ISSN- 2394-5125 VOL 7, ISSUE 01, 2020

A Review of Study of Linear Differential-Algebraic Equations of Higher-Order and Characteristics of Matrix Polynomials

Ramesh D Lashkare[1] **Dr.Jaya Kushwah**[2]

Himalayan University,Dept. of Mathematics, Arunachal Pradesh

- **ABSTRACT**

This proposition adds to the hypothetical investigation of linear Differential Algebraic Equations of higher order just as of the regularity and singularity of matrix polynomials. Begin with ODE system:

y' (=dy/dt) = f(y, t), y(0) = y0

Here we anticipate a development of y in time and there are various methods that guarantee a precise and stable advancement. Defining unadulterated ODE problems in building regularly requires the mix of

a) Conservation laws (mass and energy balance),

b) Constitutive (equations of state, weight drops, heat transfer...)

c) Design requirements (wanted operations...)

Execution of these is frequently simpler and substantially more proficient by keeping these relations discrete. This prompts a lot of differential and algebraic equations (DAEs):

F(y, y', t) = 0 with y(0) = y0

Fully Implicit Classes of these problems include:

Ay' + f(y,t) = 0 with y(0) = y0

Linear Implicit x' = f(x, z, t) Semi-explicit g(x, z, t) = 0

where:

x - differential variables

z - algebraic variables, yT = [xT zT],

For consistency, we consider the semi-explicit structure as it were.

DAEs are unraveled utilizing augmentations of ODE solvers.

Keywords: Differential, Algebraic, Equations,Problem,Uniqueness,Linear.

- **INTRODUCTION**

Differential-algebraic equations (DAEs) emerge in an assortment of utilizations. Thusly their investigation and numerical treatment assumes a significant job in current science.This paper gives a prologue to the theme of DAEs.Examples of DAEs are viewed as demonstrating their

ISSN- 2394-5125 VOL 7, ISSUE 01, 2020

significancefordownto earth problems.A few surely understood record ideas are presented.With regardsto the tractabity record presence and uniqueness of answers forlowfilelinear DAEs is demonstrated.Numerical methods connectedto these equation are examined. We will contemplate linear first order differential algebraic equation with constant coefficient.

$$A_l x^{(l)}(t) + A_{l-1}x^{(l-1)}(t) + \cdots + A_0 x(t) = f(t),\ t \in [t_0, t_1] \quad \left(x^{(k)}(t) = \frac{d^k}{dt^k}x(t) \right) \ (1.1)$$

also, linear order Differential-Algebraic Equations with variable coefficients

$$A_l(t)x^{(l)}(t) + A_{l-1}(t)x^{(l-1)}(t) + \cdots + A_0(t)x(t) = f(t),\ t \in [t_0, t_1], \qquad (1.2)$$

Where $A_i \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n},\ i = 0, 1, \ldots, l,\ l \in \mathbb{N}_0,\ A_l \neq 0,\ t$ is a genuine variable on the interim $[t_0, t_1],\ A_i(t) \in \mathcal{C}([t_0, t_1], \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}),\ i = 0, 1, \ldots, l,\ A_l(t) \not\equiv 0,\ x(t)$ is an obscure vector-valued function primary in instruments is a strategy to decouple the DAE into its dynamical and algebraic part.

Nonetheless, the system (1.1) an (1.2) of linear higher order differential-algebraic equations additionally emerge normally and often in numerous numerical models.

$$\begin{bmatrix} M & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ J & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{z}(t) \\ \lambda(t) \\ \mu(t) \\ \nu(t) \\ \xi(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & P & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & G & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{z}(t) \\ \lambda(t) \\ \mu(t) \\ \nu(t) \\ \xi(t) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$+ \begin{bmatrix} Q & -F^T & -G^T & -J^T & -\hat{Z} \\ F & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ H & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ K & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ X & 0 & 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z(t) \\ \lambda(t) \\ \mu(t) \\ \nu(t) \\ \xi(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Su(t) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $M, P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{u\times u},\ J, L, K \in \mathbb{C}^{s\times u},\ G, H \in \mathbb{C}^{q\times u},\ Y, X, \hat{Z} \in \mathbb{C}^{v\times u},\ F \in \mathbb{C}^{p\times u},$ $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{v\times v},\ S \in \mathbb{C}^{u\times r},\ z(t) \in \mathcal{C}([t_0, t_1], \mathbb{C}^u),\ \lambda(t) \in \mathcal{C}([t_0, t_1], \mathbb{C}^p),\ \mu(t) \in \mathcal{C}([t_0, t_1], \mathbb{C}^q),$

