ASSESSMENT OF A SELF-REGULATED LEARNING COMPONENTS AMONG THE PROSPECTIVE TEACHERS RELATED TO GENDER, MEDIUM AND LOCATION

K.Jeyakumari

Ph.D. Research Scholar Alagappa University College of Education
Alagappa University Karaikudi

&

Dr.A.Balu

Research Supervisor & Principal Alagappa University College of Education
Alagappa University Karaikudi

Abstract

In this paper the researcher investigate the impacts of preparing programs planned for expanding self-directed learning on scholastic accomplishment psychological and metacognitive methodology use, and inspirational perspectives. Methods of instructing understudies to set reasonable objectives and assess progress incorporate building up upper and lower objective cutoff points and utilizing games, agreements, and meetings. The future examination may explain the connection of objective setting and self-viability to move, objective directions, and full of feeling responses. Zimmerman (1989), self-controlled students are people who are "meta-cognitively, motivationally, and typically dynamic members in their own learning procedure. In this investigation one controlled learning accentuation the diverse deliberately process. The sample for the present study was randomly drawn from the population. The size of the sample of the study was 500. The sample was collected from 10 B.Ed. College in Sivaganga. There is no significant difference between the self-regulated learning among the prospective teachers with respect to the Location of the prospective teachers and its dimensions since the calculated values are less than the table value. Hence the null hypothesis is accepted. It will be useful to the individuals who wish to concentrate further in this field.

Keywords: Self-Regulated Learning, Prospective teachers, etc.

Introduction

The Self-regulated learning proposes to a significant theme in instructive exploration and has largely affected examination on learning and guidance for quite a few years (Winne 2005). the present society expects understudies to have the option to learn in a self-controlled route during and subsequent to tutoring and all through their whole working life. Therefore, enthusiasm for instructive examination on improving learning and making it increasingly proficient has brought about a high number of intercession contemplates targeting encouraging self-managed learning. The consequences of studies that inspected the impacts of self-managed learning are reliable with respect to the general positive effect on scholarly accomplishment and learning inspiration (Zimmerman and Bandura 1994; Zimmerman 2001). When considering the writing on the most proficient method to advance self-directed learning, it becomes evident that there is as yet a hole in the exploration of how educators can bring self-regulated learning into the homeroom. Most investigations report endeavors to improve understudies' scholarly self-guideline, however, just little data is accessible about supporting educators in how to do as such. So as to exhort instructors on this, it is fundamental to know which parts of advancing self-

controlled learning have demonstrated to be significant. In this way, a more critical gander at the adequacy of parts of mediation contemplates appears to be sensible before e.g., building up a preparation program for instructors. As previous surveys on the advancement of self-controlled learning and encouraging proficiency have demonstrated contrasts in more youthful and more established understudies gaining self-directed learning (e.g., Hattie et al. 1996; Seidel and Shavelson 2007), a more critical glance at the contrasts among essential and auxiliary school level appears to be vital. In the current survey, we needed to look at the effect of self-guideline procedure preparing programs at essential and at the optional school level; i.e., the effect of preparing qualities (e.g., on which hypothetical foundation preparing programs were created, what sorts of techniques were prepared, who conveyed the preparation) and study highlights (e.g., appraisal instruments, test size) on preparing viability was researched. We contemplated the impacts of preparing programs planned for expanding self-directed learning on scholastic accomplishment (science, perusing/composing, and different subjects), psychological and metacognitive methodology use, and inspirational perspectives.

Review of Literature

Deborah L. Head servant Philip H. Winne (1995) Feedback and Self-Regulated Learning: A Theoretical Synthesis. Self-controlled learning (SRL) is a rotate whereupon understudies' accomplishment turns. We clarify how criticism is intrinsic in and a prime determiner of procedures that comprise SRL, and survey territories of examination that detailed contemporary models of how feedbackfunctions in learning. In particular, we start by orchestrating a model of self-guideline dependent on contemporary instructive and mental written works. At that point, we utilize that model as a structure for breaking down the psychological procedures associated with self-guideline, and for deciphering and integratingfindings from different examination traditions. We propose an expounded model of SRL that can grasp these examination discoveries and that highlight the intellectual activity of checking as the center of self-controlled subjective commitment. The model is then used to rethink (an) ongoing examination on how criticism influences subjective commitment with errands and (b) the connection among types of commitment and accomplishment. We finish up with a recommendation that examination on input and exploration on self-controlled learning ought to be firmly coupled, and that the aspects of our model ought to be expressly tended to in future exploration in the two territories.

