

FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR GENERALIZED LIPSCHITZ MAPPINGS IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

Ajay Kumar Tamrakar¹, S. K. Nigam², K. P. Santhosh Kumar³, Anil Rajput⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, Government Autonomous P.G. College Satna (M.P.), India
Email: Ajay.tamrakar2010@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, Government Autonomous P.G. College Satna (M.P.), India
Email: nigamsantosh01@gmail.com

³Research Scholar, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology and Medical Science, Sehore (M.P.) India
Email: manakkalsanthosh@gmail.com

⁴Department of Mathematics, CSA Govt. PG Nodal College, Sehore (M.P.) India
Email: dranilrajput@gmail.com

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce the concept of cone b-metric space over Banach algebra and present some common fixed point theorems in such spaces. Moreover, we support our results by two examples. In addition, some applications in the solutions of several equations are given to illustrate the usability of the obtained results.

Keywords: Generalized Lipschitz constant, cone b-metric space over Banach algebra, c-sequence, weakly compatible.

1. Introduction

The concept of cone metric space, as a meaningful generalization of metric spaces, was introduced in the work of Huang and Zhang [9] where they also established the Banach contraction mapping principle in such spaces. Subsequently, [10] introduced cone b-metric space which greatly expanded cone metric space. Afterwards, many authors have focused on fixed point problems in cone metric spaces or cone b-metric spaces. A large number of works are noted in [1, 2, 3, 8, 11, 12, 15, 17, 18, 19, 21] and the relevant literature therein. Unfortunately, recently these problems became not attractive since some scholars found the equivalence of fixed point results between cone metric spaces and metric spaces, also between cone b-metric spaces and b-metric spaces (see [4, 5, 6, 7, 13, 14]). However, quite fortunately, very recently, Liu and Xu [16] introduced the notion of cone metric space over Banach algebra and considered fixed point theorems in such spaces in a different way by restricting the contractive constants to be vectors and the relevant multiplications to be vector ones instead of usual real constants and scalar multiplications. And that they provided an example to explain the non-equivalence of fixed point results between the vectorial versions and scalar versions. As a result, there is still both interest and need for research in the field of studying fixed point theorems in the framework of cone metric or cone b-metric spaces. Throughout this paper, we introduce the concept of cone b-metric space over Banach algebra as a further generalization of cone metric space over Banach algebra and prove some common fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings in such setting without assumption of normality. The results not only directly improve and expand several well-known comparable assertions in b-metric spaces and cone metric spaces, but also unify and complement some previous results in cone metric spaces over Banach algebras.

2. Definitions

Definition 2.1 ([16]). Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose that the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies:

- (i) $\theta \prec d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ and $d(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $d(x, y) \preceq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a cone metric on X , and (X, d) is called a cone metric space over Banach algebra \mathcal{A} .

Definition 2.2 ([16]). Let (X, d) be a cone metric space over Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , $x \in X$ and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence in X . Then

- (i) $\{x_n\}$ converges to x whenever for every $c \gg \theta$ there is a natural number N such that $d(x_n, x) \ll c$ for all $n \geq N$. We denote this by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).
- (ii) $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence whenever for every $c \gg \theta$ there is a natural number N such that $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$ for all $n, m \geq N$.
- (iii) (X, d) is complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Definition 2.3 ([1]). Let $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings on a set X .

- (i) If $w = fx = gx$ for some $x \in X$, then x is called a coincidence point of f and g , and w is called a point of coincidence of f and g ;
- (ii) The pair (f, g) is called weakly compatible if f and g commute at all of their coincidence points, that is, $fgx = gfx$ for all $x \in C(f, g) = \{x \in X : fx = gx\}$.

Definition 2.4 ([22]). Let P be a solid cone in a Banach space E . A sequence $\{u_n\} \subset P$ is said to be a c-sequence if for each $c \gg \theta$ there exists a natural number N such that $u_n \ll c$ for all $n > N$.

Lemma 2.5 ([22]). Let P be a solid cone in a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} . Suppose that $k \in P$ and $\{u_n\}$ is a c-sequence in P . Then $\{ku_n\}$ is a c-sequence.