$\nu(t) \in \mathcal{C}([t_0, t_1], \mathbb{C}^s), \xi(t) \in \mathcal{C}([t_0, t_1], \mathbb{C}^v), u(t) \in \mathcal{C}([t_0, t_1], \mathbb{C}^r),\ \ddot{(\cdot)}(t)$ denotes the

Second derivative of (■) with respect to *t*.

Consider the (linear implicit) DAE system:

$$Ey' = A\,y + g(t)$$

with steady starting conditions and apply implicit Euler:

ISSN- 2394-5125 VOL 7, ISSUE 01, 2020

$$E(y_{n+1} - y_n)/h = A\, y_{n+1} + g(t_{n+1})$$

and rearrangement gives:

$$y_{n+1} = (E - A\, h)^{-1} [E\, y_n + h\, g(t_{n+1})]$$

Now the true solution, y(tn), satisfies:

$$E[(y(t_{n+1}) - y(t_n))/h + h\, y''(x)/2] = A\, y(t_{n+1}) + g(t_{n+1})$$

and defining en = $y(t_n) - y_n$, we have:

$$e_{n+1} = (E - A\, h)^{-1} [E\, e_n - h^2\, y''(x)/2]$$

$e_0 = 0$, known initial conditions

Where the segments of Aa relate to the voltage, resistive and capacitive branches separately. The rows speak to the system's hub, so that i1 and 1 demonstrate the hubs that are associated by each branch under thought. In this manner Aa relegates an extremity to each branch.

Consider the (linear implicit) DAE system:

Ey' = A y + g(t) with reliable beginning conditions and apply implicit Euler:

$$E(y_{n+1} - y_n)/h = A\, y_{n+1} + g(t_{n+1})$$

and rearrangement gives:

$$y_{n+1} = (E - A\, h)^{-1} [E\, y_n + h\, g(t_{n+1})]$$

Now the true solution, y(tn), satisfies:

$$E[(y(t_{n+1}) - y(t_n))/h + h\, y''(x)/2] = A\, y(t_{n+1}) + g(t_{n+1})$$

and defining en = y(tn) - yn, we have:

$$e_{n+1} = (E - A\, h)^{-1} [E\, e_n - h_2\, y''(x)/2]$$

$e_0 = 0$, known initial conditions

Where the segment of Aa compare to the voltage, resistive and capacitive branches individually. The rows speak to the system's hubs, so that i1 and 1 show the hubs that are associated by each branch under thought. Therefore Aa appoints an extremity to each branch.

Example :We research the underlying value problem for the linear second order constant coefficient Differential Algebraic Equations.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \ddot{x}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) = f(t), \quad t \in [t_0, t_1] \qquad (2.3)$$

Where $x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t)]^T$, and $f(t) = [f_1(t), f_2(t)]^T$ is sufficiently smooth, together with the initial conditions

$$x(t_0) = x_0, \quad \dot{x}(t_0) = x_0^{[1]}, \qquad (2.4)$$

Where $x_0 = [x_{01}, x_{02}]^T \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $x_0^{[1]} = [x_{01}^{[1]}, x_{02}^{[1]}]^T \in \mathbb{C}^2$. A short computation shows that system (2.3) has the unique solution

ISSN- 2394-5125 VOL 7, ISSUE 01, 2020

$$\begin{cases} x_1(t) = f_2(t), \\ x_2(t) = f_1(t) - \dot{f}_2(t) - \ddot{f}_2(t). \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, (2.5) is the unique solution of the initial value problem (2.3)-(2.4) if the initial conditions (2.4) are consistent, namely,

$$\begin{cases} x_{01} &= f_2(t_0), \\ x_{02} &= f_1(t_0) - \dot{f}_2(t_0) - \ddot{f}_2(t_0), \\ x_{01}^{[1]} &= \dot{f}_2(t_0), \\ x_{02}^{[1]} &= \dot{f}_1(t_0) - \ddot{f}_2(t_0) - \left.\frac{d^3 f_2(t)}{dt^3}\right|_{t_0+}. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

