

Perfect Dominating sets and Perfect Domination Polynomial of a Pan Graph

P. Paul Hawkins¹, A.M. Anto², T. Shyla Isac Mary³,

¹ Research Scholar, Reg.no 18223112091013 R,esearch Department of Mathematics Nesamony Memorial Christian College, Marthandam, Tamil Nadu, India, hawkinspaul007@gmail.com

²Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics Malankara Catholic College, Mariagiri, Tamil Nadu, India, antoalexam@gmail.com

³Research Scholar, R,esearch Department of Mathematics Nesamony Memorial Christian College, Marthandam, Tamil Nadu, India, hawkinspaul007@gmail.com

Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli – 627012, Tamilnadu, India.

Received: 14 Feb 2020 Revised and Accepted: 25 March 2020

ABSTRACT: Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. A set $S \subseteq V$ is a perfect dominating set of G , if every vertex u in $V - S$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex in S . Let $\wp_{pf}(n, i)$ be the family of perfect dominating sets of a Pan Graph \wp_n with cardinality i , let $d_{pf}(\wp_n, i) = |\wp_{pf}(n, i)|$. In this paper, we construct $\wp_{pf}(n, i)$ for a Pan Graph \wp_n with $n + 1$ vertices and obtain a recursive formula for $d_{pf}(\wp_n, i)$. The polynomial $D_{pf}(\wp_n, x) = \sum_{i=\gamma_{pf}(\wp_n)}^n d_{pf}(\wp_n, i) x^i$ is also considered using this recursive formula, which we call the perfect domination polynomial of a Pan Graph, which further aids in the study of some properties of this polynomial.

KEYWORDS: Perfect Dominating set, Pan Graph.

I. INTRODUCTION

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph of order $|V| = n$. For any vertex $u \in V$, the open neighborhood of u is the set $N(u) = \{v \in V | uv \in E\}$. A set $S \subseteq V$ is a dominating set of G , if every vertex $u \in V$ is a element of S or is adjacent to an element of S [7]. The dominating set S is a perfect dominating set if $|N(u) \cap S| = 1$ for each $u \in V - S$, or equivalently, if every vertex u in $V - S$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex in S [8]. The perfect domination number γ_{pf} is the minimum cardinality of a perfect dominating set in G . The Pan Graph is the graph obtained by joining a cycle graph C_n to a singleton graph K_1 with a bridge [5]. The Pan Graph is denoted by \wp_n . Let \wp_n be a Pan Graph with $n + 1$ vertices. Let $\wp_{pf}(n, i)$ be the family of perfect dominating sets of a Pan Graph \wp_n with cardinality i , let $d_{pf}(\wp_n, i) = |\wp_{pf}(n, i)|$. We call the polynomial $D_{pf}(\wp_n, x) = \sum_{i=\gamma_{pf}(\wp_n)}^n d_{pf}(\wp_n, i) x^i$, as a perfect domination polynomial of a Pan Graph \wp_n . We use $[n]$, for the smallest integer greater than or equal to n . In this paper $[n]$ denotes the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

II. Perfect Dominating sets of a Pan Graph

Let $\wp_{pf}(n, i)$ be the family of perfect dominating sets of the Pan Graph \wp_n with cardinality i . The perfect dominating sets of the Pan Graph \wp_n is observed here.

The following lemmas are essential to prove the main result in this paper.

Lemma 2.1

i) $\gamma_{pf}(\wp_{3n}) = n$ for $n \in N$

ii) $\gamma_{pf}(\wp_{3n+1}) = \left\lceil \frac{3n+1}{3} \right\rceil$ for $n \in N$

iii) $\gamma_{pf}(\wp_{3n+2}) = n + 2$ for $n \in N$

By Lemma 2.1 and the definition of perfect domination number, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2

For $n \geq 3$, $\wp_{pf}(n, i) = \emptyset$, if and only if $i > n + 1$

Proof

Let \wp_n be a Pan Graph with $n + 1$ vertices and any member of $\wp_{pf}(n, i)$ contains atmost $n + 1$ vertices.

Therefore, $\wp_{pf}(n, i) = \emptyset$ for $i > n + 1$.

Conversely, if $i > n + 1$ then by definition of perfect dominating set of a Pan Graph \wp_n we have $\wp_{pf}(n, i) = \emptyset$.

