

## **An Experimental Study for Cost Reduction of Concrete**

**Vishala**

*Department of Civil Engineering, SreeDattha Institute of Engineering and Science, Hyderabad, Telangana*

### **ABSTRACT**

In developing countries where concrete is widely used, the high and steadily increasing cost of concrete has made construction very expensive. This project is experimented to reduce the cost of concrete. Specific gravity tests are carried out for fine and coarse aggregate. The coarse aggregate is replaced with 10%, 20%, and 30% by recycled crushed aggregate. The design mix used to execute this project is M40 grade concrete. This M40 grade concrete is designed as per Indian Standard Code for both the normal concrete and recycled brick waste concrete. The water cement ratio is maintained for this mix design is 0.5. Preliminary tests comprising sieve analysis, specific gravity, consistency, setting time, water absorption test were conducted. The rate of water absorption for the recycled brick waste aggregate was 21.35% as observed. Workability and strength test were also carried out on fresh and hardened concrete made from the study material. The strength properties obtained from recycled brick waste concrete is compared with the normal concrete. The compressive strength and split tensile strength results are gradually decreases upto 20% recycled brick waste aggregate by replacement of coarse aggregate. To increase the strength properties by addition of crimped steel fibers at 20% recycled brick waste concrete with 1% and 2% by the volume of concrete. While increasing the percentage of recycled brick waste aggregate and steel fibers, it was observed that the workability of the concrete decreases. After addition of steel fibers the compressive, split tensile and flexure strength results are gradually increases. By using recycled brick waste aggregates, weight of concrete can also be reduced, which can also solve problems related to self-weight of concrete.

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Concrete is the most widely used man-made construction material. Cement and aggregate, which are the most important constituents used in concrete production, are the vital materials needed for the construction industry. Aggregates impart higher volume stability and better durability than hydrated cement paste in concrete and provide around 75 per cent of the body of concrete. The aggregates are usually derived from natural sources. This inevitably has led to a continuous and increasing demand of natural materials used for their production. Parallel to the need for the utilization of the natural resources emerges a growing concern for protecting the environment and a need to preserve natural resources, such as aggregate, by using alternative materials that are either recycled or discarded as a waste. Over the past several decades, steel fiber reinforced concrete has been used in many applications such as concrete pavements, overlays, patching repair of hydraulic structures, thin shells and precast products. Nowadays, it is well established that the incorporation of steel fibers improves engineering performance of structural and non-structural concrete, including better crack resistance, increase in ductility and toughness as well as enhancement in resistance to fatigue and impact. A higher content of fibres during mixing increases the interaction of fibres with aggregates, which in turn causes the effect of balling and affects negatively the workability of the concrete mixture. The workability and the compaction method affect the movement of fibres during the casting of specimens; a too high workability allows for a better orientation of the fibres. Specimen size indirectly affects the orientation of fibres since the latter are forced to align along moulded surfaces.

So far a few numbers of researches on the performance of crushed clay-brick as coarse aggregate in making concrete has been reported in the literature. And the reports show that the use of crushed normal strength brick instead of stone aggregate results a reduction in strength and stiffness of the concrete. However, the use of mixed aggregate (a combination of brick aggregate and stone aggregate) may improve the strength and stiffness of concrete in comparison with those of purely brick aggregate concrete.

## **II. MATERIAL AND MIX DESIGN**

### **2.1 MATERIALS**

In this project materials like cement, river sand, Coarse Aggregates (CA), Brick Aggregates(BA), water and steel fibers are used in this study. The description of each of the material is described in the following sections. The materials used in this study are tested to obtain their properties as per the relevant IS codes.

#### **2.1.1 CEMENT**

Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) is the cement best suited to general concreting purposes. OPC 53 grade conforming with IS12269: 1987 was used in this study. The cement is kept in an airtight container and stored in the humidity controlled room to prevent cement from being exposed to moisture. The physical properties of cement are shown in below.

#### **2.1.2 SAND**

Those fractions from 150micron to 4.75mm are termed as fine aggregate. The sand used in this research for preparation of normal concrete is natural river sand conforming to grading zone-III as per **IS 383:1970** with specific gravity 2.62.

#### **2.1.3 COARSE AGGREGATE (CA)**

Crushed granite coarse aggregate conforming to **IS 383:1970** of size between 12.5mm to 20mm having a specific gravity of 2.7 was used. The loose and compacted bulk density values of coarse aggregate were 1483kg/m<sup>3</sup> and 1680kg/m<sup>3</sup> respectively for different grades of concrete.