Dale H. Schunk (1990) Goal Setting and Self-Efficacy During Self-Regulated Learning. This article centers around oneself directed learning procedures of objective setting and saw self-adequacy. Understudies enter learning exercises with objectives and self-adequacy for objective accomplishment. As students take a shot at assignments, they watch their own exhibitions and assess their own objective advancement. Self-adequacy and objective setting are influenced by self-observation, self-judgment, and self-response. At the point when understudies see good objective advancement, they feel fit for improving their aptitudes; objective achievement, combined with high self-adequacy, drives understudies to set new testing objectives. The examination is checked on objective properties (particularity, vicinity, trouble), self-set objectives, progress input, agreements and gatherings, and originations of capacity. Methods of instructing understudies to set reasonable objectives and assess progress incorporate building up upper and lower objective cutoff points and utilizing games, agreements, and meetings. The future examination may explain the connection of objective setting and self-viability to move, objective directions, and full of feeling responses.

Paul R. Pintrich(1999)The job of inspiration in advancing and supporting self-managed learning. A general system is introduced to help comprehend the connection between inspiration and self-directed learning. As indicated by the structure, self-directed learning can be encouraged by the appropriation of dominance and relative capacity objectives and upset by the reception of extraneous objectives. Moreover, positive self-e$cacy and undertaking esteem convictions can advance self-regulated conduct. Self-controlled learning is de"ned as the techniques that understudies use to direct their insight (i.e., utilization of different subjective and metacognitive procedures) just as the utilization of asset the board methodologies that understudies use to control their learning.

Metacognitive and self-regulatory strategies

The subjective techniques, understudies' metacognitive information and utilization of metacognitive systems can have a significant influence on their accomplishment. There are two general parts of metacognition, information about perception and self-regulation of cognizance (Brown, Bransford, Ferrara and Campione, 1983; Flavell, 1979). A portion of the hypothetical and exact disarray over the status of metacognition as a mental development has been encouraged by the frustrating issues of metacognitive information and mindfulness with metacognitive control and self-guideline (Brown et al., 1983). Pintrich, Wolters, and Baxter (1999) have proposed that metacognitive information be restricted to understudies' information about individual, assignment, and methodology factors. Self-guideline would then allude to understudies' checking, controlling, and directing their own subjective exercises and real conduct. In the examination program at the University of Michigan, the attention has been on the methodologies people use to plan, screen, and manage their discernment, not their metacognitive information. Most models of metacognitive control or automatic procedures incorporate three general kinds of systems: arranging, observing, and managing (see, for instance, Corno, 1986; Zimmerman and Martinez-Pons, 1986,1988); the University of Michigan model is no di!erent (see Pintrich, 1988a,b; 1989; Pintrich and De Groot, 1990; Pintrich and Garcia, 1991; Pintrich et al., 1993). In spite of the fact that these three sorts of systems are profoundly related thoughtfully (Pintrich et al., 1999) and, at any rate in our information (e.g., Pintrich, 1989; Pintrich et al., 1993), appear to have exceptionally corresponded observationally, they can be talked about independently. Arranging exercises that have been researched in different investigations of understudies' learning incorporates defining objectives for contemplating, skimming a book before perusing, creating inquiries before perusing a book, and carrying out a responsibility examination of the issue.

Self-regulated Learning

As per Zimmerman (1989), self-controlled students are people who are "meta-cognitively, motivationally, and typically dynamic members in their own learning procedure. In this investigation one controlled learning accentuation the diverse deliberately process.

1. Self-evaluation

The self-assessment demonstrating understudy started assessments of the quality or progress of their work. It implies the understudies may check their understanding of the substance of learning and assess their own appraisal.