Lemma 2.6 ([20]). Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra with a unit e , $k \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|k^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ exists and the spectral radius $\rho(k)$ satisfies

$$\rho(k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|k^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \inf \|k^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

If $\rho(k) < |\lambda|$, then $\lambda e - k$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} , moreover,

$$(\lambda e - k)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{\lambda^{i+1}}, \text{ where } \lambda \text{ is a complex constant.}$$

Lemma 2.7 ([20]). Let A be a Banach algebra with a unit e , $a, b \in A$. If a commutes with b , then $\rho(a + b) \leq \rho(a) + \rho(b)$, $\rho(ab) \leq \rho(a)\rho(b)$.

Lemma 2.8 ([1]). Let f and g be weakly compatible self maps of a set X . If f and g have a unique point of coincidence $w = fw = gw$, then w is the unique common fixed point of f and g .

3. Main results

In this section, firstly, inspired by Definition 2.1, we introduce a new concept called cone b-metric space over Banach algebra and then offer several examples to claim that it is an interesting improvement and increase of Definition 2.1 Secondly, we give some valuable lemmas in Banach algebras which will be used in the sequel. Thirdly, we prove several common fixed point theorems in cone b-metric spaces over Banach algebras instead of the theorems only in cone b-metric spaces with usual Banach spaces, which, to the best of our knowledge, are new. Otherwise, we highlight our results by two nontrivial examples.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a nonempty set and $s \geq 1$ be a constant. Suppose that the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies:

- (i) $\theta < d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ and $d(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $d(x, y) \preceq s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a cone b-metric on X , and (X, d) is called a cone b-metric space over Banach algebra \mathcal{A} .

Remark 3.2. Similar to Definition 2.2, we are easy to write the notions of convergent sequence, Cauchy sequence, and complete space in cone b-metric space over Banach algebra and therefore omit them.

Remark 3.3. The class of cone b-metric space over Banach algebra is larger than the class of cone metric space over Banach algebra since the latter must be the former, but the converse is not true. We can present many examples, as follows, which show that introducing a cone b-metric space over Banach algebra instead of a cone metric space over Banach algebra is very meaningful since there exist cone b-metric spaces over Banach algebras which are not cone metric spaces over Banach algebras.

Example 3.4. Let $\mathcal{A} = C[a, b]$ be the set of continuous functions on the interval $[a, b]$ with the supremum norm. Define multiplication in the usual way. Then A is a Banach algebra with a unit 1 .

Set $P = \{x \in A : x(t) \geq 0, t \in [a, b]\}$ and $X = \mathbb{R}$. Define a mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow A$ by $d(x, y)(t) = |x - y|^p e^t$ for all $x, y \in X$, where $p > 1$ is a constant. This makes (X, d) into a cone b-metric space over Banach algebra A with the coefficient $s = 2^{p-1}$, but it is not a cone metric space over Banach algebra since the triangle inequality is not satisfied.

Example 3.5. Let $A = \{a = (a_{ij})_{3 \times 3} : a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i, j \leq 3\}$ and $\|a\| = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} |a_{ij}|$. Take a cone

$P = \{a \in A : a_{ij} \geq 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq 3\}$ in A . Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Define a mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow A$ by

$$d(1,1) = d(2,2) = d(3,3) = \theta \text{ and}$$

$$d(1,2) = d(2,1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad d(1,3) = d(3,1) = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad d(2,3) = d(3,2) = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 5 & 6 \\ 16 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

It ensures us that (X, d) is a cone b-metric space over Banach algebra A with the coefficient $s = \frac{5}{2}$, but it is not a cone metric space over Banach algebra since the triangle inequality is lacked.

Lemma 3.7. Let A be a Banach algebra with a unit e and P be a solid cone in \mathcal{A} . Let $h \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u_n = h^n$. If $\rho(h) < 1$, then $\{u_n\}$ is a c -sequence.

