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#### Abstract

A study in 10 years old Teak plantation was conducted and results showed that selection differential and selection intensity for height were recorded 2.40 m . and 13.18 percent, respectively while for diameter at breast height estimates for selection differential and intensity were recorded 5.06 cm . and 10.09 percent, respectively. Simultaneous selection for height and diameter both at culling showed the values 2.66 m . for height and 5.26 cm for diameter as selection differential and 3.81 percent as selection intensity.


## Introduction

Teak is a unique species which produces most aristocrat tree species. Although Teak is not indigenous but it is spread over large part of India and a lot of variation is present. Therefore, there is plenty of scope to improve Teak through selection and hybridization. Variability studies are important wherever selection forms the basis of genetic improvement. Selection of plus trees from available population is the first step in initiating a breeding programme in forest trees. Selections are based on the phenotypic characters. Phenotype is the result of Genotype and the Environment. In a natural forest the trees may be widely spaced and large number of individuals can be selected.
In a plantation area where trees are related in one sense that they come from the same seed source, therefore minimum number of individuals should be selected from a plantation area (Kumar, 1995).
Several selection methods are available to the tree breeder, which depend upon the types of genetic variations in the population, whether pedigree information exists, and the degree of urgency in establishing production seed orchards. To make quick and inexpensive gains in a tree improvement programme, individual selection of tree is widely used and generally the most satisfactory among the several methods available (Zobel and Talbert, 1934).

## Material and Methods

The present study was conducted on Teak species planted in 1993 at forest research nursery, Lalkuan. This site lies between $29^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ latitude, $79^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ longitude and 256 m MSL altitude. Spacing between each plant was $2.5 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~m}$. Analysis was done from data of height (m.) and diameter at breast height ( cm .) recorded on 1100 individual trees. Other parameters as collar diameter, angle of branches, number of branches and crown diameter were also measured to select the best population. Marks were given to trees according to their disease, bending, leaning, buttress, fluting etc. Forked, Bend and Lean trees were not selected as plus trees.
Calculation of Selection intensity and selection differential was done by the method given by Sharma (1994). For selection differential, an arbitrary culling level, $K$ was fixed for height ( 17.5 m ) and diameter at breast height ( 17.5 cm ) and trees beyond this level were selected.

Selection Intensity and Differential were calculated by using following formula:

$$
I=\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{N} \times 100
$$

Where,
I $\quad=$ Selection Intensity (\%)
$\mathrm{N} \quad=$ Number of trees selected
$\mathrm{N} \quad=$ Size of base population
$\mathrm{S} \quad=\mathrm{x}-\mu$
Where,
S = Selection Differential
X = mean of selected population
$\mathrm{M} \quad=$ mean of original population

## Results and Discussion

Selection of plus tree is the primary step in the development of tree improvement programme. In the present study plus trees of Teak were selected through comparison mean method, from plantation. This method is widely accepted in evenaged stands in the plantations of hardwoods (Zobel \& Talbert, 1984). The selected plantation was on uniform site. Based on superiority in height (above 17.5 m .) and diameter (above 17.5 cm .) results are given in table 1 .

Plus tree numbers $36(21 \mathrm{~m}$.) exhibited maximum height while tree number $859(22.6 \mathrm{~cm})$ exhibited maximum diameter at breast height. Out of total 1100 trees 145 trees have been selected above the culling level (more than 17.5 m ) for the height.
After analysis of the data, 111 trees were found above the culling level for diameter at breast height. The mean of height of selected trees were found to be 18.19 meter while the mean of original population was 15.79 meter. Selection Intensity and Selection Differential for height were calculated as 13.18 percent and 2.40 m . respectively. Mean of the diameter of selected population was 19.38 cm . while the average of original population was 14.32 cm .
Selection intensity for diameter at breast height was calculated as 10.09 percent, while Selection Differential resulted as 5.06 cm .

Data on plus tree selection based on superiority for both height ( $>17.5 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and diameter at breast height ( $>17.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) simultaneously, are depicted in the Table 2.
Data suggested that 42 trees could be selected on the basis of joint culling level for height and diameter at breast height. Mean of trees selected based on both height and diameter was 18.45 m and 19.58 cm , respectively.
However, selection intensity was recorded as 3.81 percent in the case of simultaneous selection for both height and diameter (Table 3). Figure 1 shows selection superiority based on both the characters height and diameter, individually and in combination. Plus tree selections were aimed to increase the quality and quantity of seed production. To increase the efficiency of selection two major characters height and diameter were considered.

