its fitness value). The process advances to the third phase if the convergence conditions are not satisfied before moving on. In the event that they are satisfied, the procedure comes to a close, and the next one starts. Please, Nine, provide me with the most accurate response that is feasible for the entire world, along with the HSI that corresponds to it (fitness value). The BBO method, which is founded on the way things function in the natural world, was pitted against seven different optimization algorithms utilised in EA to determine which one was superior and why. The BBO approach, which imitates natural processes, works in the same way that natural processes work. It is evident that the BBO approach is by far the best when the performance of the BBO algorithm is compared to that of other methods that are already in use and that use the power system problem. In addition to this, it demonstrates how the BBO algorithm may be utilised to find a solution to the issue of selecting sensors for an aeroplane engine.

Implementation The following is a summary of the suggested approach for calculating the best size and placement of the DG, along with the precise actions that are necessary to put it into action: The number of habitats, m , as well as the degree of difficulty, n , are also factors that are taken into consideration. For the purposes of this investigation, we have assumed the assumption that n is equal to 2, where n is a number that reflects the coordinates and the area of the DG. First and foremost, it is essential to gather data on the vehicles and lines that comprise the distribution system. Following the selection of suitable values for each of the IBBO parameters in the second phase, the iteration counter should be reset to 1. Third, you will need to generate a random feasible solution matrix for m habitats. This matrix will have the following columns and rows: $x_i = [x_{i1} \ x_{i2}]$, $I = 1$ to m , x_{i1} represents DG location between 2 and n (the number of buses), and x_{i2} represents DG size between PG_{min} and PG_{max} . Finally, you will need to generate a random feasible solution matrix for m habitats. This will be done so that you can evaluate a variety of potential remedies to the problem. As the fourth phase in the procedure, the bus should be assigned as the site of the negative load DG (x_{i2}) for each habitat I . (x_{i1}). The fifth step is to perform a solution for both the forward and backward flow of power in order to compute the overall real power loss (1). Attach the

computed value of the total power loss that was sustained during the i th habitat's evaluation as its fitness value (objective function). To complete the remaining habitats, you only need to repeat steps 4 and 5 one more. Step 6: Determine the ideal HSI by contrasting all of the potential habitats and searching for the one that has the lowest fitness value (real power loss). Seventh, based on the likelihood of the mutation occurring in each habitat, select those habitats that will go through the process of mutation. Relocating populations to new habitats that have been predetermined is the eighth step in the process. If the modified habitats still don't meet the standards, move on to the next step (9). In the case that any habitat is discovered to be in violation of the limitations, the feasible remedy should be randomly distributed across the habitats that are in concern, just as it was done in Step 3. Step 10: If the convergence conditions have been met, output the ideal position and size of DG, as well as the related system power flows that have been merged with optimal DG. This step is only performed if step 9 was successful. In the event that the requirements have not been satisfied, retrace steps 4–10 and set t to be equal to t plus 1. In this section, we present simulation findings that demonstrate the utility and potential of the proposed method by using two bench systems as case studies. These results were obtained by simulating the behaviour of the systems. These results were achieved by applying the method that was suggested to a computer and seeing what the results were.

4. Conclusion

In this article, we show a new way to find the best size and placement of DG bus radial distribution networks using a modified biogeography-based optimization strategy. We call this method "ideal dimensions and placement of DG bus radial distribution networks." Simulations were done with MATLAB, and the results show that the intended strategy reduces the amount of active power loss and makes the voltage profiles at the nodes better. Also, everyone knows that the proposed IBBO method is better than the traditional PSO and PIPSO approaches when it comes to minimising the amount of power that is lost and reducing the amount of time needed for computation. So, you could use the strategy that was suggested to find the best place for your DGs and figure out how much electricity they need for your whole distribution system. This plan can be made bigger by adding more DGs, which will help reduce system losses even more.