2. Forming and renovating

Shaping and remodeling showing understudy started unmistakable or clandestine adjustment of instructional materials to improve learning. The understudies get familiar with the new idea and think and redesign the substance to the memory.

3. Goal-setting and scheduling

Objective setting and booking demonstrating understudy defining of instructive objectives or sub goals and getting ready for sequencing, timing, and finishing exercises identified with those objectives. The comprehension and objective setting is the underlying phase of the arrangement of learning.

4. Seeking information

Looking for data demonstrating understudy started endeavors to make sure about further errand data from nonsocial sources when undertaking a task. The data is spread in the entire educational program the understudies where see the need for the substance for the learning.

5. Monitoring

Observing demonstrating understudy started endeavors to re-rope occasions or results, understudies took notes of the class conversation.

6. Self-consequences

Self-outcomes demonstrating understudy game plan or creative mind of remunerations or discipline for progress or disappointment.

7. Memorizing

Retaining demonstrating understudy started endeavors to remember material by unmistakable or secret practice

Self-regulated learning and educational practice

Self-administrative abilities necessitate that understudies' objectives be practical testing however achievable. With practical objectives, understudies can screen advance and settle on an alternate errand approach if their current one is inadequate. Self-viability is expanded as understudies note progress, accomplish objectives, and set new difficulties. Objectives set excessively high or too low don't improve self-managed learning or accomplishment convictions. Understudies see little advancement toward grandiose objectives, which brings down self-adequacy and leads them to work irresolutely and surrender promptly when they experience trouble. Simple objectives don't deliver high self-adequacy since they don't educate understudies about what they can do. A sensible objective setting frequently requires preparation. With regards to an individualized, arithmetic instructional program, Sagotsky, Patterson, and Lepper (1978) found that self-observing of progress improves the time spent chipping away at materials and the number of issues illuminated while defining meeting objectives offers no focal points. Kids may have experienced issues defining sensible objectives since issue trouble changed inside and between units. Objective setting is suitable just when task trouble remains moderately steady. It is rich, authentic writing tending to factors that impact objective setting and preparing. Lewin, Dembo, Festinger, and Sears (1944) investigated the development "level of goal," or objective setting practices inside the scope of the trouble. Different elements impacted the degree of yearning: earlier victories and disappointments, bunch measures, upper and lower objective cutoff points, and desires for progress and disappointment. Accomplishment inspiration preparing programs additionally centered around objective setting (McClelland, Atkinson, Clark, and Lowell, 1953); de Charms (1976) worked with educators, who prepared understudies to assume individual liability for their learning results. The objective setting was a significant preparation part; in one action, understudies picked simple, moderate, or troublesome words to figure out how to spell. Instructing a sensible objective setting should be possible in various manners. Schunk (1985) set upper and lower limits on understudies' objectives. Cutoff points can be expelled when understudies comprehend the idea of the errand and their prompt abilities. Tollefson et al. (1984) familiar understudies with objective setting utilizing games. Over

preliminaries, understudies chosen good ways from a wastebasket anticipated what number of shots they would make, made their hurls, and recorded scores. In a ball game, educators arranged four-word records for every understudy; each rundown contained simple (single), moderate (twofold or triple), and troublesome (grand slam) words. For every inning, understudies picked the hit they needed, an associate read the word, and the understudy spelled it. Right spellings were "hits," off base ones were "outs." Goal-setting meetings additionally are helpful (Gaa, 1973, 1979). By meeting exclusively with educators, understudies figure out how to evaluate objective trouble and present aptitudes. Gatherings additionally give understudies command over learning results, which can improve self-viability for learning (Schunk, 1989). In spite of the fact that Gaa (1973) found that goal setting meetings prompted lower sureness of objective fulfillment, gatherings raised precision of objective setting. After some time, assurance of objective achievement ought to improve as understudies see progress in expertise advancement.