Proof. Since $\rho(h) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} < 1$, then there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\|h^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} < \alpha < \lim 1$. Letting n be big enough, we obtain $\|h^n\| \leq \alpha^n$, which implies that $\|h^n\| \leq \alpha^n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). So $\|h^n\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), i.e., $\|u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Note that for each $c \gg \theta$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$U(c, \delta) = \{x \in E : \|x - c\| < \delta\} \subset P.$$

In view of $\|u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), then there exists N such that $\|u_n\| < \delta$ for all $n > N$. Consequently, $\|(c - u_n) - c\| = \|u_n\| < \delta$, this leads to $c - u_n \in U(c, \delta) \subset P$, that is, $c - u_n \in \text{int}P$, thus $u_n \ll c$ for all $n > N$. \square

Lemma 3.8. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra with a unit e and $k \in \mathcal{A}$. If λ is a complex constant and $\rho(k) < |\lambda|$, then

$$\rho(\lambda e - k)^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda| - \rho(k)}.$$

Proof. Since $\rho(k) < |\lambda|$, it follows by Lemma 2.6 that $\lambda e - k$ is invertible and $(\lambda e - k)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{\lambda^{i+1}}$. Set

$s = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{\lambda^{i+1}}$, $s_n = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{k^i}{\lambda^{i+1}}$, then $s_n \rightarrow s$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) and s_n commutes with s for all n . It follows immediately

from Lemma 2.7 that

$$\rho(s_n) = \rho(s_n - s + s) \leq \rho(s - s_n) + \rho(s) \Rightarrow \rho(s_n) - \rho(s) \leq \rho(s - s_n),$$

$$\rho(s) = \rho(s - s_n + s_n) \leq \rho(s - s_n) + \rho(s_n) \Rightarrow \rho(s) - \rho(s_n) \leq \rho(s - s_n),$$

which imply that

$$|\rho(s_n) - \rho(s)| \leq \rho(s - s_n) \leq \|s - s_n\| \Rightarrow \rho(s_n) \rightarrow \rho(s) (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Thus again by Lemma 2.7 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\lambda e - k)^{-1} &= \rho\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{\lambda^{i+1}}\right) = \rho(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(s_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{k^i}{\lambda^{i+1}}\right) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{[\rho(k)]^i}{|\lambda|^{i+1}} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\rho(k)]^i}{|\lambda|^{i+1}} = \frac{1}{|\lambda| - \rho(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.9. Let (X, d) be a cone b-metric space over Banach algebra A with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and P be a solid cone in A . Let $k_i \in P$ ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) be generalized Lipschitz constants with $2sp(k_1) + (s+1)\rho(k_2 + k_3 + sk_4 + sk_5) < 2$. Suppose that k_1 commutes with $k_2 + k_3 + sk_4 + sk_5$ and the mappings $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ satisfy that

$$d(fx, fy) \leq k_1 d(gx, gy) + k_2 d(fx, gx) + k_3 d(fy, gy) + k_4 [d(gx, fy) + d(fx, gy)] + k_5 [d(fx, gx) + d(fy, gy)] \dots \dots \dots (2.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If the range of g contains the range of f and $g(X)$ is a complete subspace, then f and g have a unique point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if f and g are weakly compatible, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point. Since $f(X) \subset g(X)$, there exists an $x_1 \in X$ such that $fx_0 = gx_1$. By induction, a sequence $\{fx_n\}$ can be chosen such that $fx_n = gx_{n+1}$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Thus, by (2.1), for any natural number n , on the one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) &= d(fx_n, fx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq k_1 d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + k_2 d(fx_n, gx_n) + k_3 d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + k_4 [d(gx_n, fx_{n-1}) + d(fx_n, gx_{n-1})] \\ &+ k_5 [d(fx_n, gx_n) + d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1})] \\ &= k_1 d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + k_2 d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) + k_3 d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + k_4 [d(gx_n, gx_n) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n-1})] \\ &+ k_5 [d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) + d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})] \text{ which implies} \\ (e - k_2 - sk_4 - k_5) d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) &\leq (k_1 + k_3 + sk_4 + k_5) d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}). \dots \dots \dots (2.2) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) &= d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \\ &\leq k_1 d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + k_2 d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) + k_3 d(fx_n, gx_n) \\ &\quad + k_4 [d(gx_{n-1}, fx_n) + d(fx_{n-1}, gx_n)] \\ &+ k_5 [d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) + d(fx_n, gx_n)] \\ &= k_1 d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + k_2 d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + k_3 d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) \\ &\quad + k_4 [d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n-1}) + d(gx_n, gx_n)] \\ &+ k_5 [d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n)] \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(e - k_3 - sk_4 - k_5) d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) \leq (k_1 + k_2 + sk_4 + k_5) d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) \dots \dots \dots (2.3)$$