Lemma 2.3

For $n \geq 3$,

- i) $\wp_{pf}(n, n) = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$
- ii) $\wp_{pf}(n, n + 1) = \{[n + 1]\}$
- iii) $\wp_{pf}(n, n - 1) = \{[n] - \{i, i + 1\} | i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 2\}$

Proof

i) By the Definition of Perfect dominating Set if we choose n vertices from $n + 1$ vertices the only Possible set is $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$

ii) We know that for any $G = (V, E)$, $V(G)$ is always a perfect dominating set of G . Hence, $\wp_{pf}(n, n + 1) = \{[n + 1]\}$

iii) Here, $\wp_{pf}(n, n - 1)$ is the family of perfect dominating sets with cardinality $n - 1$ in a Pan Graph of $n + 1$ vertices. Clearly, $\{[n + 1] - \{i, i + 1\} | i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 2\}$ are the possible perfect dominating sets with cardinality $n - 1$.

Lemma 2.4

For $n \in N$

- i) $\wp_{pf}(3n, n) = \{3, 6, \dots, 3n\}$
- ii) $\wp_{pf}(3n, n + 1) = \{3, 6, \dots, 3n, 3n + 1\}$
- iii) $\wp_{pf}(3n + 2, n + 1) = \emptyset$

Lemma 2.5

If $\wp_{pf}(n, i)$ be a family of perfect dominating sets with cardinality i then, $|\wp_{pf}(n, i)| = |\wp_{pf}(n - 1, i - 1)| + |\wp_{pf}(n - 3, i - 1)|$

III. Perfect Domination Polynomial of a Pan Graph

Definition 3.1

Let \wp_n be a Pan Graph with $n + 1$ vertices. Let $\wp_{pf}(n, i)$ be the family of perfect dominating sets of the Pan Graph with cardinality i and $d_{pf}(\wp_n, i) = |\wp_{pf}(n, i)|$. Then the Perfect dominating polynomial of the Pan Graph \wp_n is given by $D_{pf}(\wp_n, x) = \sum_{i=\gamma_{pf}(\wp_n)}^n d_{pf}(\wp_n, i) x^i$.

Theorem 3.2

If $\wp_{pf}(n, i)$ be a family of perfect dominating sets with cardinality i then,

For every $n \geq 6$, $D_{pf}(\wp_n, x) = x[D_{pf}(\wp_{n-1}, x) + D_{pf}(\wp_{n-3}, x)]$ with initial values $D_{pf}(\wp_3, x) = x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4$, $D_{pf}(\wp_4, x) = 3x^2 + 2x^3 + x^4 + x^5$, $D_{pf}(\wp_5, x) = 4x^3 + 3x^4 + x^5 + x^6$.

Proof

It follows from the definition of perfect domination polynomial and Lemma 2.6

Using the above theorem we obtain $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, i)$ for $3 \leq n \leq 15$ as shown in the following **Table 1**

$i \backslash n$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
3	1	1	1	1												
4	0	3	2	1	1											
5	0	0	4	3	1	1										
6	0	1	1	5	4	1	1									
7	0	0	4	3	6	5	1	1								
8	0	0	0	8	6	7	6	1	1							
9	0	0	1	1	13	10	8	7	1	1						
10	0	0	0	5	4	19	15	9	8	1	1					
11	0	0	0	0	13	10	26	21	10	9	1	1				
12	0	0	0	1	1	26	20	34	28	11	10	1	1			
13	0	0	0	0	6	5	45	35	43	36	12	11	1	1		
14	0	0	0	0	0	19	15	71	56	53	45	13	12	1	1	
15	0	0	0	0	1	1	45	35	105	84	64	55	14	13	1	1

Table 1

Example 3.3

Consider the Pan Graph \mathcal{P}_6 with 7 vertices. We construct a perfect dominating polynomial $D_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_6, x)$ using **Theorem 3.2 & Table 1**

Then the Perfect dominating polynomial of a Pan Graph \mathcal{P}_6 is given by $D_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_6, x) = \sum_{i=\gamma_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_6)}^6 d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_6, i) x^i$
 From the **Table 1** we have $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_6, 2) = 1, d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_6, 3) = 1, d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_6, 4) = 5, d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_6, 5) = 4, d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_6, 6) = 1, d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_6, 7) = 1$ Hence, $D_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_6, x) = x^2 + x^3 + 5x^4 + 4x^5 + x^6 + x^7$.

