

A Critical Review on Academic Involvement for Academic Procrastination

Shikha

Assistant Professor, Department of Education
Shukdeva Krishna College of Education for Girls, Moga

Abstract

Procrastination is a far reaching peculiarity in scholastic settings. It has been considered from various hypothetical points, and an assortment of causes and outcomes have been recommended. Late investigations support the thought that scholarly procrastination can be seen according to a situational viewpoint and as a disappointment in learning self-guideline. It proposes that Involvements should address situational just as shortages in self-guideline to assist understudies with beating their delaying inclinations. The current audit inspected the new writing on causes and outcomes of scholarly procrastination and the predetermined number of investigations of scholastic Involvements for scholarly procrastination. Discoveries of this audit reinforce the need to additional review the subject of scholarly Involvements for scholastic procrastination and to foster viable Involvements. Toward the finish of this audit, a few ideas for the advancement of scholarly Involvements are laid out.

Keywords: Academic Involvement, Academic Procrastination, Learning, Education

Introduction

All individuals will quite often hesitate at various occasions in their lives. For certain individuals, it is in their inclination and they will generally linger across most life circumstances (i.e., constant slackers), while others will generally dawdle in explicit circumstances and explicit areas (Klingsieck, 2013a). Most exploration identifies with procrastination as a steady character characteristic; in any case, outer just as inner conditions might trigger or intervene the real conduct (Steel and Klingsieck, 2016; Van Eerde, 2003). Exceptional, the specific elements basic this unreasonable deferral are yet to be uncovered (Wilson and Nguyen, 2012). Various investigations have highlighted a wide range of individual, intellectual, enthusiastic, and inspirational elements that appear to prompt a fairly divided portrayal of this peculiarity (Rebetez, Rochat, and Van der Linden, 2015). Nonetheless, what is by all accounts obvious is that a great many people who stall experience a considerable measure of uneasiness (Flett, Stainton, Hewitt, Sherry, and Lay, 2012) and might want to decrease this conduct (Steel, 2007). Curiously despite the fact that procrastination is so pervasive and adds to such countless difficulties among those tormented, research concerning Involvements for procrastination is as of now scant (Steel and Klingsieck, 2016). This nonattendance turns out to be much more obvious with regards to procrastination in academic settings (Strunk and Spencer, 2012).

While more than 70% of undergrads will generally dawdle consistently (Klingsieck, Grund, Schmid, and Fries, 2013), and there is a broad assortment of examinations that focus on the unfortunate results of this conduct (Haghbin, McCaffrey, and Pychyl, 2012), there are just barely any new investigations that analyse the impact of academic involvement in forestalling this inescapable peculiarity (Glick and Orsillo, 2015). Academic Involvement in this paper refers to explicit showing techniques directed by school educators that are intended to help understudies decrease their dawdling practises (Ackerman and Gross, 2005). The thought is that procrastination can be seen from a situational and formative viewpoint (Klingsieck, 2013a); it is obviously awful for the individual and for society (Pychyl and Flett, 2012) and, in this way, ought to be tended to by offices just as much as by the procrastinators.

Several studies have found that younger students will generally delay more frequently than older students (Kim and Seo, 2015). These discoveries might reflect youthful understudies' development level and their powerlessness to assume full liability for their learning processes. This could imply that these understudies are just about to become completely independent adults and still require assistance to effectively self-manage their learning (Ferrari, 2001). This group of understudies is frequently too young to consider paying for therapeutic activities or truly benefiting from self-improvement books. Some of them don't know about the since quite a while ago, unfortunate results of this conduct and won't look for help, and others won't battle this on the grounds that it becomes a piece of their temperament from the beginning and a piece of a satisfactory school culture that follows them into their expert professions (Stead, Shanahan, and Neufeld, 2010). In academic settings, it might influence individual understudies, the teacher, and now and then, even the association, assuming the slowpoke takes part in academic wrongdoing or can't finish his investigations (Patzek, Sattler, van Veen, Grunschel, and Fries, 2015). Consequently, we accept that examination in this space is imperative. The lack of it is astounding, as well as disappointing for educators who work with young understudies, see the consequences of this dangerous behaviour on a regular basis, and must assist

understudies in conquering this dangerous behavior. Procrastination isn't just an issue for the delayed individual himself; it likewise influences others who depend on that person (Steel and Ferrari, 2013).