Add up (2.2) and (2.3) yields that

$$(2e - k_2 - k_3 - 2sk_4 - 2k_5) d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) \leq (2k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 2sk_4 + 2k_5) d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) \dots \dots \dots (2.4)$$

Denoted $k_2 + k_3 + 2sk_4 + 2k_5 = k$, then (2.4) yields that

$$(2e - k) d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) \leq (2k_1 + k) d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) \dots \dots \dots (2.5)$$

Note that

$$2\rho(k) \leq (s + 1)\rho(k) \leq 2sp(k_1) + (s + 1)\rho(k) < 2$$

leads to $\rho(k) < 1$, then by Lemma 2.6 it follows that $2e - k$ is invertible. Furthermore,

$$(2e - k)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{2^{i+1}}.$$

By multiplying in both sides of (2.5) by $(2e - k)^{-1}$, we arrive at

$$d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) \preceq (2e - k)^{-1}(2k_1 + k)d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}). \quad \dots\dots\dots (2.6)$$

Denote $h = (2e - k)^{-1}(2k_1 + k)$, then by (2.6) we get

$$d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) \preceq hd(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) \preceq \dots \preceq h^n d(gx_1, gx_0) = h^n d(fx_0, gx_0).$$

Since k_1 commutes with k , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (2e - k)^{-1}(2k_1 + k) &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{2^{i+1}} \right) (2k_1 + k) = 2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{2^{i+1}} \right) k_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^{i+1}}{2^{i+1}} \\ &= 2k_1 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{2^{i+1}} \right) + k \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{2^{i+1}} \\ &= (2k_1 + k) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{2^{i+1}} \right) = (2k_1 + k)(2e - k)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

that is to say, $(2e - k)^{-1}$ commutes with $2k_1 + k$. Then by Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 3.8 we gain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(h) &= \rho \left((2e - k)^{-1}(2k_1 + k) \right) \\ &\leq \rho \left((2e - k)^{-1} \right) \rho(2k_1 + k) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2 - \rho(k)} [2\rho(k_1) + \rho(k)] < \frac{1}{s}, \end{aligned}$$

which establishes that $e - sh$ is invertible and $\|h^m\| \rightarrow 0$ ($m \rightarrow \infty$). Hence, for any $m \geq 1$, $p \geq 1$ and $h \in \mathbb{P}$ with $\rho(h) < \frac{1}{s}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_m, gx_{m+p}) &\preceq s[d(gx_m, gx_{m+1}) + d(gx_{m+1}, gx_{m+p})] \\ &\preceq sd(gx_m, gx_{m+1}) + s^2[d(gx_{m+1}, gx_{m+2}) + d(gx_{m+2}, gx_{m+p})] \\ &\preceq sd(gx_m, gx_{m+1}) + s^2d(gx_{m+1}, gx_{m+2}) + s^3d(gx_{m+2}, gx_{m+3}) \\ &\quad + \dots + s^{p-1}d(gx_{m+p-2}, gx_{m+p-1}) + s^{p-1}d(gx_{m+p-1}, gx_{m+p}) \\ &\preceq sh^m d(fx_0, gx_0) + s^2h^{m+1}d(fx_0, gx_0) + s^3h^{m+2}d(fx_0, gx_0) \\ &\quad + \dots + s^{p-1}h^{m+p-2}d(fx_0, gx_0) + s^ph^{m+p-1}d(fx_0, gx_0) \\ &= sh^m [e + sh + s^2h^2 + \dots + (sh)^{p-1}] d(fx_0, gx_0) \\ &\preceq sh^m (e - sh)^{-1} d(fx_0, gx_0). \end{aligned}$$