Need for the study

These exercises appear to enable the student to design their utilization of intellectual methodologies and furthermore appear to enact or prime important parts of earlier information, making the association and perception of the material a lot simpler. Checking one's reasoning and scholastic conduct is a basic part of self-directed learning. So as to act naturally controlling, there must be some objective or standard or measure against which examinations are made so as to direct the checking procedure. Weinstein and Mayer (1986) consider all to be exercises as mostly the observing of perception where understudies check their comprehension against some self-set objective. Observing exercises incorporate following of consideration while perusing a book or tuning in to a talk, self-testing using inquiries concerning the content material to check for comprehension, observing perception of talk, and utilizing test-taking systems (i.e., observing rate and acclimating to time accessible) in an assessment circumstance. These different checking procedures alert the student to breakdowns in consideration or understanding that would then be able to be 'repaired utilizing guideline methodologies. Guideline methodologies are intently attached to checking systems. As understudies screen their learning and execution against some objective or basis, this checking procedure proposes the requirement for guideline procedures to align conduct back with the objective or to come nearer to the measure. For instance, as students ask themselves inquiries as they read so as to screen their perception, and afterward return and rehash a segment of the content, this rehashing is an administrative methodology.

Objectives

To find out the level of self-regulated learning among the prospective teachers

Specific objectives

1. To find out the level of self-regulated learning among prospective teachers with respect to gender.
2. To find out the level of self-regulated learning among the prospective teachers with respect to Medium.
3. To find out the level of self-regulated learning among the prospective teachers with respect to the Location of the prospective teachers.

Hypothesis

1. There is no significant difference between self-regulated learning among prospective teachers with respect to gender.
2. There is no significant difference between self-regulated learning among prospective teachers with respect to Medium.

3. There is no significant difference between self-regulated learning among prospective teachers with respect to the Location of prospective teachers.

Method

The proposed technique and the theorized connections were inspected with way investigation. Way examination is a technique that permits the investigation of both immediate and circuitous impacts of autonomous factors on subordinate factors (Dillion and Goldstein 1984). For this situation, the homeroom condition is speculated to in a roundabout way influence self-managed learning practices through its immediate impact on inspirational insights.

Participant

The B.Ed.prospective teachers would show more noteworthy utilization of self-guideline techniques than understudies browsed other accomplishment tracks. More noteworthy utilization of a non-self-managed system was normal by understudies from the lower accomplishment tracks.

Instrumentation

Based on earlier exploration and hypothesis, oneself controlled conduct was distinguished. Also, a solitary class of non-self-directed conduct was incorporated. Definitions and instances of every class got from understudies' conventions are introduced in Table I. In light of pilot interviews with secondary school understudies from an alternate network, six diverse learning settings were recognized.in homeroom circumstances, at home, when finishing composing assignments outside class, while finishing science assignments outside class, while getting ready for and stepping through exams, and when inadequately propelled.

Procedure

The investigator to testing the self-regulated learning components from the prospective teachers. The investigator were educated that the imminent instructors would be met about their investigation rehearses. The questionnaire given to the prospective teachers and received the response from the prospective teachers.

Sample and sampling techniques

The sample for the present study was randomly drawn from the population. The size of the sample of the study was 500. The sample was collected from 10 B.Ed. Colleges in Sivaganga.

Differential Analysis

Objective: 1

To find out the level of self-regulated learning among the prospective teachers

Table.1
LEVEL OF SELF-REGULATED LEARNING AMONG THE PROSPECTIVE TEACHERS

variables	Variable	Low		Moderate		High	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Gender	Male	64	27.9	138	60.3	27	11.8
	Female	44	16.2	134	49.4	93	34.3
Location of school	Rural	50	50.0	45	45.0	5	5.0
	Urban	58	14.5	227	56.8	115	28.7
Medium of Instruction	Tamil	95	22.0	235	54.4	102	23.6
	English	13	19.1	37	54.4	18	26.5

It is inferred from the above table that 27.9% of male prospective teachers have low, 60.3% have moderate and 11.8% have a high level of self-regulated learning. And also 16.2% of

female prospective teachers have low, 49.4% have moderate and 34.3% have a high level of self-regulated learning.

Among the 50.0% of rural prospective teachers have low, 45.0% have moderate and 5.0% have a high level of self-regulated learning. And also 14.5% of prospective teachers have low, 56.8% have moderate and 28.7% have a high level of self-regulated learning.