If we let

$$v(t) = [v_1(t), v_2(t)]^T = [\dot{x}_1(t), \dot{x}_2(t)]^T, \ y(t) = [v_1(t), v_2(t), x_1(t), x_2(t)]^T,$$

then we have the following initial-value problem for the linear first-order Differential-Algebraic EquationsSs

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \dot{y}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(t) \\ f_2(t) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.7)$$

together with the initial condition

$$y(t_0) = [x_{01}^{[1]}, x_{02}^{[1]}, x_{01}, x_{02}]^T. \quad (2.8)$$

- **Matrix Condensed Form**
  In this section we will work essentially with systems of linear second order differential algebraic equations Ss with constant coefficients.

$$M\ddot{x}(t) + C\dot{x}(t) + Kx(t) = f(t), \ t \in [t_0, t_1], \quad (2.11)$$

With $M, C, K \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}, \ f(t) \in \mathcal{C}^{\mu}([t_0, t_1], \mathbb{C}^m),$ perhaps together with starting conditions

$$x(t_0) = x_0, \ \dot{x}(t_0) = x_0^{[1]}, \quad x_0, x_0^{[1]} \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (2.12)$$

It is outstanding that the idea of the arrangements of the system of linear first-order constant coefficient Differential-Algebraic EquationsSs

ISSN- 2394-5125 VOL 7, ISSUE 01, 2020

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + f(t),\ t \in [t_0, t_1],$$

Through examining the sanctioned structures for the arrangement of matrix pencils.

With E,Aϵ $C^{m\times n}$ and f(t)ϵC^{μ}(It_0,t_1I,C^m),can be controlled by the properties of the comparing matrix pencil λE-A. Furthermore, the algebraic properties of the matrix pencil λE-A can be surly known

$$\lambda(PEQ) - (PAQ), \qquad (2.13)$$

- **Variable Coefficients Linear Higher-Order Differential-Algebraic Equations**

In this section, we study linear order differential-algebraic equations with variable coefficients

$$A_l(t)x^{(l)}(t) + A_{l-1}(t)x^{(l-1)}(t) + \cdots + A_0(t)x(t) = f(t),\ t \in [t_0, t_1], \qquad (3.1)$$

Where $A_i(t) \in \mathcal{C}([t_0, t_1], \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}),\ i = 0, 1, \ldots, l,\ A_l(t) \not\equiv 0,\ f(t) \in \mathcal{C}([t_0, t_1], \mathbb{C}^m),$

Possibly together with initial conditions

$$x(t_0) = x_0,\ \ldots,\ x^{(l-2)}(t_0) = x_0^{[l-2]},\ x^{(l-1)}(t_0) = x_0^{[l-1]}, \quad x_0, \ldots, x_0^{[l-2]}, x_0^{[l-1]} \in \mathbb{C}^n. \qquad (3.2)$$

As on account of constant coefficients, we will apply fundamentally the same as strategies (transforming, separating, and embeddings) to the system (3.1) with variable coefficients, and acquire parallel outcomes on the system (3.1), and on the underlying value problem (3.1)- (3.2).

In the last section we saw that DAEs vary from various perspectives from

Consider the (linear implicit) DAE system:

Ey' = A y + g(t) with steady introductory conditions and apply implicit Euler:

$E(y_{n+1} - y_n)/h = A\ y_{n+1} + g(t_{n+1})$

Furthermore, revamp gives:

$y_{n+1} = (E - A\ h)^{-1}\ [E\ y_n + h\ g(t_{n+1})]$

Presently the genuine arrangement, y(tn), fulfills:

$E[(y(t_{n+1}) - y(t_n))/h + h\ y''(x)/2] = A\ y(t_{n+1}) + g(t_{n+1})$

furthermore, characterizing en = $y(t_n) - y_n$, we have:

en+1 = $(E - A\ h)^{-1}\ [E\ e_n - h^2\ y''(x)/2]$

$e_0 = 0$, known introductory conditions

Where the sections of Aa relate to the voltage, resistive and capacitive branches separately. The rows speak to the system's hubs, so that ¡1 and 1 demonstrate the hubs that are associated by each branch under thought. In this manner Aa allocates an extremity to each branch.