Theorem 3.4

The coefficients of $D_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, x)$ have the following properties

- i) $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n) = 1$ for every $n \geq 3$
- ii) $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n + 1) = 1$ for every $n \geq 3$
- iii) $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{3n}, n) = 1$ for every $n \in N$
- iv) $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{3n}, n + 1) = 1$ for every $n \in N$
- v) $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n - 1) = n - 2$ for every $n \geq 3$
- vi) $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n - 3) = \frac{n^2 - 9n + 20}{2}$ for every $n \geq 6$
- vii) $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n - 2) = n - 1$ for every $n \geq 4$
- viii) $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n - 4) = \frac{n^2 - 7n + 8}{2}$ for every $n \geq 6$
- ix) $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{3n+2}, n + 1) = 0$ for every $n \geq 1$

$$x) d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n - 6) = \frac{n^3 - 18n^2 + 95n - 120}{6} \text{ for every } n \geq 9$$

Proof

(i),(ii), (iii) and (iv) follows from lemma 2.3:(i),(ii) and lemma 2.4:(i),(ii) respectively

v) We prove this result by induction on n . The result is true for $n = 3$. Since, $\wp_{pf}(3,2) = \{3,4\}$. So, $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_3, 2) = |\wp_{pf}(3,2)| = 1$.

Now, assume that the result is true for all natural numbers less than n . For n , we have by lemma 2.5 $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n - 1) = d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}, n - 2) + d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-3}, n - 2)$.

By induction hypothesis we have, $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}, n - 2) = n - 3$.

Also by part (ii) we have $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-3}, n - 2) = 1$.

Therefore, $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n - 1) = n - 3 + 1 = n - 2$

vi) We prove this result by induction on n . The result is true for $n = 6$. Since, $\wp_{pf}(6,3) = \{3,6,7\}$. So, $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_6, 3) = |\wp_{pf}(6,3)| = 1$.

Now, assume that the result is true for all natural numbers less than n . For n , we have by lemma 2.5 $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n - 3) = d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}, n - 4) + d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-3}, n - 4)$.

By induction hypothesis we have, $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}, n - 4) = \frac{n^2 - 11n + 30}{2}$.

Also by part (v) we have $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-3}, n - 4) = n - 5$.

Therefore, $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n - 3) = \frac{n^2 - 9n + 20}{2}$ for every $n \geq 6$

vii) We prove this result by induction on n . The result is true for $n = 4$. Since, $\wp_{pf}(4,2) = \{\{1,5\}, \{2,4\}, \{3,4\}\}$. So, $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_4, 2) = |\wp_{pf}(4,2)| = 3$.

Now, assume that the result is true for all natural numbers less than n . For n , we have by lemma 2.5 $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n - 2) = d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}, n - 3) + d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-3}, n - 3)$.

By induction hypothesis we have, $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}, n - 3) = n - 2$.

Also by part (i) we have $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-3}, n - 3) = 1$.

Therefore, $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n - 2) = n - 2 + 1 = n - 1$ for every $n \geq 4$

viii) We prove this result by induction on n . The result is true for $n = 6$. Since, $\wp_{pf}(6,3) = \{3,6\}$. So, $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_6, 2) = |\wp_{pf}(6,2)| = 1$.

Now, assume that the result is true for all natural numbers less than n . For n , we have by lemma 2.5 $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n - 3) = d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}, n - 5) + d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-3}, n - 5)$.

By induction hypothesis we have, $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}, n - 5) = \frac{n^2 - 9n + 16}{2}$.

Also by part (vii) we have $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-3}, n - 5) = n - 4$.

Therefore, $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n - 3) = \frac{n^2 - 7n + 8}{2}$ for every $n \geq 6$

ix) It follows from lemma 2.4(iii)

x) We prove this result by induction on n . The result is true for $n = 9$. Since, $\wp_{pf}(9,3) = \{3,6,9\}$. So, $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_9, 3) = |\wp_{pf}(9,3)| = 1$.

Now, assume that the result is true for all natural numbers less than n . For n , we have by lemma 2.5 $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n - 6) = d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}, n - 7) + d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-3}, n - 7)$.

By induction hypothesis we have, $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}, n - 7) = \frac{n^3 - 21n^2 + 134n - 234}{6}$.

Also by part (viii) we have $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_{n-3}, n - 7) = \frac{n^2 - 13n + 38}{2}$.

