

# **REVIEW ON COMPARISON BETWEEN RCC AND STEEL HOPPER WITH WIND AND EARTHQUAKE ANALYSIS USING STAAD- PRO**

**<sup>1</sup>SHRUTI AMRUTH GANGASHETTY, <sup>2</sup>Dr. AJAY SWAROOP**

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology & Medical Sciences, Sehore, Bhopal-Indore Road, Madhya Pradesh, India

<sup>2</sup>Research Guide, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology & Medical Sciences, Sehore, Bhopal Indore Road, Madhya Pradesh, India

## **ABSTRACT**

Silo is one of the storage structure which is predominantly required for industries to store different materials like wheat, grains, concrete, carbon dark, wood chips, food items and sawdust and so forth in mass amounts. Accordingly it is important to decide the brief plan methodology for such structures, similar to silos and its segments utilizing the investigation of codal provisions. Hopper is the real storage zone in the silo, where emptying of materials is completed then again hopper is a silo bottom with slanted dividers where  $\alpha > 20^\circ$ . There are numerous sorts of silo created in India for storage dependent on the materials put away they are named Bunker silos, tower silos, Bag silos and so on. This paper gives sources to get significant specialized data in the plan of RCC hopper and Steel hopper with wind and earthquake analysis utilizing STAAD PRO. Structural Analysis and Designing Program is a 3D structural analysis and plan programming used to break down and plan different structures. Codal provisions are additionally followed to structure the hoppers dependent on the breeze and earthquake boundaries for explicit zones.

**Keywords:** STAAD PRO, CODAL PROVISIONS, HOPPER

## **INTRODUCTION**

The plan of silos and their supporting structure to be specific hopper includes three significant components like mass material, geometric and structural contemplations. The fundamental target is to break down and configuration accurately utilizing codal provisions, STAAD Pro and to make a near investigation of RCC hopper and STEEL hopper. for example geometry and structural contemplations. The vertical powers (Dead burden and live burden) alongside the laterals powers like breeze load and Seismic burdens for structural analysis and configuration are applied in stacking mixes and is investigated utilizing STAAD Pro. The adjustments in the bowing second because of different powers are gotten through reenactments and are introduced in [1]. The plan includes load estimations and breaking down the entire structure utilizing STAAD Pro in Limit State Method adjusting to the Indian standard Code of Practice.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW**

Riya Dey et.al. in the journal "Examinations among R.C.C and steel hopper plans", spoke to a 3-D model of Hopper that has been created in STAAD Pro to dissect the conduct of strengthened cement and steel Hopper structure under every plausible burden. This paper clarifies quickly likewise the impact of wind or earthquake loads on the structures for the near investigation among wind and earthquake consequences for RCC surrounded and steel confined Hopper structure. The significant factor of building lastly soil factor were talking into contemplations and their impacts on the exhibition of structure were examined. Our motivation is to break down and plan both the structure and study the impact on establishment and just as the impact on cost of material for development purposes. The model has been intended for a limit of 40 cubic meter Hopper whose bottom part is tapered.

Akshita Meshram et al., in the article "Analysis and Design of RCC Silo Structure by Considering Indian Seismic Zones", explained that RCC Silos are utilized by a roomy scope of industries to store mass solids in amounts going from a couple of tones to hundreds or thousands of tones. The term silo incorporates all types of particulate solids storage structure that may some way or another be alluded to as a receptacle, hopper, grain tank or bunker. Silos are requesting in concrete industries. Thus RCC silos are generally utilized for storage of granular materials as they are a perfect structural material for the structure of lasting mass storage offices for dry granular like fillings. At first, solid storage units are practical in structure and sensible in cost. Cement can offer security to the put away materials, requires little upkeep, is tastefully satisfying, and is generally liberated from certain structural dangers, for example, clasping or gouging. In this

undertaking, we are planning the RCC silo arranged in every single seismic zone with the assistance of structural programming STAAD PRO. The plan idea incorporates giving all elements of structural segment dependent on experimentation strategy. The Analysis of silo, utilizing the Equivalent parallel power strategy and study the exhibition of structure situated in every single seismic locale in term of Comparison of various models of solid silo for earthquakes, for example, nodal uprooting, stress and vertical or flat weight on dividers, and so on. The Presentation of the outcomes is in plain and graphical look. This strategy is completed for a volume of 180 m<sup>3</sup>. All the structures have been founded on the proposals of I.S 4995 - 1974 (section 1&2) and I.S 456 – 2000 codes, Based on these plans, that element of silos shows least measure of cement and steel. These discoveries will be helpful for the planners of silos.