Over the last 20 years, there has been a developing collection of writing that alludes to procrastination as a self-guideline disappointment (Pychyl and Flett, 2012). Self-guided learning in general, and self-controlled learning in academic settings in particular, is, by all accounts, a desired task for some students. It necessitates impressive knowledge of how to effectively educate and direct oneself (Zimmerman, 2002). Moreover, students need to know how to utilise self-guideline procedures adaptively to prevail in their learning interactions (Zimmerman, 2008). These techniques include intellectual, metacognitive, persuasive, and social methodologies (Pintrich, 2004). It appears to be that the absence of self-guided learning happens when understudies have a lack of procedures for directing their learning processes and inadequate outside help is made accessible to them. At the college level, outside help is frequently extremely limited, and as a result, particularly youthful juvenile understudies may neglect to self-manage their learning cycles and will frequently engage in academic procrastination (Wäschle, Allgaier, Lachner, Fink, and Nückles, 2014).

This survey will analyse the fundamental drivers and outcomes of academic procrastination, a few studies that investigated academic involvement for procrastination, and end with some beginning ideas for school educators who work with youthful undergrads as an underlying endeavour to address academic procrastination in youthful understudies.

Academic procrastination

Academic procrastination can be characterised as the inclination to postpone expected academic errands, despite the fact that this might bring about adverse results (Simpson and Pychyl, 2009; Steel, 2007). Exact examinations represent that up to 70% of understudies delay consistently (Ferrari, O'Callaghan, and Newbegin, 2005; Rabin, Fogel, and Nutter-Upham, 2011). Procrastination in academic settings has been viewed as predominant among both undergrad and graduate understudies, across racial classifications and between sexual orientations (Klassen, Krawchuk, and Rajani, 2008; Klassen, 2010; Ozer and Ferrari, 2011). Studies show that the undertakings that incite academic procrastination incorporate composing research projects, reading up for assessments, and staying aware of week-to-week understanding tasks (Onwuegbuzie and Jiao, 2000). Academic achievement and achievement of low combined grade point midpoints (Balkis, 2011; Ferrari, Keane, Wolfe, and Beck, 1998; Hen and Goroshit, 2014).

According to Steel (2007), procrastination is the defining feature of uprightness and is negatively associated with happiness, wealth, and bliss. Furthermore, the individual characteristics of imprudence, self-adequacy, and need for accomplishment, as well as the errand qualities of aversiveness and postponement, were strongly associated with procrastination (Gropel and Steel, 2008). Drawing on the fleeting inspiration hypothesis (TMT), Steel (2007, 2010) recommended that people defer an undertaking when no less than one of the accompanying remains constant: They see a low probability of being fruitful on the errand. They don't anticipate getting any value or happiness from the undertaking. There is a long delay between playing out the undertaking and encountering any significant advantage or cost.

McCown, Blake, and Keiser (2012), in light of the hypothesis of sane emotional social treatment, directed a planned investigation of the convictions of procrastinators that likewise included observationally based, PC-scored content examinations applied to the feelings and musings of procrastinators. In a correlation among stalling and nonprocrastinating understudies, they observed that procrastination was emphatically connected with maladaptive considerations in four regions—self-deterioration musings and sentiments, other devaluation, life condition deterioration, and disappointment bigotry. Likewise, it was related to diminished cheerfulness. Procrastination is likewise connected with missing cutoff times for submitting tasks, deferring the taking of self-guided tests, asserting test tension, getting low course grades,

Further exploration showed that procrastination was emphatically anticipated via programmed negative contemplations (Flett et al., 2012), low accomplishment objectives, self-esteem, and self-directed disappointment (Rebetez, Rochat, and van-Linden, 2015), low care (Sirois and Tosti, 2012), dread of disappointment (Haghbin et al., 2012), low objective administration capacities (Gustavson, Miyake, Hewitt, and Friedman, 2014), maladaptive

hairsplitting (Rice, Richardson, and Clark, 2012), low interest upgrade and low energy (Gropel and Steel, 2008), and brought down leader capacities (Rabin et al., 2011).

Several studies have found that procrastination in academic settings causes mental distress, uneasiness, a decline in health, negative well-being practices, diminished prosperity, low academic performance, lament, and aversion to social relations (Kim and Seo, 2015; Krause and Freund, 2014; Sirois and Pychyl, 2013; Steel and Ferrari, 2013). While trying to concentrate on the idea of academic procrastination with more prominent profundity, a few subjective investigations were led. Schraw, Wadkins, and Olafson (2007) directed a ground hypothesis study to look at the cycle by which procrastination happens and to propose a fundamental worldview model. Their discoveries suggest that academic procrastination has both versatile and maladaptive angles. Understudies announced that they were delaying to deal with their time all the more effectively, decrease weariness, and work all the more proficiently.