Reference

- [1].Angalaeswari, S.; Arunagiri, A.; Jamuna, K. (2017). [IEEE 2017 International Conference on Power and Embedded Drive Control (ICPEDC) - Chennai, India (2017.3.16-2017.3.18)] 2017 International Conference on Power and Embedded Drive Control (ICPEDC) - Improved biogeography based optimization technique for loss minimization in power distribution networks. , (), 26–31. doi:10.1109/ICPEDC.2017.8081054
- [2].Vizhiy, S.A., & Santhi, R.K. (2016). Biogeography based optimal placement of distributed generation units in distribution networks: Optimal placement of distributed generation units. 2016 International Conference on Electrical, Electronics, and Optimization Techniques (ICEEOT), 2245-2250.
- [3].Al-Ammar, Essam A., et al. “ABC Algorithm Based Optimal Sizing and Placement of DGs in Distribution Networks Considering Multiple Objectives.” *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, vol. 12, no. 1, Mar. 2021, pp. 697–708, 10.1016/j.asej.2020.05.002. Accessed 5 July 2022.
- [4].T. R. Ayodele, A. S. O. Ogunjuyigbe, O. O. Akinola, "Optimal Location, Sizing, and Appropriate Technology Selection of Distributed Generators for Minimizing Power Loss Using Genetic Algorithm", *Journal of Renewable Energy*, vol. 2015, Article ID 832917, 9 pages, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/832917>.
- [5].C. J. Mozina, “A tutorial on the impact of distributed generation (DG) on distribution systems,” in *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Conference for Protective Relay Engineers*, pp. 591–609, College Station, Tex, China, April 2008.
- [6].Karunarathne, Eshan, et al. “Optimal Placement and Sizing of DGs in Distribution Networks Using MLPSO Algorithm.” *Energies*, vol. 13, no. 23, 25 Nov. 2020, p. 6185, 10.3390/en13236185.
- [7].Lee, Soo Hyoung, and Jung-Wook Park. “Optimal Placement and Sizing of Multiple DGs in a Practical Distribution System by Considering Power Loss.” *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 49, no. 5, Sept. 2013, pp. 2262–2270, 10.1109/tia.2013.2260117.
- [8].Mohandas, N., et al. “Optimal Location and Sizing of Multiple DGs to Enhance the Voltage Stability in the Distribution System Using a Chaotic ABC Algorithm.” *International Journal of Computer Applications*, vol. 128, no. 2, 15 Oct. 2015, pp. 10–26, 10.5120/ijca2015906432.

- [9]. Abdelbadea, Mohamed, et al. "Enhancing Active Radial Distribution Networks by Optimal Sizing and Placement of DGs Using Modified Crow Search Algorithm." *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, vol. 16, no. 3, 1 Dec. 2019, p. 1179, 10.11591/ijeecs.v16.i3.pp1179-1188.
- [10]. Saha, Subhodip, and Vivekananda Mukherjee. "Optimal Placement and Sizing of DGs in RDS Using Chaos Embedded SOS Algorithm." *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*, vol. 10, no. 14, Nov. 2016, pp. 3671–3680, 10.1049/iet-gtd.2016.0151.
- [11]. Rama Prabha, D., and T. Jayabarathi. "Optimal Placement and Sizing of Multiple Distributed Generating Units in Distribution Networks by Invasive Weed Optimization Algorithm." *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, vol. 7, no. 2, 1 June 2016, pp. 683–694, www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2090447915000891, 10.1016/j.asej.2015.05.014.
- [12]. Nayeripour, Majid, et al. "Multi-Objective Placement and Sizing of DGs in Distribution Networks Ensuring Transient Stability Using Hybrid Evolutionary Algorithm." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 25, Sept. 2013, pp. 759–767, 10.1016/j.rser.2013.05.016.
- [13]. Sharma, Sarika, and Smarajit Ghosh. "FIS and Hybrid ABC-PSO Based Optimal Capacitor Placement and Sizing for Radial Distribution Networks." *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, vol. 11, no. 2, 1 Feb. 2019, pp. 901–916, 10.1007/s12652-019-01216-2.