MsRiniRiyansi.E et al., in the journal "Relative investigation of Silo supporting structure utilizing RCC and Steel", expressed that a silo is a structure for putting away mass materials. They are generally utilized for mass storage of coal, concrete, carbon dark, woodchips, food items and sawdust. The plan of silos to store mass solids includes mass materials, geometry and structural contemplations. For the most part the supporting structures are supposed to arrange. Here 3 number of silos are mulled over. In this Project, breaking down, planning and similar examination on silo supporting structure utilizing RCC and STEEL are finished. The General Arrangement (GA) drawings are readied utilizing the 2D drawing programming AUTOCAD and the structural displaying, analysis and configuration should be possible utilizing structural programming STADD PRO v8i. The structural analysis should be possible utilizing firmness lattice technique and the plan will be done dependent on IS code guidelines. For this structure, not just thinking about the vertical powers (Dead burden and live burden) yet in addition considering the laterals powers like breeze load and Seismic burdens for structural analysis and plan.

Sohel Ahmed Quadri et al., in the article "Examination of the basic course of seismic power for the analysis of R.C.C outlines" proclaimed that a basic strategy which can be applied in seismic codes to decide the basic point of seismic occurrence is proposed in this paper. Two 4-story strengthened solid structures with second opposing casings, one with square and the other with rectangular arrangement, have been examined by Equivalent Static Method of analysis. A lot of qualities from 0 to 90 degrees, with an addition of 10 degrees, have been utilized for edge of excitation. Structures' segments have been isolated into three primary classes, including corner, side, and inward sections, and pivotal power and bowing second qualities in various segments, have been researched in all cases. The outcomes show that the hub powers of sections may surpass the normal cases up to 13% by fluctuating the edge of excitation. Every section gets its most extreme hub power and minutes with a particular edge of excitation, which isn't 0 or 90 degree fundamentally, and it shifts from segment to segment.

Dr. B. Ramesh Babu, in the paper "Neural Network Model for Design of One-Way R.C.C Slabs", communicated that the structure of R.C.C sections includes numerous imperatives like diverse edge conditions, stacking, geometry and I.S. 456-2000 code provisions. The plan needs to fulfill all the limitations. This paper shows the appropriateness of counterfeit neural systems for the structure of one – way pieces in order to fulfill all the plan requirements.

**R. R. Gagan Krishna et al.**, in the work "Analysis of Silo and Comparing Silo with Different End Condition", spoke to that a silo is a structure for putting away mass materials. They are ordinarily utilized for mass storage of coal, concrete, carbon dark, woodchips, food items and sawdust. The plan of silos to store mass solids includes mass materials, geometry and structural contemplations. For the most part the supporting structures are supposed to arrange. Silo structures are for the most part developed with fortified cement. Such structures must have sufficient quality and be in consistence with usefulness necessity as for split widths. This significant plan necessity is accomplished by restricting the tractable worries in cement to be inside reasonable cutoff points as indicated in codes of training. In this investigation an endeavor is made to comprehend the distinctions in structural conduct of silo with two sorts of romanticizing for establishment, for example, unbending and adaptable by utilizing STAAD PRO v8i. For this structure, not just thinking about the vertical powers (dead burden and live burden) yet additionally considering the parallel powers like breeze and seismic burden.

**Rakesh Kumaret.al.**, in the paper "Study on Silos for Safe Storage of Food Grain Using Staad Pro V8i Software", indicated that a silo is a structure for putting away mass materials. They are generally utilized for mass storage of coal, concrete, carbon dark, woodchips, food items and sawdust. The plan of silos to store mass solids includes mass materials, geometry and structural contemplations. By and large the supporting structures are supposed to organize. Here 3 number of silos are mulled over. The structure of silo likewise dependent on the thickness and edge of inward erosion of material to be put away. In past, analysts have contemplated the shear conduct of Silo. the model utilized for the nonlinear time history analysis Considers. In this work Study on Silos for Safe Storage of Food Grain Using STAAD Pro V8i Software,

Comparison of Grain Storage Silo with Different Height (Silo of different tallness for example 12m, 16 m, 20m) in Seismic Zone III Using STAAD-Pro V8i Software. The structural displaying and analysis should be possible utilizing structural programming STADD PRO v8i. The structural analysis should be possible utilizing FEM technique. For this structure, not just thinking about the vertical powers (Dead burden and live burden) yet additionally considering the laterals powers like breeze load and Seismic burdens for structural analysis and configuration Reinforced solid silos are normally utilized structures for enormous storage of various materials.