#### **2.1.4 BRICK AGGREGATE (BA)**

Demolished bricks were crushed to 20mm nominal size aggregates as per **IS 383:1970**. The demolished bricks are broken by using hammer. After breaking the bricks, they are crushed mechanically with jaw crusher and produce flaky and elongated aggregate. Then the brick aggregates are sieved by using IS 12.5mm and 20mm sieves.

## **III. TESTS**

### **3.1 TESTS ON MATERIALS:**

#### **3.1.1 SPECIFIC GRAVITY:**

- Specific gravity (G) is the ratio of the weight of soil solids at a given temperature to the Weight of an equal volume of distilled water at that temperature, both weights being taken in air.
- Pycnometer, balance, weight box, oven, desiccator, desired distilled water, vacuum source, and thermometer equipment's are used.
- Pycnometer is a glass jar to which a brass conical cap is screwed with a rubber washer. A 6 mm dia. hole is provided in the brass cap.
- Weigh the clean and dry pycnometer with its cap.
- Fill the pycnometer one-third full with oven dried soil taken directly from desiccator and determine its weight after screwing the cap.
- Add desired distilled water to pycnometer after removing the cap, until the pycnometer is half full and stir it with a glass rod, (to assist in removal of air) and screw the brass cap.
- Add desired distilled water till the pycnometer is full.
- Remove remaining air by shaking after closing the screw top with one finger. Clean the outer surface of pycnometer and then determine its weight.
- Empty the contents of pycnometer and thoroughly wash it.
- Fill the pycnometer with distilled water till the surface of water is flush with the hole in the screw cap. Then weigh the pycnometer.
- Note the temperature of water.

**CALCULATION & TABULATION**

Weight of Pycnometer = W1

Weight of Pycnometer + oven dried soil = W2

Weight of Pycnometer + oven dried soil+ remaining space completely filled with water without any air = W3

Weight of Pycnometer + full of water = W4

Weight of oven dried soil = W2-W1

Weight of water filling the Pycnometer = W4-W1

Weight of water in Pycnometer over and above the dry soil = W3-W2

Weight of Water having the same volume of dry soil= (W4-W1) - (W3-W2)

specific gravity of soil grains at temperature T°C

= Weight of ovedried soil weigh / Weight of water equal to the volume of ovedriedsoil

=  $W2 - W / (W4- W1) - (W3 - W2)$

G27 \* specific gravity of water at 27°C = Gt \* Specific gravity of water at room temperature,t

Where G27 & Gt are specific gravity of soil at 27°C and at room temperature,t

$Gt = GL (W2 - W1) / (W4 - W1) - (W3 - W2)$

Where, GL = specific gravity of liquid at that temperature.

**Table 1: Specific gravity of Materials**

| S.No. | Description                            | Represent | CA      | FA      | BA      |
|-------|--|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1.    | Weight of pycnometer                   | W1        | 520gms  | 520gms  | 520gms  |
| 2.    | Weight of pycnometer + oven dried soil | W2        | 917gms  | 930gms  | 785gms  |
| 3.    | Weight of pycnometer + Soil + Water    | W3        | 1660gms | 1666gms | 1560gms |
| 4.    | Weight of pycnometer + full of water   | W4        | 1410gms | 1410gms | 1410gms |
| 5.    | Weight of soil                         | W2-W1     | 397gms  | 410gms  | 265gms  |
| 6.    | Weight of water filling the pycnometer | W4-W1     | 890gms  | 890gms  | 890gms  |
| 7.    | Weight of water above soil             | W3-W2     | 743gms  | 736gms  | 775gms  |
| 8.    | Specific gravity                       | G         | 2.7     | 2.6     | 2.3     |

**3.1.2 WATER ABSORPTION:**

- Absorption capacity is a measure of the porosity it is also used as a correlation factor in determination of free moisture by oven-drying method.
- The absorption capacity is determined by finding the weight of surface-dry sample after it has been soaked for 24 hr and again finding the weight after the sample has been dried in an oven. The difference in weight, expressed as a percentage of the dry sample weight, is the absorption capacity.
- Weight balance, container of water are used.
- 500g of brick aggregates and taken it as W1.
- Then take a container and filled full with distilled water.
- Then the brick aggregates are immersed in water without any air voids.