ISSN- 2394-5125 VOL 7, ISSUE 01, 2020

This point by point examination leads us to results about presence and uniqueness of answers for DAEs with low list. We had the capacity to make sense of unequivocally what starting conditions are to be presented, specifically $D(t_0)x(t_0) = D(t_0)x_0$ and $D(t_0)P_1(t_0)x(t_0) = D(t_0)P_1(t_0)x_0$ in the record 1 and list 2 case separately.

- **Triples of Matrix-Valued Condensed Form Functions**

In this section, we will basically treat systems of linear second-order Differential-Algebraic Equations with variable coefficients

$$M(t)\ddot{x}(t) + C(t)\dot{x}(t) + K(t)x(t) = f(t), \quad t \in [t_0, t_1], \tag{3.3}$$

where $M(t), C(t), K(t) \in \mathcal{C}([t_0, t_1], \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}),\ f(t) \in \mathcal{C}([t_0, t_1], \mathbb{C}^m)$,

potentially together with beginning value conditions

$$x(t_0) = x_0,\ \dot{x}(t_0) = x_0^{[1]}, \quad x_0, x_0^{[1]} \in \mathbb{C}^n. \tag{3.4}$$

- **The Solution performance of Higher-Order Systems of Differential-Algebraic Equations**
  Here, the main contrast of the instance of variable coefficient from the constant case is that, so as to do the technique to the last stage, we should accept that at each phase of the inductive strategy, the regularity conditions hold.

Regular and Singular characterization of Matrix Polynomials

- **Introduction to matrix polynomial regularity and singularity**

A polynomial with matrix coefficients is known as a matrix polynomial or a polynomial matrix on the off chance that we view it as a matrix whose components are polynomials. It is notable that matrix polynomials assume a significant job in the investigative hypothesis of rudimentary

Consider the (linear implicit) DAE system:

Ey' = A y + g(t) with steady introductory conditions and apply implicit Euler:

$E(y_{n+1} - y_n)/h = A\, y_{n+1} + g(t_{n+1})$

what's more, improvement gives:

$y_{n+1} = (E - A h)- 1\, [E\, y_n + h\, g(t_{n+1})]$

Presently the genuine arrangement, y(tn), fulfills:

$E[(y(t_{n+1}) - y(t_n))/h + h\, y''(x)/2] = A\, y(t_{n+1}) + g(t_{n+1})$

what's more, characterizing en = y(tn) - yn, we have:

$e_{n+1} = (E - A h)^{-1}\, [E\, e_n - h^2\, y''(x)/2]$

$e_0 = 0$, known beginning conditions

Where the section of Aa compare to the voltage, resistive and capacitive branches individually. The row speak to the system's hubs, so that i1 and 1 demonstrate the hubs that are associated by each branch underthought.In this way Aa allots an extremity to each branch

- **Sufficient and Necessary Conditions for Matrix Polynomials**

In this section, and Subsection 4.2.4 arrangements with segment solitary matrix pencils and 2 x 2 particular quadratic matrix polynomials. To set documentation, we start with the definition of matrix polynomials

Definition 4.3 A MATRIX POLYNOMIAL $A(\lambda)$ more than $\mathbb{C}$ (or $\mathbb{R}$) is a polynomial in λ with

matrix coefficients:

$$A(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{l} \lambda^i A_i = \lambda^l A_l + \lambda^{l-1} A_{l-1} + \cdots + \lambda A_1 + A_0, \qquad (4.1)$$

Where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and the matrices $A_i,\ i = 1, \ldots, l,$ are from $\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ (or $\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$).