Among the 22% of Tamil medium prospective teachers have low, 54.4% have moderate and 23.6% have a high level of self-regulated learning. And also 19.1% of English medium prospective teachers have low, 54.4% have moderate and 26.5% have a high level of self-regulated learning.

Hypothesis: 1

There is no significant difference betweenself-regulated learning among the prospective teachers

Table.2

MEAN S.D, 't' TEST OF SELF-REGULATED LEARNING AMONG THE PROSPECTIVE TEACHERS

variables	Variable	Mean	SD	Calculated 't' values	5% level
Gender	Male	13.62	4.167	6.377	S
	Female	16.36	5.450		
Medium of instruction	Tamil	15.04	5.275	0.453	NS
	English	15.26	4.573		
Location of Prospective Teacher	Urban	15.02	5.043	0.930	NS
	Rural	15.66	5.360		

(At 5% level of significance the table value of 't' is 1.96)

It is inferred from the above table that there is a significant difference betweenself-regulated learning among prospective teachers of Gender. While comparing the mean scores of malesand females, females (M = 16.36) are better than males (M = 13.62) in their self-regulated learning. Whereas there is a significant difference Medium of instruction and Location of Prospective Teacher of the self-regulated learning.

Hypotheses: 2

There is no significant difference between self-regulated learning among prospective teachers with respect to gender.

Table.3

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE SELF-REGULATED LEARNING AMONG THE PROSPECTIVE TEACHERS WITH RESPECT TO GENDER

Dimensions	Male		Female		Calculated t-value	Remarks of 5% level
	Mean	S.D	Mean	S.D		
Self-evaluation	20.87	3.417	21.97	2.872	3.85	S
Forming and renovating	15.45	3.090	16.23	2.721	2.93	S
Goal-setting and scheduling	11.59	2.032	11.63	2.001	.273	NS
Seeking information	14.17	2.755	14.94	2.384	3.32	S
Monitoring	15.34	2.815	15.66	2.401	1.38	NS
Self-consequences	8.16	2.728	8.49	2.533	1.40	NS
Memorizing	85.58	9.108	88.93	7.989	4.34	S

(At 5% level of significance the table value of 't' is 1.96)

It is inferred from the above table that there is no significant difference between self-regulated learning among the prospective teachers with respect to genderits dimensions Goal-setting and scheduling, Monitoring, Self-consequences. Whereas, that no significant difference between self-regulated learning among the prospective teachers with respect to genderits dimensions in Self-evaluation, Forming and renovating,Seeking informationand Memorizing.

From the mean value, it is inferred that Female prospective teachers (88.93) are better than male prospective teachers(85.58) in self-regulated learning in total.

Hypotheses: 3

There is no significant difference between self-regulated learning among prospective teachers with respect to Medium.

Table.4
DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE SELF-REGULATED LEARNING AMONG THE PROSPECTIVE TEACHERS WITH RESPECT TO MEDIUM

Dimensions	Tamil		English		Calculated t-value	Remarks of 5% level
	Mean	S.D	Mean	S.D		
Self-evaluation	21.55	3.324	21.27	2.759	0.953	NS
Forming and renovating	15.75	2.904	16.18	2.943	1.45	NS
Goal-setting and scheduling	11.59	2.034	11.66	1.965	0.330	NS
Seeking information	14.57	2.533	14.65	2.730	0.321	NS
Monitoring	15.50	2.702	15.55	2.324	0.208	NS
Self-consequences	8.07	2.668	9.05	2.378	3.99	S
Memorizing	87.03	8.623	88.36	8.766	1.52	NS

(At 5% level of significance the table value of 't' is 1.96)

It is inferred from the above table that a significant difference between self-regulated learning among the prospective teachers with respect to Medium in Self-consequences. Whereas no significant difference between self-regulated learning among the prospective teachers with respect to Medium in their Self-evaluation, Forming and renovating,Goal-setting and scheduling,Seeking information, Monitoring and Memorizing.

From the mean value, it is inferred that English prospective teachers(9.05) are better than Tamil prospective teachers(8.07) in self-regulated learning.

Hypotheses: 4

There is no significant difference between self-regulated learning among prospective teachers with respect to the Location of prospective teachers.