Further their respondents announced that they procrastinated for versatile reasons and seldom felt that procrastination adversely affected learning. Also, they observed that understudies now and again procrastinated deliberately to work on the general quality and productivity of their lives, despite the fact that it added pressure and strain late in every semester for somewhat brief timeframes. They clarified that understudies did as such in light of the fact that additional pressure and strain were vital parts in the procrastination cycle that empowered them to perform at top effectiveness.

These discoveries line up with different investigations that suggest that academic procrastination has some versatile viewpoints (Choi and Moran, 2009). Be that as it may, Corkin, Shirley, and Lindt (2011) and later Klingsieck et al. (2013) contended that procrastination, by definition, can't be related to academic achievement, and in this way, it appears to be that Schraw et al's (2007) discoveries reflect practical types of deferral (e.g., vital postponement) and not academic procrastination. Another review that inspected academic procrastinators and key delayers saw that while vital delayers deliberately postponed their investigations yet introduced high inspiration and self-adequacy convictions, hesitating understudies needed self-regulation abilities and introduced powerless self-viability convictions (Lindblom-Ylänne, Saariaho, Inkinen, Haarala-Muhonen, and Hailikari, 2015).

Following the above subjective as well as quantitative discoveries with respect to academic procrastination and the explicit situational point of view presented by Klingsieck (2013a, 2013b), there is no doubt about the need to address this peculiarity nearby. Understudies and teachers are addressing the cost of overlooking its reality or attempting to manage it locally. Although numerous hypothetical points of view have been contemplated throughout the long term (Steel and Klingsieck, 2016), understanding academic procrastination as a disappointment in self-directed learning appears to best fit the vast majority of the new examination discoveries in regards to academic procrastination introduced in this audit (Pychyl and Flett, 2012).

This hypothetical point of view might recommend that procrastination in academic settings includes both inward and outer elements that should be addressed by understudies and personnel trying to conquer this predominant event (Klingsieck, 2013b). Sadly, notwithstanding its predominance and adverse consequences, a "highest quality level of involvement" for academic procrastination has not yet been created (Glick and Orsillo, 2015). The accompanying area will survey the few examinations that analysed academic involvement for academic procrastination.

Academic Involvements for academic procrastination

An examination of the writing reveals few examples of successful participation in academic procrastination. Also, many examinations miss the mark by not offering randomised controlled preliminaries as well as approved result measures (see Rozental and Carlbring, 2014). The changing techniques present in the exploration material can be considered the result of the speculations for academic procrastination preferred by the analysts in the field, who seldom take on a comprehensive way to deal with involvement.

Approaches to diminishing academic procrastination found in writing can basically be sorted into three groups; 1. remedial treatment, 2. remedial counteraction, and 3. educator/instructor involvement. The initial two methodologies are comparative in that they utilise helpful involvement to diminish procrastination. They contrast in regards to when the involvement is controlled. Remedial treatment techniques look to intercede later when an understudy has shown procrastinatory conduct, e.g., late accommodation of the first course task, though helpful avoidances plan to

keep the adverse consequences of procrastination from the beginning, e.g., restorative courses proposed to understudies toward the beginning of the semester (Ozer, Demir, and Ferrari, 2013). The third methodology endeavours to enlist the educator of the course to give nontherapeutic techniques for diminishing procrastination propensities among understudy members.