**Riya Dey et al.**, in the article "Wind and Earthquake impact on R.C.C and Steel Structure", says that the standard target of this undertaking is to correlation among RCC and Steel Structure and plan a multistoried structure utilizing STAAD Pro. The plan includes load estimations and dissecting the entire structure by STAAD Pro. The structure techniques utilized in STAAD Pro analysis are Limit State Design adjusting to Indian Standard Code of Practice. The Thesis includes STAAD Modeling, Analysis the individuals because of the impact of Wind and Seismic burden and Compare them for a 35 meter tallness Building with Concrete and Steel development. The proposition structure is a 10 celebrated structure with 3.50 m as the tallness of each floor. The general arrangement measurement of the structure is 30.0 m x 20.0m.

**Krishna T. Kharjule et al.**, in the paper "Seismic analysis of R.C.C. what's more, Steel Silos", expresses that Structures utilized for putting away mass solids are called containers, bunkers, silos, or tanks. There is no commonly acknowledged definition for these terms, shallow structures containing coal, squashed stone, rock, and comparative materials are called canisters or bunkers and tall structures containing materials, for example, grain, concrete and wheat are typically called silos. Raised silos for the most part comprise of a cone shaped rooftop, a tube shaped shell and a cone shaped hopper and they could be raised and bolstered by outlines or fortified solid segments. Round silos (both steel and strengthened cement) are utilized to store material in different industries like concrete plants (clinkers), power plants( crude coal), oil and gas industry (sulfur pellets) and so forth. Raised steel and fortified solid roundabout silo for storage show execution in earthquake strengthened solid silo solidness increments by utilizing shear divider however loss of steel silo in earthquake dependability builds utilizing steel board on inverse side Displacement of structure diminishes if there should be an occurrence of shear divider board and firmness increments.

**AshwiniBidari et al.**, in the article "Analysis of Seismic and Wind Effect on Steel Silo Supporting Structures", outlines that India's financial development is dependent upon the development of the Indian steel industry. Utilization of steel is taken to be a pointer of monetary turn of events. In steel plants, steel silos are utilized for the storage of mass materials. Be that as it may, steel silos contrast essentially from their solid partners in physical properties like the high quality per unit weight and flexibility. The high return and extreme quality outcome in slim areas. Being pliable the steel structures give adequate guidance ahead of time before disappointment by method of inordinate disfigurements. Steel silo is raised and bolstered by outlines. This paper portrays the analysis and plan of skyscraper steel building outline with supported and without propped under impact of wind and earthquake utilizing SAP2000 and furthermore to look at the reaction of propped and unbraced structure which exposed to level or sidelong stacking framework. Dynamic analysis is completed by utilizing Equivalent Static technique and Response range strategy for earthquake zone V according to Indian code. The outcomes as far as Natural period, Design Base shear, sidelong Displacements are thought about for the diverse silo supporting models considered in the current examination. The supported framework gives the efficient outcomes contrasted with unbraced framework as far as recurrence and uprooting.

**NateghiAlahi F et al.**, in the paper, "Numerical examination concerning seismic conduct of fortified solid silos thinking about granular material-structure association", enunciated that the impact of granular material-structure connection is researched for a strengthened solid silo by utilizing ABAQUS limited component bundle. A hypoplastic constitutive model is utilized for demonstrating the granular material. Subsequent to displaying the granular material-structure collaboration under seismic excitation, the outcomes got are contrasted and those of models without granular material-structure communication.

**F. Nateghiet al.**, in the journal "Seismic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Silos Considering Granular Material-Structure Interaction", expressed that silos are structures that are utilized for putting away various kinds of granular materials. Because of nonlinearity of fortified solid dividers of silo and steadily nonlinear conduct of granular material, by and large seismic conduct of strengthened solid silos is mind boggling, in this paper we have utilized ABAQUS limited component bundle for demonstrating of earthquake impact on a strengthened solid silo, strengthened solid silo dividers are displayed by shell components and their nonlinear conduct is considered by concrete harmed pliancy model, seismic conduct of granular material inside silo is profoundly nonlinear and requires a complex nonlinear depiction of the granular material,

the conduct of granular material is gradually nonlinear even at low strains. The hypo versatility hypothesis depicts the pressure rate as a component of stress, strain rate and void proportion. It can demonstrate the nonlinear and inelastic conduct of granular materials because of its rate-type definition. Granular material inside silo is displayed by strong components and its nonlinear conduct is considered with a hypoplastic constitutive model, for demonstrating of communication between silo dividers and granular material, surface to surface contact with coulomb rubbing law is considered between silo dividers and granular material. In the wake of displaying, the conduct of fortified solid silo under earthquake excitation is contrasted and a model without thinking about granular material-structure association.