Table.5
DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE SELF-REGULATED LEARNING AMONG THE PROSPECTIVE TEACHERS WITH RESPECT TO LOCATION OF THE PROSPECTIVE TEACHERS

Dimensions	Nuclear		Joint		Calculated t-value	Remarks of 5% level
	Mean	S.D	Mean	S.D		
Self-evaluation	21.39	3.266	21.97	2.510	1.69	NS
Forming and renovating	15.85	2.987	16.01	2.452	0.500	NS
Goal-setting and scheduling	11.64	1.995	11.41	2.132	0.840	NS

Seeking information	14.55	2.628	14.84	2.309	0.935	NS
Monitoring	15.56	2.550	15.24	2.912	0.863	NS
Self-consequences	8.31	2.620	8.54	2.673	0.686	NS
Memorizing	87.30	8.872	88.01	7.319	0.727	NS

(At 5% level of significance the table value of 't' is 1.96)

It is inferred from the above table there is no significant difference between the self-regulated learning among the prospective teachers with respect to the Location of the prospective teachers and its dimensions since the calculated values are less than the table value. Hence the null hypothesis is accepted.

Results and findings

It is inferred from the above table.1 that 27.9% of male prospective teachers have low, 60.3% have moderate and 11.8% have a high level of self-regulated learning. And also 16.2% of female prospective teachers have low, 49.4% have moderate and 34.3% have a high level of self-regulated learning. Among the 50.0% of rural prospective teachers have low, 45.0% have moderate and 5.0% have a high level of self-regulated learning. And also 14.5% of prospective teachers have low, 56.8% have moderate and 28.7% have a high level of self-regulated learning.Among the 22% of Tamil medium prospective teachers have low, 54.4% have moderate and 23.6% have a high level of self-regulated learning. And also 19.1% of English medium prospective teachers have low, 54.4% have moderate and 26.5% have a high level of self-regulated learning.

It is inferred from the above table.2 that there is a significant difference betweenself-regulated learning among prospective teachers of Gender. While comparing the mean scores of malesand females, females (M = 16.36) are better than males (M = 13.62) in their self-regulated learning. Whereas there is a significant difference Medium of instruction and Location of Prospective Teacher of the self-regulated learning.

It is inferred from the above table.3 that there is no significant difference between the self-regulated learning among the prospective teachers with respect to gender its dimensions Goal-setting and scheduling, Monitoring, Self-consequences. Whereas, that no significant difference between self-regulated learning among the prospective teachers with respect to genderits dimensions in Self-evaluation, Forming and renovating,Seeking information and Memorizing.From the mean value, it is inferred that Female prospective teachers (88.93) are better than male prospective teachers (85.58) in self-regulated learning in total.

It is inferred from the above table.4 that significant difference between the self-regulated learning among the prospective teachers with respect to Medium in Self-consequences. Whereas no significant difference between self-regulated learning among the prospective teachers with respect to Medium in their Self-evaluation, Forming and renovating,Goal-setting and scheduling,Seeking information, Monitoring and Memorizing. From the mean value, it is inferred that English prospective teachers (9.05) are better than Tamil prospective teachers (8.07) in self-regulated learning.

It is inferred from the above table.5there is no significant difference between the self-regulated learning among the prospective teachers with respect to the Location of the prospective teachers and its dimensions since the calculated values are less than the table value. Hence the null hypothesis is accepted.

Conclusion

In this study, the suggestions given by the agent might be useful for improving their insight and their improvement in self-regulated learningfor prospective teachers. On the off chance that the recommendations given by the specialist are applied for additional examination, the investigation will be increasingly productive. It will be useful to the individuals who wish to concentrate further in this field.

****

References

Albers, J. J., Tollefson, J. H., Chen, C. H., & Steinmetz, A. (1984). Isolation and characterization of human plasma lipid transfer proteins. *Arteriosclerosis: An Official Journal of the American Heart Association, Inc.*, *4*(1), 49-58.

Babu, R. U. M., &Kalaiyarasan, G. (2019).Visual Perception of Brain.

Babu, R. U. M., &Kalaiyarasan, G. (2019).A study on usage of open educational resources (OER) format to enhancing the academic performance of higher secondary school students in ramanathapuram educational district.*Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal). 3672.*

Brown, A. L. (1988). Motivation to learn and understand: On taking charge of one's own learning. *Cognition and Instruction*, *5*(4), 311-321.