In a new assessment of the significant qualities of procrastination (Balkis and Duru, 2007), the creators state that social and CBT strategies appear to be more compelling than general guiding and psychotherapy procedures in diminishing academic procrastination. This perception is addressed in the latest things of late exploration to concentrate on the overall adequacy of conduct and intellectual social involvement in academic procrastination. The outcomes have been positive in both the helpful avoidance and restorative involvement approaches referenced previously. One such review (Ozer et al., 2013) used the Ellis ABC model to make a gathering-directing involvement program. The five 90-minute meetings held week after week focused on comprehension of procrastinatory designs, managing nonsensical musings, and useful reasoning. Understudies going to the programme showed an abatement in their academic and general procrastination scores. Another such review (Tuckman and Schouwenburg, 2004) additionally tracked down progress with bunch involvement. In this review, understudy members were trained on how to decrease procrastination by utilising one of the two projects. The main programme zeroed in on conduct alteration as a strategy to control ecological boosts that go about as precursors to procrastination. The subsequent programme zeroed in on showing understudies better time-usage abilities. The programme included practise with carrying out long haul flights, concentrating on timetables and better time usage procedures. Because of diminished procrastination, understudies in the two projects performed better and accomplished better academic outcomes. Once more, in a new report (Scent and Boes, 2014), a gathering involvement programme using standards of acknowledgment and responsibility treatment (ACT) brought about progress. The programme showed a three-venture process for reacting to procrastination practices; perceiving procrastination as an avoidant component, growing conduct archive, and expanding member's association with their objectives and qualities to create inspiration. Toward the finish of the program, understudies announced additions in mental adaptability (PF). PF is the capacity to pick activities dependent on one's qualities even while encountering undesirable or disagreeable private occasions. PF is in this way viewed as compromised under conditions of procrastination precursors like errand repugnance and dread of disappointment.

As beneficial as these therapeutic interventions may be, they do have significant limitations. In the first place, the self-evident, remedial techniques require prepared advisors to convey and screen the involvement. For the normal spending plan tied to academic establishments, recruiting such prepared individuals may not be a choice. Second, the above-mentioned involvement is managed in social settings as part of independent courses or projects. Simultaneous courses request extra-long periods of guidance. This might be an unreasonable choice for the two understudies and the academic establishment. For the understudy, adding an extra elective might be unfortunate and put strain on a generally overburdened concentration on time. For the academic foundation, extra regulatory and monetary expenses associated with employing advisors and offering the courses may not be practical. Thus, research in the third classification clarified above, specifically, educator/teacher involvement, contributes an extremely encouraging and useful part to the fight against academic procrastination pursued in the study hall.

Instructor Involvement techniques found in writing are normally powerful and easy to execute. They don't need the course educator to have past information on or prepare for a particular treatment type. These involvements occur inside the system of the course chosen by the understudy and don't require participation at gatherings or interest in any particular involvement programs. These educator-based involvement techniques are intended to focus on all understudies, paying little mind to past procrastination propensities. This is a significant advantage given the far-reaching commonness of procrastination among undergrads (80% as indicated by late gauges) (Steel and Ferrari, 2013).

To see the value in the straightforwardness and viability of these informative involvements, think about the accompanying educational involvement techniques and their particular discoveries. Week by week, grade-contributing spot tests on reading material parts were found to persuade procrastinators to concentrate persistently over a whole course and not leave concentrating on audit for the little while before the test (Tuckman, 1998). The creator focuses on impetus as the vital boost for procrastinators to self-direct. Another study (Perrin et al., 2011)

found that members concentrated more consistently when specific internet-based review materials were just opening as an unexpected way of finishing the previous concentrate on module, using a variety of in-class tests.

This reliable review style addresses an abatement in procrastination. The propensity in the gauge control bunch, where concentrating on material was available noncontingently, was to pack their review into the last few days before the in-class "for-credit" week-by-week test. Openness to involvement additionally prompted a general improvement in test scores compared with pretest scores. The creators recommend that the involvement go about as a type of negative support to expand readiness for in-class tests. Exceptionally encouraging outcomes have as of late been found with a short commitment formulated by Strunk and Spencer (2012). This involvement is expected to increase intellectual interest and responsibility and is based upon the positive outcomes that Isbell and Cote (2009) had using individual educator correspondence to build execution on course tests. Upon late accommodation of the primary task of the semester, the understudy would be required to attend an individual gathering with the course teacher.

The magnificence of the educational involvement approach is the straightforwardness with which it can be carried out and the promising advantage of a decrease in understudy academic procrastination. One of the acknowledged hypothetical reasons for procrastination is self-guideline disappointment (Steel, 2007). Accordingly, setting the job of intervener into the hands of the course educator/teacher might have the extra advantage of raising the adequacy and nature of his course. At the point when a course teacher realises that she should consider procrastination decreasing strategies, a more clear, more characterized, objective-seated, and animating course will be created, and the educator's own degree of self-regulation during the course readiness will increase subsequently.