- **With of Rank Information, Detecting regularity / Singularity of Square Matrix Polynomials**

Example 4.48 We consider the matrix pencil

$$A - \lambda E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Obviously, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we have $\det(A - \lambda E) \equiv 0;$

consequently, the matrix pencil is solitary, and the relating summed up eigenvalue problem

$Ax = \lambda Ex$ has boundlessly numerous eigenpairs (λ, x),

where

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{C},\ x = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 \\ -2\lambda + 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- **Singularity Problem for Matrix Polynomials**

off chance that we mean subsidiaries of x and y by x˙ and y˙ separately, the dynamic energy Some extra basic examples:

Consider the (linear implicit) DAE system:

Ey' = A y + g(t) with reliable starting conditions and apply implicit Euler:

$E(y_{n+1} - y_n)/h = A\, y_{n+1} + g(t_{n+1})$

what's more, reworking gives:

ISSN- 2394-5125 VOL 7, ISSUE 01, 2020

$y_{n+1} = (E - A h)^{-1} [E y_n + h g(t_{n+1})]$

Presently the genuine arrangement, y(tn), fulfills:

$E[(y(t_{n+1}) - y(t_n))/h + h y''(x)/2] = A y(t_{n+1}) + g(t_{n+1})$

what's more, characterizing en = $y(t_n) - y_n$, we have:

$e_{n+1} = (E - A h)^{-1} [E e_n - h^2 y''(x)/2]$

$e_0 = 0$,known starting conditions where the section of Aa compare to the voltage, resistive and capacitive branches separately.

It is normal to utilize this data and utilizetheorem to get lower limitson the closest separation

$\delta p(A(\lambda)),p = 2,F.$

Defination :Given a Standard $\|.\|$ on $C^{m\times n}$, It is unitarily invariant if for and

$A\epsilon\ C^{m\times n}$ and any unitary $U\epsilon\ C^{m\times n}$ and $V\epsilon\ C^{m\times n}$ it fulfill $\| UHAV \| = \| A \|$.

Lemma: Let $\|.\|$ be a group of unitary invarivant standards , let $A \epsilon C^{m\times n}$ and $B\epsilon C^{n\times q}$ Where m,n,q ϵ N then

$\| AB \| \leq \| A \| \| B \|_2$ and

$\| AB \| \leq \| A \|_2 \| B \|$

Conclusions

In this paper we have shown the theoretical examination of two interrelated focuses: straight differential mathematical conditions of higher request and the normality and peculiarity of network polynomials.

In the primary bit of this recommendation, we have direct looked into logical structures of general straight higher request framework of differential algebraic equations Ss with steady and variable coefficiants.Using the methodologies planned and taking direct second order request framework of differential algebraic equationsSs as precedents, we have given thick structures under strong equivalence changes, for triples of lattices and triples of network esteemed capacities which are connected with the framework of consistent and variables coefficient separately. It should be seen that because of the variables coefficient, we have built up an arrangement of invariant sums and a great deal of consistency conditions to ensure that the thick structure can be gotten. In light of the solidified structures, we have changed over the frameworks into customary differential-condition part, 'unusual' coupled differential-mathematical condition part, and arithmetical condition part, and planned the separation and end dares to halfway decouple the bizarre part. Inductively driving such technique of progress and decoupling ,we have, finally, changed over the primary framework into relative characteristic free framework, from which course of action lead with respect to sensibility, uniqueness of game plans and consistency of initial conditions can be genuinely scrutinized off.

This low down examination leads us to results about nearness and uniqueness of answers for DAEs with low rundown. We had the alternative to comprehend precisely what starting conditions are to be displayed, explicitly D(t0)x(t0) = D(t0)x0 and D(t0)P1(t0)x(t0) = D(t0)P1(t0)x0 in the rundown 1 and record 2 case independently.

ISSN- 2394-5125 VOL 7, ISSUE 01, 2020

These fundamental conditions guarantee that courses of action of the trademark ODE (3.5) and (3.10) lie in the contrasting invariant subspace. Allow us to weight that simply those game plans of the standard trademark ODE that lie in the invariant subspace are critical for the DAE. Despite whether this subspace varies with t we know the dynamical dimension of chance to be Rank G0 and RankG0 and RankG1im for the document 1 and 2 independently.