**F. Nateghi et al.**, in the paper "Seismic conduct of Silos with various tallness to distance across proportions thinking about granular material structure cooperation", expressed that Silos are structures that are utilized for putting away various kinds of granular material. Dynamic conduct of silos under seismic burdens is exceptionally unpredictable. In this paper seismic conduct of steel silos with various stature to width proportions is explored by considering granular material-structure cooperation utilizing ABAQUS limited component bundle. Silo divider is displayed by shell components and its conduct is viewed as flexible, seismic conduct of granular material inside silo is exceptionally nonlinear and requires a complex nonlinear portrayal of the granular material. The hypoplasticity hypothesis portrays the pressure rate as a component of stress, strain rate and void proportion. The granular material is demonstrated by strong components and its conduct is considered with a hypoplastic constitutive model, for displaying of association between silo divider and granular material, surface to surface contact with coulomb grinding law is considered between silo divider and granular material. The outcomes show that the seismic conduct of silos is subject to the stature to measurement proportion of the silo. While considering a steady an incentive for the dispersion of increasing speed in the stature of silo prompts traditionalist plan pressures for a squat silo dependent on Eurocode 8, this supposition that isn't moderate for a slim silo.

**Muhammad UmairSaleem et al.**, in the periodical "A Simplified Approach for Analysis and Design of Reinforced Concrete Circular Silos and Bunkers", communicated that Reinforced solid silos and bunkers are generally utilized structures for huge storage of various materials. These structures are exceptionally weak when exposed to serious seismic powers. Accessible rules for analysis and plan of these structures require uncommon structure abilities and code systems. The current investigation is planned to expand the structure techniques from various sources to a bound together strategy, which can be applied to a bigger class of strengthened solid silos. In this examination, analysis and structure methods are summed up and introduced in a disentangled structure to ensure the effective down to earth plan uses of strengthened solid silos. Four unique instances of silo configuration dependent on the sort and weight of put away material were considered for the investigation. For each case, the silo was planned utilizing given structure technique and displayed utilizing FEM-based PC bundle. The entirety of the strengthened solid silos were exposed to gravity, wind and seismic powers. In the wake of playing out the analysis and structure of various silos, the bowing second, shear power and hub powers profiles were given for an example silo. The outcomes got from the proposed plan strategy were contrasted and FEM values for various segments of silos, for example, piece, divider and hopper.

**Chirag L. Korat et al.**, in the journal, "A Review on Parametric Study of Circular RCC Silo having Hopper Bottom", indicated that Looking to the quick speed of advancement that will be dealt with by proficient architects and globalization of expert administrations to be concentrated, it gets essential to assess them in the zone of plan for modern structures, which has a wide range to be managed. Silo is one of the storage structure which is required for modern plants to store different sorts of materials, for example, concrete, coal, grains, and so on. Consequently it is important to judge in regards to the plan techniques for such structures, which incorporates the investigation of codal provisions prompting analysis and plan alongside specifying of the equivalent. There are numerous silo created in India for storage of concrete which might be transiently or for all time. Be that as it may, presently a day there are required space and monetary structure of enormous size silo. The current investigation is the progression toward the path to give significant specialized data, delineating the hypothetical foundation and codal provisions alongside detail structure strategy with different h/d proportion of silo for same limit of storage and utilization of STAAD-PRO programming for the analysis and plan of different segments of roundabout silo and give practical structure of silo.

Other than the previously mentioned written works the codal provisions are followed for the brief structure

IS 4995 (part 1) & (part2) – 1974: criteria for design of reinforced concrete bins for the storage of granular and powdery materials.

IS 875 (part 1) – 1987 - code of practice for design loads (other than earthquake) for buildings and structures – dead loads (unit weight of building materials and stored materials).

IS 875 (part 2) – 1987 - code of practice for design loads (other than earthquake) for buildings and structures – imposed loads.

IS 875 (part iii) – 1987: code of practice for design loads (other than earthquake) for buildings and structures – wind load.

IS 875 (part 5) – 1987: code of practice for design loads (other than earthquake) for buildings and structures – special loads and combinations

IS 1893 (part 1): 2002 – criteria for earthquake Is 800: 2007 – general construction in steel – code of practice.

Sp16: 1980 – Design Aids For Reinforced Concrete to Is : 456 -1978

Eurocode (2007a), EN 1991-4, Eurocode 1: Basis of Design and Actions on Structures, Part 4 - Silos and Tanks, European Committee for Standardization, Brussels.

Eurocode (2007b), EN 1993-4-1, Eurocode 3: Design of Steel Structures, Part 4.1: Steel Silos, European Committee for Standardization, Brussels.