Table 1: Plus trees selected on the basis of Height and Diameter Culling level

| Height |  | Diameter |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plus Tree no. | Height (m.) | Plus Tree no. | Diameter (cm.) |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 19 | 2 | 22.5 |
| 12 | 19.1 | 5 | 18.4 |
| 19 | 18 | 12 | 18.2 |
| 21 | 17.5 | 69 | 20.3 |
| 22 | 18.5 | 87 | 17.5 |
| 29 | 17.5 | 99 | 22.8 |
| 34 | 18.5 | 125 | 18.4 |
| 36 | 21 | 126 | 18.3 |
| 37 | 19 | 131 | 20.9 |
| 39 | 19.25 | 140 | 19 |
| 40 | 18.5 | 144 | 19.8 |
| 42 | 19 | 153 | 18.1 |
| 44 | 18.25 | 157 | 19.1 |
| 47 | 17.75 | 168 | 20.8 |
| 52 | 19.25 | 183 | 18.2 |
| 53 | 18.5 | 188 | 20.1 |
| 55 | 18.75 | 197 | 23.5 |
| 56 | 17.5 | 198 | 17.8 |
| 59 | 18.25 | 200 | 21.6 |
| 69 | 20.5 | 201 | 19.8 |
| 78 | 19 | 203 | 23.1 |
| 79 | 18 | 204 | 19 |
| 80 | 19 | 206 | 17.7 |
| 83 | 17.5 | 221 | 17.5 |
| 84 | 18 | 237 | 22.5 |
| 90 | 17.75 | 240 | 20.4 |
| 99 | 18.25 | 258 | 19 |
| 115 | 17.5 | 275 | 19.6 |
| 125 | 18.4 | 301 | 20 |
| 129 | 18.9 | 309 | 17.7 |
| 130 | 19.1 | 313 | 20.4 |
| 131 | 19.8 | 315 | 19.6 |
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| 132 | 18.1 | 323 | 17.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 133 | 17.8 | 339 | 18.1 |
| 136 | 17.6 | 367 | 20.2 |
| 138 | 17.9 | 373 | 18 |
| 140 | 19.1 | 375 | 20.4 |
| 142 | 18.4 | 380 | 17.7 |
| 143 | 19.2 | 396 | 18 |
| 144 | 20.4 | 407 | 17.7 |
| 148 | 19.8 | 438 | 18 |
| 153 | 19.4 | 452 | 22.8 |
| 167 | 17.5 | 470 | 19.8 |
| 188 | 17.5 | 471 | 17.9 |
| 197 | 17.8 | 489 | 19.5 |
| 198 | 17.5 | 516 | 18.5 |
| 200 | 18.4 | 536 | 21.9 |
| 201 | 18.75 | 557 | 19.3 |
| 204 | 17.5 | 567 | 19.9 |
| 207 | 17.5 | 582 | 20.6 |
| 208 | 18.25 | 584 | 20 |
| 211 | 19.5 | 591 | 20.3 |
| 213 | 18.75 | 597 | 24.6 |
| 215 | 19 | 599 | 19.6 |
| 219 | 18.25 | 601 | 19.8 |
| 220 | 19.5 | 603 | 20.7 |
| 221 | 19.75 | 607 | 21.9 |
| 223 | 17.8 | 615 | 19.3 |
| 224 | 17.5 | 625 | 22.2 |
| 227 | 17.6 | 630 | 18.3 |
| 237 | 18.5 | 640 | 18.1 |
| 247 | 17.5 | 650 | 20.7 |
| 248 | 17.6 | 651 | 17.5 |
| 253 | 18 | 656 | 21.1 |
| 268 | 18 | 675 | 21.2 |
| 275 | 18 | 685 | 17.9 |
| 313 | 17.5 | 690 | 18 |
| 315 | 18.25 | 691 | 22.2 |
| 319 | 17.5 | 695 | 18.2 |
| 320 | 17.5 | 700 | 20.2 |
| 323 | 18.25 | 704 | 18.4 |
| 326 | 17.75 | 715 | 20.4 |
| 327 | 18 | 718 | 18.3 |
| 333 | 17.5 | 720 | 17.8 |
| 334 | 18 | 727 | 18.4 |
| 339 | 17.5 | 743 | 19.4 |
| 342 | 18.5 | 751 | 19.2 |
| 344 | 17.75 | 766 | 17.6 |
| 346 | 18.25 | 793 | 17.9 |
| 367 | 18.25 | 819 | 19.5 |
| 373 | 18.25 | 831 | 17.8 |
| 375 | 20 | 844 | 18 |
| 380 | 20.1 | 853 | 18.3 |
| 381 | 19.25 | 856 | 20.7 |
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| 386 | 17.5 | 858 | 17.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 391 | 17.5 | 859 | 22.6 |
| 407 | 17.5 | 871 | 19.4 |
| 417 | 18.5 | 880 | 18.9 |
| 424 | 18 | 891 | 19.8 |
| 425 | 18.25 | 900 | 19 |
| 438 | 17.75 | 903 | 18.2 |
| 440 | 17.5 | 907 | 19.2 |
| 442 | 18.25 | 911 | 18.2 |
| 452 | 17.75 | 927 | 18 |
| 456 | 18.25 | 976 | 17.8 |
| 462 | 18 | 980 | 18.9 |
| 464 | 18.25 | 987 | 17.9 |
| 467 | 17.5 | 1003 | 17.9 |
| 468 | 17.75 | 1007 | 19.2 |
| 470 | 18.25 | 1015 | 20.9 |
| 471 | 18.5 | 1028 | 21.9 |
| 478 | 17.75 | 1030 | 17.1 |
| 479 | 17.6 | 1039 | 18.3 |
| 536 | 19.75 | 1043 | 18 |
| 538 | 19 | 1052 | 17.7 |
| 539 | 18.5 | 1057 | 19.5 |
| 540 | 18.75 | 1061 | 19.2 |
| 541 | 18 | 1066 | 18.5 |
| 544 | 17.5 | 1069 | 17.6 |
| 545 | 17.5 | 1074 | 17.6 |
| 547 | 17.75 | 1091 | 21.3 |
| 550 | 18 |  |  |
| 584 | 18 |  |  |
| 589 | 18 |  |  |
| 591 | 18 |  |  |
| 626 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 636 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 637 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 638 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 675 | 17.75 |  |  |
| 685 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 743 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 745 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 746 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 751 | 18 |  |  |
| 767 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 786 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 795 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 797 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 911 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 913 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 917 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 920 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 922 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 923 | 18.25 |  |  |
| 927 | 18 |  |  |
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| 932 | 18.5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 940 | 18 |  |  |
| 941 | 18 |  |  |
| 943 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 945 | 17.75 |  |  |
| 1028 | 18.25 |  |  |
| 1034 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 1061 | 19.5 |  |  |
| 1085 | 17.5 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 2: Trees Selected on the combination basis of height and diameter at breast height superiority.

