

## UNIFORMITY

G.D. Singh

Dept. of Mathematics, H. D. Jain College, Ara, V.K.S. University, Ara, Bihar, India

### ABSTRACT

In this paper we have studied that a uniform topology induced by a uniform structure on a set is also a topology on the set. Further investigations were carried out to establish the uniform structure which has basically induced a usually topology on the real line  $R_1$ .

**Keywords :** Uniformity, Uniform topology, Uniform structure, product uniformity, topological space, Hausedorff space, metric space

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of uniform spaces on a non-empty set  $X$  has been constructed by A. Weil (1935) [1] in terms of subsets of  $X \times X$ . J.W. Tukey (1940) [2] later provided as alternative description of a uniform structure using covers of  $X$ . After them Bourbaki (1951) [3] defined the uniform space by a certain system of neighbourhoods of diagonal of square  $X \times X$ . The very general Csaszar (1960) [4] defined the uniform space by a certain ordering of set of all subsets of  $X$ . The natural notions of completeness and full-boundness (pre-compactness) are equivalent to the corresponding metric properties for metric space.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we will recall some concepts of uniform spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X, Y$  be two sets. If two each element  $x \in X$  one can associate one and only one element of  $Y$  by some law, then this association is called a **mapping** of  $X$  into  $Y$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. A collection of subsets of  $\tau$  is of subsets of  $X$  into be a **topology** on  $X$  if the following conditions hold: (i)  $\emptyset, X \in \tau$

(ii)  $\{U_\alpha\} \in \tau \Rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha} U_\alpha \in \tau$  (iii)  
 $U \in \tau, V \in \tau \Rightarrow U \cap V \in \tau$ .

The order pair  $(X, \tau)$  is called a **topological space**. Each member  $U$  of  $\tau$  in a Topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , is called an **open set**. The complement of an open set with respect to  $X$  is called a closed set.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological spaces and let  $x \in X$ . A subset  $P$  of  $X$  is said to be a **neighbourhoods** of  $X$  if there exist an open set  $U \in \tau$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq P$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space.  $A$  be a open set of  $X$ , a point  $x$  is said to be a **limit point** of  $A$  if for all open set  $U$  containing  $x$

$$A \cap (U - \{x\}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Here  $x$  may or may not be a member of  $A$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. A subfamily  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\tau$  is said to be a **base** of  $\tau$  if for each  $x \in X$  and each  $U$  in  $\tau$  such that  $x \in U$  there exist a  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $x \in B \subseteq U$ , then  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to be a **base** of  $\tau$ .

A subfamily  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\tau$  is said to be a **sub base** of  $\tau$  if the family consisting of the finite intersection of sets in  $\mathcal{B}$  is a base of  $\tau$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $X$  be a set. Let  $d$  be a real valued function define on the product  $X \times X$  such that

- (i)  $d(x, y) \geq 0$ , and  $= 0$  iff  $x = y$ ;
- (ii)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ ;
- (iii)  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ ,  $x, y, z \in X$  (triangular inequality).

Such a function  $d$  is said to be semi metric or *pseudometric* and  $X$  together with  $d$  is written as  $(X, d)$  is called a *semi metric* space. If  $d$  satisfies only (i) and (iii) then  $d$  is called a *quasimetric* and  $(X, d)$  is called *quasimetric* space.

If, in addition  $d(x, y) = 0$  iff  $x = y$ , then  $d$  is called a metric, and  $X$  together with  $d$  i.e., is called *metric space*.

**Definition 2.7.  $T_0$  space.** A topological space is said to be  **$T_0$ -space**, if  $T_0$  separation axiom satisfied i.e., for any distinct point  $x, y \in X$ , there exist an open set containing one of them but not the other i.e.,  $\exists U \subseteq X$  such that,  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$  or  $y \in U$ ,  $x \notin U$

**Definition 2.8.  $T_1$  space:** A topological space is  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be a  **$T_1$ - space** if  $T_1$  separation axiom satisfied i.e., for any two distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exist open sets  $U$  and  $V$ , where  $x \in U$ ,  $y \notin U$  or  $y \in V$ ,  $x \notin V$ .

**Definition 2.9.  $T_2$ -space or Hausedorff space:** A topological space is  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be a  **$T_2$ -space** or **Hausedorff space** if  $T_2$  separation axiom satisfied i.e., for any two distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exist open sets  $U$  and  $V$ , such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$ ,  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 2.10. Regular space:** A topological space is  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be **regular** if for any closed set  $F$  and for any  $x \notin F$  there exist open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $F \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

### 3. UNIFORMITY AND TOPOLOGY

Now we see that uniformity for a set  $E$  defines a topology.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(E, \{U\})$  be a uniform space. The topology defined by the uniformity  $\{U\}$  is the collection of all subsets  $T$  of  $E$  such that for each  $x \in T$  there is a  $U \in \{U\}$  with

$$U[x] = \{y \in E : (x, y) \in U\} \subseteq T.$$

That the collection  $\mathcal{T}$  of all subsets  $T$  of  $E$  satisfying the condition in the above definition does indeed define a topology is a simple matter of verification.