## CONCLUSION

RCC hopper is less cost than the Steel Hopper. While considering the different variables like imperviousness to fire, strength, quote, fix and restoration RCC hopper is better contrasted with steel hopper. Despite the fact that RCC hoppers are more steady and tough than Steel Hopper its dead weight is more than steel hopper. Therefore the Steel hoppers are anything but difficult to move and transport. Subsequently dependent on the site conditions, stacking, wind and earthquake boundaries, and monetary conditions and so on, hoppers can be introduced appropriately.

## REFERENCES

**Riya Dey and AbhirupBhattacharjee**,“Comparisons between R.C.C and steel hopper designs”, Dept. of Civil Engineering, St. Mary’s Technical Campus,Kolkata, (IJCET) ,Volume 6, Issue 6, June (2015), Pp. 114 -123 .

**AkshitaMeshram, Prof. Sanjay k. Bhadke**, “Analysis and Design of Rcc Silo Structure by Considering Indian Seismic Zones”,Structural Engineering, TGPCET, Nagpur, (IOSRJEN),2019

**R . R. GaganKrishna,H. K. Shiva Prathap,B. K. Narendra and T.Mahadevaiah**“Analysis of Silo and Comparing Silo with Different End Condition”, Civil Engineering Department, AdiChunchanagiri University, BGSIT, B G Nagar Karnataka India,2019

**Rakesh Kumar, NiteshKushwaha**,“Study on Silos for Safe Storage of Food Grain

Using Staad Pro V8i Software”,Department of Civil Engineering, Millennium Institute of Technology & Science, Bhopal India,Volume 59, November 2019.

**Muhammad UmairSaleem, Hassan Khurshid**, “A Simplified Approach for Analysis and Design of Reinforced Concrete Circular Silos andBunkers”,Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia, Volume: 12,pp no 234- 250,2018.

**MsRiniRiyansi.E ,Mrs. AbidaJustus**,“Comparative study of Silo supporting structure using RCC and Steel”,Department of Civil Engineering, SathyabamaUniversity, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India, (IJARBEST) ,Vol. 3, Special Issue 35, April 2017

**Krishna T. Kharjule ,Minakshi B. Jagtap**“Seismic analysis of R.C.C. and Steel Silos”,PG student with the Department of Civil Engineering, VidyaPratisthan’scollage of Engineering, Baramati ,Pune ,Volume, 05 ,Issue, 07 ,July – 2015.

**Riya Dey, SagnikSenSarma,AbhirupBhattacharjee**,“Wind and Earthquake effect on R.C.C & Steel Structure”,Department of Civil Engineering, St. Mary’s Technical Campus, Kolkata,Volume 6, Issue 8, Aug 2015

**K. Kothiya, H. L. Kheni and J. Gadhiya**, “A Review on Parametric study on Design of Silo,” IJAERD,vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 603-606, 2015.

**Chirag L. Korat,Jasmin A. Gadhiya,Hardik A. Patel**, “A Review on Parametric Study of Circular RCC Silo having Hopper Bottom”,IJAERD,Volume 4, Issue 11, November -2017.

**Sohel Ahmed Quadri, MangulkarMadhuri N**, “Investigation of the critical direction of seismic force for the analysis of R.C.C frames”, (IJSE) ,Volume 2, Issue 1, January-June 2020, pp. 14-19.

**AshwiniBidari K. N. Vishwanath**,“Analysis of Seismic and Wind Effect on Steel Silo Supporting Structures”, Volume-2 Issue-9, September 2014

**NateghiAlahi F,Yakhchalian .M**, “Numerical Investigation Into Seismic Behavior Of Reinforced Concrete Silos Considering Granular Material-Structure Interaction”,International Institute Of Earthquake Engineering And Seismology, Tehran, Iran,Volume -31,2013

**Nateghi and M. Yakhchalian**, “Seismic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Silos Considering Granular Material-Structure Interaction”,International Institute of Earthquake Engineering and Seismology, Tehran, Iran,published by Elsevier Ltd, 2011.

**F. Nateghi and M. Yakhchalian**,“Seismic behavior of Silos with different height to diameter ratios considering granular materialstructure interaction”,Structural Engineering Research Center, International Institute of Earthquake Engineering and Seismology, Tehran, Iran,December 15, 2011

**Dr. B. Ramesh Babu**,“Neural Network Model For Design of One-Way R.C.C Slabs”,(IJCIET), ISSN 0976 – 6308 (Print), Volume 5, Issue 3, March (2014), pp. 71-76 © IAEME.

**Kibar,Hakan** ,“Patterns between wall pressures and stresses with grain moisture on cylindrical silo”, Volume 62, Issue 4,pp 487-496, 2017.