Suggestions

Following the above audit, it is clear that while academic procrastination is a far-reaching peculiarity and has been widely considered over the last twenty years, research on academic involvement for academic procrastination is scant (Glick and Orsillo, 2015). This shortage might originate from the mind-boggling correspondence that has been recommended to underline academic procrastination and the trouble in concluding which perspectives ought to be the focal point of involvement (Rebetez et al., 2015). The other clarification for this nonappearance might be that it might mirror the irregularity of discoveries in regards to the unfortunate results of academic procrastination (Schraw et al., 2007). Notwithstanding, in a large portion of the examinations we surveyed, academic procrastination had a negative long-term impact on understudies' lives and hence ought to be tended to in academic settings (Kim and Seo, 2015). Our audit generally pointed toward empowering and supporting teachers in advanced education to address this theme in their courses. Following the writing, we trust that assuming educators will know about the primary driver and outcomes of this peculiarity and how to address them in class with explicit showing techniques, understudies with high procrastination inclinations will actually want to work better and may profit from a lessening in academic procrastination. This benefit is critical not just for the lingering understudy, but also for the other students in the class, the teacher, and, on occasion, the organisation (Pychyl and Flett, 2012).

Countless investigations support the idea that academic procrastination is a disappointment in learning self-guideline and that in this expansive system, understudies report both inside and outer explanations for hesitating (Grunschel et al., 2013). For a portion of the understudies, procrastination is, by all accounts, inserted as a part of their character structure. They will generally be persistent procrastinators, and to defeat this propensity, they will require some expert assistance (Gieselmann and Pietrowsky, 2016). Regardless, a large number of other students, particularly young undergrads, will frequently stall because they believe it is extremely difficult to deal with their own learning interactions. They are as yet not completely autonomous and mature and think that it is difficult to persuade and empower themselves, particularly in troublesome and long-haul learning undertakings. Having a teacher who comprehends the formative parts of turning out to be completely autonomous and the test of automatic one self can have an effect for those understudies explicitly and for different understudies who will frequently exceptionally dawdle. While tending to inner causes such as character traits or mental and physical states in class is undeniably difficult, a portion of the outside (situational) causes mentioned by students appear to be feasible to address in class. The absence of study, hierarchical and self-guideline abilities, just as seen in undertaking and teacher qualities, can be taken into consideration by educators. In ideal academic settings, teachers would begin a course, particularly a troublesome substance course, by leading an open exchange with understudies in regards to their mastering

abilities and study propensities. They would urge understudies to share their lingering inclinations, speak with them about the causes and potential outcomes of such conduct, and afterward plan ways of supporting these understudies and assisting them with learning self-regulation and procedures to defeat their procrastination propensities. Teachers should not just be consistent in their interactions with young students, but they should also be organised and clear about course objectives, plans, materials, and errands. Educators should use momentary persuasive impetuses, work in small groups rather than exclusively, and constantly speak with young understudies about their learning motivations, vocation objectives, tensions, and learning encounters to help them with developing self-control and decreasing academic procrastination (Grunschel et al., 2013; Klingsieck, 2013a, 2013b; Steel and Klingsieck, 2016).

Conclusion

This was an underlying endeavour to audit the writing on academic responsibilities for academic procrastination. The principle conclusion from this survey is that there is an incredible need for additional review on this subject to foster powerful instructing procedures that will help school educators configure learning conditions that will assist understudies with lessening their stalling propensities. The initial phase for us is to acknowledge the way that we want to assist our understudies with beating this hazardous conduct to create a superior society for us all.