- After 24hours the brick aggregates are take it on cloth and then weighed. Take it was W2grams.
- The absorption capacity of bricks can be calculate using the formula $(W2/W1)*100$

Calculation:

W1 =

W2 =

Water absorption = 21.35%

### **3.2 TESTS ON FRESH CONCRETE:**

#### **3.2.1 FRESH CONCRETE:**

Fresh concrete is that stage of concrete in which concrete can be moulded and it is in plastic state. This is called "GREEN CONCRETE". Another term used to describe the state of fresh concrete is consistence, which is the ease with which concrete will flow.

#### **Properties of fresh concrete:**

Following are the important properties of fresh concrete

1. Setting
2. Workability
3. Bleeding
4. Segregation
5. Hydration
6. Air entrainment

#### **3.2.2 WORKBILITY BY SLUMP CONE TEST**

Unsupported concrete, when it is FRESH, will flow to the sides and a sinking in height will take place. This vertical settlement is known as SLUMP. Slump is measure indicating the consistency or workability of cement concrete. It gives an idea of water content needed fir concrete to be used for different works. A concrete is said to be workable if it can be easily mixed and easily placed, compacted and finished. A workable concrete should not show any segregation or bleeding. Slum p increases as water-cement ratio increases.

Slump test is the most commonly used method of measuring consistency of concrete which can be employed either in laboratory or in site of work. It is not a suitable method for very wet or very dry concrete. It does not measure all factor contributing to workability, nor it is always representation of the place-ability of concrete. However, it is used conveniently as a control test and given an indication of the uniformity of concrete from batch to batch. Repeated batches of the same mix, brought to the same slump, will have the same water content and w/c ratio, provided the weights of aggregates, cement and admixtures are uniform and aggregate grading is within acceptable limits.

**EQUIPMENTS:** Slump cone, tray for mixing concrete, trowel, tamping rod, steel rule, measuring jar, weighing platform machine, spatula. The apparatus for conducting the slump test essentially consists of metallic mould in the form of a frustum of a cone having the dimensions as under:

Bottom diameter = 20cm

Top diameter = 10 cm

Height = 30 cm

The thickness of the metallic sheet for the mould should not be thinner than 1.6mm. For tamping the concrete, a steely tamping rod 16mm diameter; 0.6m long with bullet end is used.

**3.3 IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIMENS:**

Immediately after making the specimen they should be marked clearly. This can be done by writing the details of the specimens with nail on a concrete top surface in fresh mix state.

**3.4 DEMOULDING:**

Test specimen should be demoulded between 16 to 24hours after they have been made. If after this period of time the concrete has not achieved sufficient strength to enable demoulding without damage the specimens then the demoulding should be delayed for a further 24hours. When removing the concrete specimens from the mould, take the mould apart completely. Take care not to damage the specimens because, if any cracking is caused, the strength may reduce.

**3.5 CURING OF SPECIMEN:**

Curing is the process of preventing the loss of moisture from the concrete and maintaining a satisfactory temperature regime. More elaborately, curing is defined as the process of maintaining satisfactory moisture content and a favourable temperature in concrete during the period immediately following placement, so that hydration of cement may continue until the desired properties are developed to sufficient degree to meet the requirement at service.

After casting, the moulded specimens are stored in the laboratory free from vibration, in moist air (at 90% relative humidity) and at a room temperature for 24 hours from the time at addition of water to the dry ingredients. After this period, the specimens are removed from the moulds, immediately submerged in clean fresh water tank. The water in which specimens are submerged, are renewed every seven days and maintain at temperature of  $27^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The specimens are cured for 7 and 28 days in the present work.

**3.6 TESTS ON HARDENED CONCRETE****3.6.1 Preparation of test specimens**

After applying oil to the moulds, as per the design mix concrete was prepared. After studying flow properties, concrete was poured into the moulds without compaction. After keeping 24 hours at room temperature, the specimens are removed from the moulds and immediately submerged in clean, fresh water for curing. The specimens are cured for 28 days and then tested.

**IV. TEST RESULTS****4.1 Compression Test Results: (in Mpa)****Table 2: Compression values for replaced aggregate mix**

|               | <b>B00</b> | <b>B10</b> | <b>B20</b> | <b>B30</b> |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| <b>7days</b>  | 30.66      | 30.21      | 29.77      | 23.66      |
| <b>28days</b> | 48.88      | 41.104     | 36.0       | 30.88      |

By the replacement of bricks aggregates the strength will be reduced. So, for the strength improvement steel fibers are added to the concrete mix and the compression results are given in Table 3.