- **REFERENCES**

[1] U. M. Ascher, L. R. Petzold. Projected collocation for higher-order higher-index di_erential-algebraic equations. J. Comp. Appl. Math. 43 (1992) 243{259.

[2] K. Balla, R. M□arz. A uni_ed approach to linear di_erential algebraic algebraic equations and their adjoints. Z. Anal. Anwendungen, 21(2002)3, 783-802.

[3] K. E. Brenan, S. L. Campbell, and L. R. Petzold. Numerical Solutions of Initial- Value Problems in Di_erential-Algebraic Equations. Classics in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 14, SIAM, 1996.

[4] R. Byers, C. He, V. Mehrmann. Where is the nearest non-regular pencil? Lin. Alg. Appl., 285: 81-105, 1998.

[5] E. A. Coddington, R. Carlson. Linear Ordinary Di_erential Equations. SIAM. Philadelphia. 1997.

[6] R. Courant, F. John. Introduction to Calculus and Analysis I. Springer-Verlag, New York, Inc. 1989.

[7] S. L. Campbell. Singular Systems of Di_erential Equations. Pitman, Boston, 1980.

[8] S. L. Campbell. Singular Systems of Di_erential Equations II. Pitman, Boston, 1982.

[9] E. K.-W. Chu. Perturbation of eigenvalues for matrix polynomials via the Bauer{ Fike theorems. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 25(2003), pp. 551-573.

[10] E. A. Coddington, N. Levinson. Theory of Ordinary Di_erential Equations. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc. 1955.

[11] C. De Boor, H. O. Kreiss. On the condition of the linear systems associated with discretized BVPs of ODEs. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., Vol 23, 1986, pp. 936-939.

[12] J. Demmel. Applied Numerical Linear Algebra. SIAM Press. 1997.

[13] J. -P. Dedieu, F, Tisseur. Perturbation theory for homogeneous polynomial eigenvalue problems. Lin. Alg. Appl., 358: 71-74, 2003.

[14] F. R. Gantmacher. The Theory of Matrices. Vol. I. Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1959.

[15] F. R. Gantmacher. The Theory of Matrices. Vol. II. Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1959.

[16] E. Griepentrog, M. Hanke and R. M□arz. Toward a better understanding of differential algebraic equations (Introductory survey). Seminar Notes Edited by E. Griepentrog, M. Hanke and R. M□arz, Berliner Seminar on Di_erential-Algebraic Equations, 1992. http://www.mathematik.hu-berlin.de/publ/SB-92-1/s Differential-Algebraic Equations.html

[17] I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster, L. Rodman. Matrix Polynomials. Academic Press. 1982.

ISSN- 2394-5125 VOL 7, ISSUE 01, 2020

[18] E. Griepentrog, R. M□arz. Di_erential-Algebraic Equations and Their Numerical Treatment. Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, Band 88, 1896.

[19] G. H. Golub, C. F. Van Loan. Matrix Computations, Third Edition. The Johns Hopkins University Press. 1996.

[20] N. J. Higham. Matrix nearness problems and applications. In M. J. C. Gover and S. Barnett, editors, Applications of Matrix Theory, pages 1-27, Oxford University Press, 1989.

[21] D. J. Higham, N. J. Higham. Structured backward error and condition of generalized eigenvalue problems. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 20 (2) (1998) 493-512.

[22] N. J. Higham, F. Tisseur. More on pseudospectra for polynomial eigenvalue problems and applications in control theory. Lin. Alg. Appl., 351-352: 435-453, 2002.

[23] N. J. Higham, F. Tisseur. Bounds for Eigenvalues of Matrix Polynomials. Lin. Alg. Appl., 358: 5-22, 2003.

[24] N. J. Higham, F. Tisseur, and P. M. Van Dooren. Detecting a de_nite Hermitian pair and a hyperbolic or elliptic quadratic eigenvalue problem, and associated nearness problems. Lin. Alg. Appl., 351-352: 455-474, 2002.

[25] R. A. Horn, C. R. Johnson. Matrix Analysis. Cambridge University Press, July, 1990.