Therefore, $d_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n, n - 6) = \frac{n^3 - 18n^2 + 95n - 120}{6}$ for every $n \geq 9$

Theorem 3.5

For every $n \in N$ and $\gamma_{pf}(\mathcal{P}_n) \leq i \leq n + 1$. $|\wp_{pf}(n, i)|$ is the coefficient of $u^n v^i$ in the expansion of the function $f(u, v) = \frac{u^3 v(1 + uv + uv^2 + u^3 v + uv^3 + uv^4 + u^3 v^2 + u^3 v^3 + u^3 v^4)}{(1 - uv - u^3 v)}$

Proof

First we set $f(u, v) = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\wp_{pf}(n, i)| u^n v^i$. By using the recursive formula for $|\wp_{pf}(n, i)|$ we can write $f(u, v)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(u, v) &= \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (|\wp_{pf}(n - 1, i - 1)| + |\wp_{pf}(n - 3, i - 1)|) u^n v^i \\ &= uv \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\wp_{pf}(n - 1, i - 1)| u^{n-1} v^{i-1} + u^3 v \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\wp_{pf}(n - 3, i - 1)| u^{n-3} v^{i-1} \\ &= uv (|\wp_{pf}(2,0)|u^2 + |\wp_{pf}(2,1)|u^2 v + |\wp_{pf}(2,2)|u^2 v^2 + |\wp_{pf}(2,3)|u^2 v^3 + |\wp_{pf}(3,0)|u^3 + \\ &|\wp_{pf}(3,1)|u^3 v + |\wp_{pf}(3,2)|u^3 v^2 + |\wp_{pf}(3,3)|u^3 v^3 + |\wp_{pf}(3,4)|u^3 v^4) + uvf(u, v) + u^3 v (|\wp_{pf}(0,0)| + \\ &|\wp_{pf}(1,0)|u + |\wp_{pf}(1,1)|uv + |\wp_{pf}(1,2)|uv^2 + |\wp_{pf}(2,0)|u^2 + |\wp_{pf}(2,1)|u^2 v + |\wp_{pf}(2,2)|u^2 v^2 + \\ &|\wp_{pf}(2,3)|u^2 v^3 + |\wp_{pf}(3,0)|u^3 + |\wp_{pf}(3,1)|u^3 v + |\wp_{pf}(3,2)|u^3 v^2 + |\wp_{pf}(3,3)|u^3 v^3 + |\wp_{pf}(3,4)|u^3 v^4) + \\ &u^3 v f(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the values from **Table 1** also for $|\wp_{pf}(n, 0)| = 0$ for all $n \in N$ and $|\wp_{pf}(0,0)| = 1$ we have,

$$f(u, v) = uv(u^3 v + u^3 v^2 + u^3 v^3 + u^3 v^4) + uvf(u, v) + u^3 v(1 + u^3 v + u^3 v^2 + u^3 v^3 + u^3 v^4) + u^3 v f(u, v)$$

$$f(u, v) = u^3 v(1 + u^3 v + u^3 v^2 + u^3 v^3 + u^3 v^4 + uv + uv^2 + uv^3 + uv^4) + uvf(u, v) + u^3 v f(u, v)$$

$$f(u, v)(1 - uv - u^3 v) = u^3 v(1 + u^3 v + u^3 v^2 + u^3 v^3 + u^3 v^4 + uv + uv^2 + uv^3 + uv^4)$$

$$\text{Hence, } f(u, v) = \frac{u^3 v(1 + uv + uv^2 + u^3 v + uv^3 + uv^4 + u^3 v^2 + u^3 v^3 + u^3 v^4)}{(1 - uv - u^3 v)}$$

IV. REFERENCES

- [1] A.M Anto, P.Paul Hawkins and T Shyla Isac Mary *Perfect Dominating Sets and Perfect Dominating Polynomial of a Cycle*, Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal 8(2019), no.3,538-543
- [2] A.M Anto, P.Paul Hawkins and T Shyla Isac Mary *Perfect Dominating Sets and Perfect Dominating Polynomial of a Path*, International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology Vol 28, No. 16,(2010), pp.1226-1236
- [3] A. Vijayan and T. Nagarajan. *Vertex-Edge Dominating sets and Vertex-Edge Domination Polynomials of cycles*. International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research volume 2, issue 8 August 2014, 547-564
- [4] Gray Chartand, Ping Zhang, 2005, *Introduction to graph theory*, Mc Graw Hill, Higher Education
- [5] Nigar Siddiqui and Mohit James *Domination and Chromatic Number of Pan Graph and Lollipop Graph*, International Journal of Technical Innovation in Modern Engineering & Science, Volume 4, Issue 6, June -2018
- [6] S. Alikhani and Y.H. Peng, *Domination sets and Domination polynomials of cycles*, Global Journal of pure and Applied Mathematics vol.4 No.2 (2008)
- [7] S. Alikhani and Y.H. Peng, *Introduction to Domination polynomial of a graph*, arXiv: 0905.225 [v] [math.co] 14 may (2009)
- [8] T.W.Haynes, S.T.Hedetniemi, and P.J.Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, Marcel Dekker, Newyork(1998).