**Table 3: Compression values for addition of fibers**

|               | <b>B20</b> | <b>B21</b> | <b>B22</b> |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| <b>7days</b>  | 29.77      | 31.21      | 35.22      |
| <b>28days</b> | 36.0       | 38.44      | 41.11      |

**4.2 Flexural Test Results: (in Mpa)**

Table 4: Flexural values for replaced aggregate mix

|               | <b>B00</b> | <b>B10</b> | <b>B20</b> | <b>B30</b> |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| <b>28days</b> | 5.15       | 5.13       | 5.02       | 3.324      |

Table 5: Flexural values for addition of fibers

|               | <b>B20</b> | <b>B21</b> | <b>B22</b> |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| <b>28days</b> | 5.02       | 5.12       | 5.23       |

**4.3 Split Test Results: (in Mpa)**

Table 6: Split values for replaced aggregate mix

|               | <b>B00</b> | <b>B10</b> | <b>B20</b> | <b>B30</b> |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| <b>28days</b> | 3.16       | 3.11       | 2.99       | 2.77       |

Table 7: Split values for addition of fibers

|               | <b>B20</b> | <b>B21</b> | <b>B22</b> |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| <b>28days</b> | 2.99       | 3.15       | 3.25       |

**VI. CONCLUSIONS**

- As percentage of brick waste increases the compressive strength decreases gradually upto 20% brick waste beyond that compressive strength decreases drastically.
- A 26.3% reduction in compressive strength of concrete is observed due to the use of 20% brick aggregate instead of stone aggregate for the strength range of concrete studied.
- The reduction in split tensile strength of mixed aggregate concrete is found to be less significant up to 20% replacement of stone aggregate by brick aggregate.
- By increasing the percentage of brick aggregate, the flexure strength was gradually decreases upto B<sub>20</sub> mix and then suddenly decreases.
- The rate of water absorption of crushed brick aggregate was found to be 21.35%
- There is a marginal increase in split tensile strength as %of fibers increased in the mix up to 2% with an addition of steel fibers at B<sub>20</sub> mix
- Modulus of rupture decreases with increase in fiber percentage upto 2% with an addition of steel fibers at B<sub>20</sub> mix
- In addition of steel fibers at B<sub>20</sub> mix, the compressive strength increases with an increase of fibers of 1%, 2% at B<sub>20</sub> mix.
- In this study solves environmental threats caused by waste clay bricks, introduction of an alternative source to aggregates in concrete that leads to conservation of natural aggregates.
- The workability of the mixed aggregate concrete is lower than that of normal concrete.

**REFERENCES**

- [1]. Minaxi rani and Alisha Gupta, "Construction waste management in India", International journal of science technology and management (ijstm), vol. 5, issue 6, June 2016, pp. 63-70.
- [2]. Spivey D. A. "Environmental and construction management engineers." J. Constr. Div., ASCE, vol. 100 issue 3, 395-401.

- [3]. V A Dakwale and R V Ralegaonkar, “Development of Sustainable Construction Material using Construction and Demolition Waste”, Indian Journal of Engineering and Material Science, vol. 21, August 2014, pp. 451- 457.
- [4]. Bon Jan SchootUiterkamp, Hossein Azadi and Peter Ho., “Sustainable recycling model: A comparative analysis between India and Tanzania”, Resources, Conservation and Recycling, vol. 55, pp. 344–355.
- [5]. Raj Kumarjoshi and sirajuddinahmed, “Status and challenges of municipal solid waste management in India: a review”, Cogent environmental science, 2016.
- [6]. Alaa A. Bashandy, M. Soliman Noha and Hamdy, Mahmoud, “Recycled Aggregate Self-curing Highstrength Concrete”, Civil Engineering Journal, vol. 3, July 2017,pp. 427-441.
- [7]. Prof. Mayur Tanpure, Mr. Pratik P. Shinde, Mr. Aakash S. Borade, Mr. Ravi S. Chate, Mr. Chetan P. Kalje and Mr. Dhairyashil S. Gaikwad, “Manufacturing of Bricks FromSewage Sludge and Waste Materials”,Imperial Journal of Interdisciplinary Research (IJIR), vol. 3, issue 5, 2017, pp. 1910-1912.