Taking advantage of Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.5, we get $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $g(X)$ is complete, there is $q \in g(X)$ such that $gx_n \rightarrow q$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Thus there exists $p \in X$ such that $gp = q$. We shall prove $fp = q$. In order to end this, for one thing,

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_n, fp) &= d(fx_{n-1}, fp) \\ &\leq k_1 d(gx_{n-1}, gp) + k_2 d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) + k_3 d(fp, gp) \\ &\quad + k_4 [d(gx_{n-1}, fp) + d(fx_{n-1}, gp)] \\ &+ k_5 [d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) + d(fp, gp)] \\ &= k_1 d(gx_{n-1}, q) + k_2 d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + k_3 d(fp, q) \\ &\quad + k_4 [d(gx_{n-1}, fp) + d(gx_n, q)] \\ &+ k_5 [d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + d(fp, q)] \\ &\leq k_1 d(gx_{n-1}, q) + sk_2 d(gx_n, q) + sk_2 d(q, gx_{n-1}) + k_3 sd \\ &\quad + k_4 [d(gx_{n-1}, fp) + d(gx_n, q)] \\ &+ k_5 [d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + d(fp, q)] \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (e - sk_3 - sk_4 - sk_5)d(gx_n, fp) &\leq (k_1 + sk_2 + s^2k_4 + sk_5)d(gx_{n-1}, q) \\ &+ (sk_2 + sk_3 + s^2k_4 + k_4 + 2sk_5)d(gx_n, q) \dots\dots\dots (2.7) \end{aligned}$$

For another thing,

$$\begin{aligned} d(fp, gx_n) &= d(fp, fx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq k_1 d(gp, gx_{n-1}) + k_2 d(fp, gp) + k_3 d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + k_4 [d(gp, fx_{n-1}) + d(fp, gx_{n-1})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+k_5 [d(fp, gp) + d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1})] \\
 &= k_1 d(gx_{n-1}, q) + sk_2 d(gx_n, fp) + sk_2 d(gx_n, q) + sk_3 d(gx_n, q) + sk_3 (gx_{n-1}, q) \\
 &\quad + k_4 [d(q, gx_n) + sd(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + sd(gx_n, fp)] \\
 &+k_5 [sd(fp, gx_n) + sd(gx_n, q) + sd(gx_n, q) + sd(gx_{n-1}, q)] \\
 &\leq k_1 d(gx_{n-1}, q) + sk_2 d(gx_n, fp) + sk_2 d(gx_n, q) + sk_3 d(gx_n, q) + sk_3 (gx_{n-1}, q) \\
 &\quad +k_4 [d(q, gx_n) + s\{sd(gx_{n-1}, q) + sd(q, gx_n)\} + sd(gx_n, fp)] \\
 &+k_5 [sd(fp, gx_n) + sd(gx_n, q) + sd(gx_n, q) + sd(gx_{n-1}, q)]
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(e - sk_2 - sk_4 - sk_5)d(gx_n, fp) \leq (k_1 + sk_3 + s^2k_4 + sk_5)d(gx_{n-1}, q) + (sk_2 + sk_3 + s^2k_4 + k_4 + 2sk_5)d(gx_n, q) \dots \dots \dots (2.8)$$

Combine (2.7) and (2.8), it follows that and using $k_2 + k_3 + 2sk_4 + 2k_5 = k$

$$(2e - sk)d(gx_n, fp) \leq (2k_1 + sk)d(gx_{n-1}, q) + (2sk_2 + 2sk_3 + 2s^2k_4 + 2k_4 + 4sk_5)d(gx_n, q) \dots \dots \dots (2.9)$$