Campione, J. C., Brown, A. L., Ferrara, R. A., & Bryant, N. R. (1984). The zone of proximal development: Implications for individual differences and learning. *New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development*, *1984*(23), 77-91.

Corno, L. (1986). The metacognitive control components of self-regulated learning. *Contemporary educational psychology*, *11*(4), 333-346.

DeCharms, R. (1976). Enhancing motivation: Change in the classroom.

Flavell, J. H. (1979). Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive–developmental inquiry. *American psychologist*, *34*(10), 906.

Gaa, J. P. (1979). The effect of individual goal-setting conferences on academic achievement and modification of locus of control orientation. *Psychology in the Schools*, *16*(4), 591-597.

Ichsan, I. Z., Rahmayanti, H., Purwanto, A., Sigit, D. V., Singh, C. K. S., &Babu, R. U. M. (2020). HOTS-AEP-COVID-19: Students Knowledge and Digital Worksheet of ILMIZI Environmental Learning Model. *Assessment*, *29*(6), 5231-5241.

Lewin, K., Dembo, T., Festinger, L., & Sears, P. S. (1944).Level of aspiration.

Marsh, H. W., & Hattie, J. (1996).Theoretical perspectives on the structure of self-concept.

McClelland, D. C., Atkinson, J. W., & Clark, R. A. (4). R., & Lowell, E.(1953). *The achievement motive*.

Pintrich, P. R. (1988). A process-oriented view of student motivation and cognition. *New directions for institutional research*, *1988*(57), 65-79.

Pintrich, P. R. (1999). The role of motivation in promoting and sustaining self-regulated learning. *International journal of educational research*, *31*(6), 459-470.

Pintrich, P. R. (1999). The role of motivation in promoting and sustaining self-regulated learning. *International journal of educational research*, *31*(6), 459-470.

Pintrich, P. R. (1999). The role of motivation in promoting and sustaining self-regulated learning. *International journal of educational research*, *31*(6), 459-470.

Pintrich, P. R., & De Groot, E. V. (1990).Motivational and self-regulated learning components of classroom academic performance. *Journal of educational psychology*, *82*(1), 33.

Pintrich, P. R., & Garcia, T. (1994). Self-regulated learning in college students: Knowledge, strategies, and motivation. *Student motivation, cognition, and learning: Essays in honor of Wilbert J. McKeachie*, 113-133.

Sagotsky, G., Patterson, C. J., &Lepper, M. R. (1978). Training children's self-control: A field experiment in self-monitoring and goal-setting in the classroom. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, *25*(2), 242-253.

Schunk, D. H. (1985).Self-efficacy and classroom learning. *Psychology in the Schools*, *22*(2), 208-223.

Schunk, D. H. (1989).Social cognitive theory and self-regulated learning.In *Self-regulated learning and academic achievement* (pp. 83-110).Springer, New York, NY.

Schunk, D. H. (1990).Goal setting and self-efficacy during self-regulated learning. Educational Psychologist, 25, 71-86.

Schunk, D. H. (1990).Goal setting and self-efficacy during self-regulated learning. *Educational psychologist*, *25*(1), 71-86.

Schunk, D. H., & Zimmerman, B. J. (2007). Influencing children's self-efficacy and self-regulation of reading and writing through modeling. *Reading & writing quarterly*, *23*(1), 7-25.

Seidel, T., &Shavelson, R. J. (2007). Teaching effectiveness research in the past decade: The role of theory and research design in disentangling meta-analysis results. *Review of educational research*, *77*(4), 454-499.

Weinstein, C. E. (1987). Fostering learning autonomy through the use of learning strategies. *Journal of reading*, *30*(7), 590-595.

Winne, P. H. (2005). A perspective on state-of-the-art research on self-regulated learning. *Instructional science*, *33*(5), 559-565.

Zimmerman, B. J., & Martinez-Pons, M. (1988). Construct validation of a strategy model of student self-regulated learning. *Journal of educational psychology*, *80*(3), 284.