| Tree no. | Height (m.) | Diameter (cm.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 19 | 18.4 |
| 12 | 19.1 | 18.2 |
| 69 | 20.5 | 20.3 |
| 99 | 18.25 | 22.8 |
| 125 | 18.4 | 18.4 |
| 131 | 19.8 | 20.9 |
| 140 | 19.1 | 19 |
| 144 | 20.4 | 19.8 |
| 153 | 19.4 | 18.1 |
| 188 | 17.5 | 20.1 |
| 197 | 17.8 | 23.5 |
| 198 | 17.5 | 17.8 |
| 200 | 18.4 | 21.6 |
| 201 | 18.75 | 19.8 |
| 204 | 17.5 | 19 |
| 221 | 19.75 | 17.5 |
| 237 | 18.5 | 22.5 |
| 275 | 18 | 19.6 |
| 313 | 17.5 | 20.4 |
| 315 | 18.25 | 19.6 |
| 323 | 18.25 | 17.6 |
| 339 | 17.5 | 18.1 |
| 367 | 18.25 | 20.2 |
| 373 | 18.25 | 18 |
| 375 | 20 | 20.4 |
| 380 | 20.1 | 17.7 |
| 407 | 17.5 | 17.7 |
| 438 | 17.75 | 18 |
| 452 | 17.75 | 22.8 |
| 470 | 18.25 | 19.8 |
| 471 | 18.5 | 17.9 |
| 536 | 19.75 | 21.9 |
| 584 | 18 | 20 |
| 591 | 18 | 20.3 |
| 675 | 17.75 | 21.2 |
| 685 | 17.5 | 17.9 |


| 743 | 17.5 | 19.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 751 | 18 | 19.2 |
| 911 | 17.5 | 18.2 |
| 927 | 18 | 18 |
| 1028 | 18.25 | 21.9 |
| 1061 | 19.5 | 19.2 |

Table 3: Estimates of selection intensity and differential in Teak plantation

| Parameters | Selection based on culling level for |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Height | Diameter | Combined selection |  |
|  |  |  | Height | Diameter |
| Size of base population | 1100 | 1100 | 1100 | 1100 |
| Size of population selected | 145 | 111 | 42 | 42 |
| Mean of base population | 15.79 | 14.32 | 15.79 | 14.32 |
| Mean of selected population | 18.19 | 19.38 | 18.45 | 19.58 |
| Selection Intensity (\%) | 13.18 | 10.09 | 3.81 | 3.81 |
| Selection Differential | 2.40 | 5.06 | 2.66 | 5.26 |
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