References

- Ackerman, D. S., & Gross, B. L. (2005). My instructor made me do it: Task characteristics of procrastination. *Journal of Marketing Education*, 27(1), 5–13. doi:10.1177/0273475304273842
- Balkis, M. (2011). Academic efficacy as a mediator and moderator variable in the relationship between academic procrastination and academic achievement. *Egitim Arastirmalari Eurasian. Journal of Educational Research*, 45, 1–16.
- Balkis, M., & Duru, E. (2007). The evaluation of the major characteristics and aspects of the procrastination in the framework of psychological counseling and guidance. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 7(1), 376–385.
- Choi, J. N., & Moran, S. V. (2009). Why not procrastinate? Development and validation of a new active procrastination scale. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 149(2), 195–212. doi:10.3200/socp.149.2.195-212
- Cooper, J. O., Heron, T. E., & Heward, W. L. (2007). *Applied Behavior Analysis*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Corkin, D. M., Shirley, L. Y., & Lindt, S. F. (2011). Comparing active delay and procrastination from a self-regulated learning perspective. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 21(5), 602–606. doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2011.07.005
- Davis, D. R., & Abbitt, J. T. (2013). An investigation of the impact of an Involvement to reduce academic procrastination using short message service (SMS) technology. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 12(3), 78–102.
- Ferrari, J. R. (2001). Procrastination as self-regulation failure of performance: Effects of cognitive load, self-awareness, and time limits on ‘working best under pressure’. *European Journal of Personality*, 15(5), 391–406. doi:10.1002/per.413.abs
- Ferrari, J. R., Keane, S. M., Wolfe, R. N., & Beck, B. L. (1998). The antecedents and consequences of academic excuse-making: Examining individual differences in procrastination. *Research in Higher Education*, 39(2), 199–215.
- Ferrari, J. R., O’Callaghan, J., & Newbegin, I. (2005). Prevalence of procrastination in the United States, United Kingdom, and Australia: Arousal and avoidance delays among adults. *North American Journal of Psychology*, 7(1), 1–6.
- Flett, G. L., Stainton, M., Hewitt, P. L., Sherry, S. B., & Lay, C. (2012). Procrastination automatic thoughts as a personality construct: An analysis of the procrastinatory cognitions inventory. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 30(4), 223–236. doi:10.1007/s10942-012-0150-z
- Gieselmann, A., & Pietrowsky, R. (2016). Treating procrastination chat-based versus face-to-face: An RCT evaluating the role of self-disclosure and perceived counselor’s characteristics. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 54, 444–452. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2015.08.027
- Glick, D. M., & Orsillo, S. M. (2015). An investigation of the efficacy of acceptance-based behavioral therapy for academic procrastination. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 144(2), 400. doi:10.1037/xge0000050
- Grope, P., & Steel, P. (2008). A mega-trial investigation of goal setting, interest enhancement, and energy on procrastination. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 45(5), 406–411. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2008.05.015
- Grunschel, C., Patrzek, J., & Fries, S. (2013). Exploring reasons and consequences of academic procrastination: An interview study. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 28(3), 841–861. doi:10.1007/s10212-012-0143-4

- Hagbin, M., McCaffrey, A., & Pychyl, T. A. (2012). The complexity of the relation between fear of failure and procrastination. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 30(4), 249–263. doi:10.1007/s10942-012-0153-9
- Hen, M., & Goroshit, M. (2014). Academic procrastination, emotional intelligence, academic self-efficacy, and GPA: A Comparison between students with and without learning disabilities. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 47(2), 116–124. doi:10.1177/0022219412439325
- Isbell, L. M., & Cote, N. G. (2009). Connecting with struggling students to improve performance in large classes. *Teaching of Psychology*, 36(3), 185–188. doi:10.1080/00986280902959960
- Kim, K. R., & Seo, E. H. (2015). The relationship between procrastination and academic performance: A meta-analysis. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 82, 26–33. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2015.02.038
- Klassen, R. M., Krawchuk, L. L., & Rajani, S. (2008). Academic procrastination of undergraduates: Low self-efficacy to self-regulate predicts higher levels of procrastination. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 33(4), 915–931. doi:10.1016/j.cedpsych.2007.07.001
- Klassen, R. M., Ang, R. P., Chong, W. H., Krawchuk, L. L., Huan, V. S., Wong, I. Y. F., & Yeo, L. S. (2010). Academic procrastination in two settings: Motivation correlates, behavioral patterns, and negative impact of procrastination in Canada and Singapore. *Applied Psychology*, 59(3), 361–379. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.2009.00394.x>
- Klingsieck, K. B. (2013a). Procrastination in different life-domains: Is procrastination domain specific? *Current Psychology*, 32(2), 175–185. doi:10.1007/s12144-013-9171-8
- Klingsieck, K. B. (2013b). Procrastination: When good things don't come to those who wait. *European Psychologist*, 18(1), 24–34. doi:10.1027/1016-9040/a000138
- Klingsieck, K. B., Grund, A., Schmid, S., & Fries, S. (2013). Why students procrastinate: A qualitative approach. *Journal of College Student Development*, 54(4), 397–412. doi:10.1353/csd.2013.0060
- Krause, K., & Freund, A. M. (2014). Delay or procrastination – A comparison of self-report and behavioral measures of procrastination and their impact on affective well-being. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 63, 75–80. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2014.01.050
- Lindblom-Ylänne, S., Saariaho, E., Inkinen, M., Haarala-Muhonen, A., & Hailikari, T. (2015). Academic procrastinators, strategic delayers and something betwixt and between: An interview study. *Frontline Learning Research*, 3(2), 47–62.
- McCown, B., Blake, I. K., & Keiser, R. (2012). Content analyses of the beliefs of academic procrastinators. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 30(4), 213–222. doi:10.1007/s10942-012-0148-6
- Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Jiao, Q. G. (2000). I'll go to the library later: The relationship between academic procrastination and library anxiety. *College & Research Libraries*, 61(1), 45–54. doi:10.5860/crl.61.1.45
- Ozer, B. U., Demir, A., & Ferrari, J. R. (2013). Reducing academic procrastination through a group treatment program: A pilot study. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 31(3), 127–135. doi:10.1007/s10942-013-0165-0
- Ozer, B. U., & Ferrari, J. R. (2011). Gender orientation and academic procrastination: Exploring Turkish high school students. *Individual Differences Research*, 9(1), 33–40.
- Patrzek, J., Sattler, S., van Veen, F., Grunschel, C., & Fries, S. (2015). Investigating the effect of academic procrastination on the frequency and variety of academic misconduct: A panel study. *Studies in Higher Education*, 40(6), 1014–1029. doi:10.1080/03075079.2013.854765
- Scent, C. L., & Boes, S. R. (2014). Acceptance and commitment training: A brief Involvement to reduce procrastination among college students. *Journal of College Student Psychotherapy*, 28(2), 144–156. doi:10.1080/87568225.2014.883887
- Schraw, G., Wadkins, T., & Olafson, L. (2007). Doing the things we do: A grounded theory of academic procrastination. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 99(1), 12. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.99.1.12
- Simpson, W. K., & Pychyl, T. A. (2009). In search of the arousal procrastinator: Investigating the relation between procrastination, arousal-based personality traits and beliefs about procrastination motivations. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 47(8), 906–911. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2009.07.013
- Sirois, F., & Pychyl, T. (2013). Procrastination and the priority of short-term mood regulation: Consequences for future self. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 7(2), 115–127. doi:10.1111/spc3.12011
- Sirois, F. M., & Tosti, N. (2012). Lost in the moment? An investigation of procrastination, mindfulness, and well-being. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 30(4), 237–248. doi:10.1007/s10942-012-0151-y