Now that $\rho(sk) = s\rho(k) \leq (s + 1)\rho(k) \leq 2s\rho(k_1) + (s + 1)\rho(k) < 2$,

thus by Lemma 2.6, it concludes that $2e - sk$ is invertible. As a result, it follows immediately from (2.9) that

$$d(gx_n, fp) \leq (2e - sk)^{-1} [(2k_1 + sk)d(gx_{n-1}, q) + (2sk_2 + 2sk_3 + 2s^2k_4 + 2k_4 + 4sk_5)d(gx_n, q)].$$

Since $\{d(gx_n, q)\}$ and $\{d(gx_{n-1}, q)\}$ are c-sequences, then by Lemma 2.5, we acquire that $\{d(gx_n, fp)\}$ is a c-sequence, thus $gx_n \rightarrow fp$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Hence $fp = gp = q$. In the following we shall prove f and g have a unique point of coincidence.

If there exists $p' \neq p$ such that $fp' = gp'$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(gp', gp) &= d(fp', fp) \\
 &\leq k_1 d(gp', gp) + k_2 d(fp', gp') + k_3 d(fp, gp) \\
 &+ k_4 [d(gp', fp) + d(fp', gp)] + k_5 [d(fp', gp') + d(fp, gp)] \\
 &= (k_1 + 2k_4)d(gp', gp)
 \end{aligned}$$

Set $\alpha = k_1 + 2k_4$, then it follows that

$$d(gp', gp) \leq \alpha d(gp', gp) \leq \dots \leq \alpha^n d(gp', gp) \dots \dots \dots (2.10)$$

Because of $2\rho(k_1) + 2\rho(k) \leq 2s\rho(k_1) + (s + 1)\rho(k) < 2$,

it follows that $\rho(k_1) + \rho(k) < 1$. Since k_1 commutes with k , then by Lemma 2.7,

$$\rho(k_1 + k) \leq \rho(k_1) + \rho(k) < 1.$$

Accordingly, by Lemma 3.7, we speculate that $\{(k_1 + k)^n\}$ is a c-sequence. Noticing that $\alpha \leq k_1 + k$ leads to $\alpha^n \leq (k_1 + k)^n$, we claim that $\{\alpha^n\}$ is a c-sequence. Consequently, in view of (2.10), it is easy to see $d(gp', gp) = \theta$, that is, $gp' = gp$.

Finally, if (f, g) is weakly compatible, then by using Lemma 2.8, we claim that f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Corollary 3.10. Let (X, d) be a cone b-metric space over Banach algebra A with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and P be a solid cone in A . Let $k \in P$ be a generalized Lipschitz constant with $\rho(k) < \frac{1}{s}$. Suppose that the mappings $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ satisfy that

$$d(fx, fy) \preceq kd(gx, gy)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If the range of g contains the range of f and $g(X)$ is a complete subspace, then f and g have a unique point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if f and g are weakly compatible, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Choose $k_1 = k$ and $k_2 = k_3 = k_4 = k_5 = \theta$ in Theorem 2.9, the proof is valid. \square

Corollary 3.11. Let (X, d) be a cone b-metric space over Banach algebra A with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and P be a solid cone in A . Let $k \in P$ be a generalized Lipschitz constant with $\rho(k) < \frac{1}{s+1}$. Suppose that the mappings $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ satisfy that

$$d(fx, fy) \preceq k[d(fx, gx) + d(fy, gy)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If the range of g contains the range of f and $g(X)$ is a complete subspace, then f and g have a unique point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if f and g are weakly compatible, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Putting $k_1 = k_4 = k_5 = \theta$ and $k_2 = k_3 = k$ in Theorem 3.9, we complete the proof. \square

Remark 3.12. Theorem 3.9 and its corollaries dismiss the normality of cones, which may bring us more convenience in applications. This is because the cones in our main results may be not necessarily normal cones. Indeed, there exist numerous non-normal cones besides that the usual normal cones (see [54]).

Remark 3.13. Theorem 3.9 and its corollaries generalize and unify the main results of [21] and [22].