- Stead, R., Shanahan, M. J., & Neufeld, R. W. (2010). "I'll go to therapy, eventually": Procrastination, stress and mental health. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 49(3), 175–180. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2010.03.028
- Steel, P. (2007). The nature of procrastination: A meta-analytic and theoretical review of quintessential self-regulatory failure. *Psychological Bulletin*, 133(1), 65. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.133.1.65
- Steel, P. (2010). *The procrastination equation: How to stop putting things off and start getting stuff done*. Toronto: Random House Canada.
- Steel, P., & Ferrari, J. (2013). Sex, education and procrastination: An epidemiological study of procrastinators' characteristics from a global sample. *European Journal of Personality*, 27(1), 51–58. doi:10.1002/per.1851
- Steel, P., & Klingsieck, K. B. (2016). Academic procrastination: Psychological antecedents revisited. *Australian Psychologist*, 51(1), 36–46. doi:10.1111/ap.12173
- Strunk, K. K., & Spencer, J. M. (2012). A brief Involvement for reducing procrastination. *Academic Exchange Quarterly*, 16(1), 91–96.
- Tuckman, B. W. (1998). Using tests as an incentive to motivate procrastinators to study. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 66(2), 141–147. doi:10.1080/00220979809601400
- Van Eerde, W. (2003). A meta-analytically derived nomological network of procrastination. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 35(6), 1401–1418. doi:10.1016/s0191-8869(02)00358-6
- Wäschle, K., Allgaier, A., Lachner, A., Fink, S., & Nückles, M. (2014). Procrastination and self-efficacy: Tracing vicious and virtuous circles in self-regulated learning. *Learning and Instruction*, 29, 103–114. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2013.09.005
- Wilson, B. A., & Nguyen, T. D. (2012). Belonging to tomorrow: An overview of procrastination. *International Journal of Psychological Studies*, 4(1), 211. doi:10.5539/ijps.v4n1p211
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory into Practice*, 41(2), 64–70. doi:10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2008). Investigating self-regulation and motivation: Historical background, methodological developments, and future prospects. *American Educational Research Journal*, 45(1), 166–183. doi:10.3102/0002831207312909