Example 3.14(the case of a nonnormal cone). Let $X = [0, 1]$ and A be the set of all real valued functions on X which also have continuous derivatives on X with the norm $\|x\| = \|x\|_\infty + \|x^0\|_\infty$ and the usual multiplication. Let $P = \{x \in A :$

$x(t) \geq 0, t \in X$. It is clear that P is a nonnormal cone and A is a Banach algebra with a unit $e = 1$. Define a mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow A$ by

$$d(x,y)(t) = |x - y|^2 e^t.$$

We make a conclusion that (X,d) is a complete cone b-metric space over Banach algebra A with the coefficient $s = 2$.

Now define the mappings $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{8}, \quad g(x) = \frac{x}{2}$$

Choose $k_1 = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8}t$, $k_2 = \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12}t$ and $k_3 = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16}t$, $k_4 = k_5 = 0$. Simple calculations show that all conditions of Theorem 5.3.9 are satisfied. Therefore, 0 is the unique common fixed point of f and g

References:

1. Abbas, M. and Jungck, G.: Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces *J. Math. Anal Appl* 341 (2008), 416-420.
2. Abusalim, S. M. and Noorani, M. S. M.: Fixed point and common fixed point theorems on ordered cone b-metric spaces, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2013 (2013), 7 pages.
3. Azam, A.; Mehmood, N.; Ahmad, J. and Radenović, S.: Multivalued fixed point theorems in cone b-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2013 (2013), 9 pages.
4. Du, W. S. and Karapinar, E.: A note on cone b-metric and its related results: generalizations or equivalence, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2013 (2013), 7 pages.
5. Du, W. S.: A note on cone metric fixed point theory and its equivalence, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 72 (2010), 2259–2261.
6. Ercan, Z.: On the end of the cone metric spaces, *Topology Appl.*, 166 (2014), 10–14.
7. Feng, Y. and Mao, W. : The equivalence of cone metric spaces and metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory*, 11 (2010) , 259–263.
8. Huang, H. and Xu, S.: Fixed point theorems of contractive mappings in cone b-metric spaces and applications, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2013 (2013), 10 pages.
9. Huang, L. and Zhang, X.: Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 332 (2007), 1468–1476.
10. Hussaina, N, Shah, MH: KKM mappings in cone b-metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62, 1677-1684 (2011).
11. Janković, S. ; Kadelburg, Z. and Radenović, S.: On cone metric spaces: A survey, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 74 (2011), 2591 – 2601.
12. Kadelburg, Z. and Radenović, S.: A note on various types of cones and fixed point results in cone metric spaces, *Asian J. Math. Appl.*, 2013 (2013), 7 pages.
13. Kadelburg, Z.; Radenović, S.; Rakočević, V.: A note on the equivalence of some metric and cone metric fixed point results, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 24 (2011), 370–374.
14. . Kumam, P.; Dung, N. V. and Hang, V. T. L.: Some equivalence between cone b-metric spaces and b-metric spaces, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2013 (2013), 8 pages.
15. Li, Z. and Jiang, S.: Quasi-contractions restricted with linear bounded mappings in cone metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2014, (2014), 10 pages.
16. Liu, H. and Xu, S.: Cone metric spaces with Banach algebras and fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2013 (2013), 10 pages.
17. Pai, X.; Liu, S. and Jiao, H.: Some new coincidence and common fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces, *Afr. Math.*, 24 (2013), 135–144.
18. Radenović, S. ; Simić, S.; Cakić, N. and Golubović, Z.: A note on tvs-cone metric fixed point theory, *Math. Comput. Model.*, 54 (2011), 2418–2422.
19. Rajput, A.; Malhotra, S.; Shrivastava, R.; Sen, R; and Shukla, S.: Common fixed point theorems and generalization of reich type mapping in cone metric spaces, *Research Journal of Pure Algebra* -1(8), (2011), 176-183.
20. Rudin, W.: *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, (1991).
21. Song, G.; Sun, X.; Zhao, Y. and Wang, G.: New common fixed point theorems for maps on cone metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 23 (2010), 1033–1037.
22. Xu, S. and Radenović, S.: Fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings on cone metric spaces over Banach algebras without assumption of normality, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2014, (2014), 12 pages.