The relevant information concerning the open and closed subsets of the topology defined by a uniformity is given in the following:

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(E, \{U\})$  be uniform space and Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the topology on  $E$  defined by  $\{U\}$ .

(i) If  $\{B\}$  is a base (or sub base) of the uniformity  $\{U\}$ , then the family  $U[x]$ , where  $U$  runs over  $\{B\}$  is a base (or sub base) of the neighbourhood filter of  $x$ . Hence each  $x \in E$  has a base of neighbourhood filters, each member of which is symmetric (i.e., when  $U$  in  $\{U\}$  is symmetric).

(ii) If  $A^\circ$  is the  $\mathcal{T}$ -interior of a subset  $A \subseteq E$ , then  $A^\circ = \{x \in E : \text{for some } U \in \mathbf{U}, U[x] \subseteq A\}$ .

(iii) If  $\bar{A}$  is the  $\mathcal{T}$ -closure of  $A \subseteq E$ , then  $\bar{A} = \bigcap \{U : A \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ .

**Proof. (i)** If  $\mathbf{B}$  be a base (or sub base) for the uniformity  $\mathbf{U}$ , then for each  $x \in E$ , the family  $\{U[x]\}$ , where  $U$  runs over  $\mathbf{U}$ , forms a base (or sub base) for the neighbourhood filter of  $x$ . Consequently the symmetric neighbourhoods  $U[x]$  form a base of the neighbourhood filter of  $x$  and  $U[x] \cap U^{-1}[x]$  is a symmetric neighbourhood of  $x$ .

(ii) Put  $B = \{x \in E: \text{for some } U \in \mathcal{U}, U[x] \subseteq A\}$ . Then for each  $x \in B$ , there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $U[x] \subseteq B$ . Also there exists  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $V^2 = V \circ V \subseteq U$ . To show that  $V[x] \subseteq B$ , let  $y$

$\in V[x]$ . Then  $V[y] \subseteq V^2[x] \subseteq U[x] \subseteq A$ . Hence  $y \in B$ . But since  $V[x]$  is  $T$  open. It is now clear that each  $T$ -open subset  $C$  of  $A$  is contained in  $B$  since  $C$  contains a subset of the type  $U[x]$ . Hence  $B = A^\circ$ .

(iii)  $x \in \overline{A}$  if and only if, for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $U[x] \cap A \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $x \in U^{-1}$ . Since  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  contains a symmetric member, it follows that  $x \in \overline{A}$  if and only if  $x \in U[A]$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Therefore,  $\overline{A} = \bigcap \{U[A] : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . **4. PRODUCT TOPOLOGY**

**Definition 4.1.** Just as the Cartesian product of topological spaces can be given a topology so the Cartesian product of uniform spaces can be given uniformity. Specially, let  $\{E_j\}$  be a family of uniform spaces. We consider the Cartesian product  $E = \prod E_j$ . We identify  $E \times E$  with the Cartesian product  $\prod (E_j \times E_j)$ . Now take the Cartesian product  $\prod U_j$ , where  $U_j \subseteq E_j \times E_j$  for each index  $j$ , as a subset of  $E \times E$ . Now consider the family of subsets of  $E \times E$  consisting of the restricted product set  $\prod U_j$ , where  $U_j$  is an entourage of  $E_j$  for each index  $j$ . This family constitutes a base for a uniformity, is called the **product uniformity**, and  $E$ , with this uniformity, is called the **uniform product**.

**5. UNIFORMITY AND SEPARATION AXIOMS**

We have seen that how a uniformity on  $E^2$  induces a topology on  $E$  and how the product topology on  $E^2$  is define by the topology on  $E$ . It is natural to expect some relation between the uniformities and the separation axioms define for the associated topologies.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $(E, \mathcal{U})$  be a topological space, where the topology induced by a uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $(E, \mathcal{U})$  is a  $T_3$ -space. Hence if  $(E, \mathcal{U})$  is a  $T_1$ -space, then  $(E, \mathcal{U})$  is regular.

**Proof.** Let  $x \in E$ . For each neighbourhood  $U[x]$  of  $x$ , there exist a  $V$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $V \circ V \subseteq U$ . Then  $V[x] \cap \bigcap \{W \in \mathcal{U} : W[x] \subseteq U\}$  is a closed neighbourhood of  $x$  and  $V[x] \subseteq U[x]$ . By characterization of regular spaces it follows that  $(E, \mathcal{U})$  is a  $T_3$ -space.

Now to show remaining proof let us go to the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $(E, \mathcal{U})$  be a uniform space and let  $\tau$  be the topology define by  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $E$ . The following are equivalent

- (i)  $(E, \mathcal{U})$  is a  $T_1$  space;
- (ii)  $(E, \mathcal{U})$  is a Hausdorff space;
- (iii)  $\bigcap \{U : U \in \mathcal{U}\} = \Delta$ , the diagonal set. (iv)  $(E, \mathcal{U})$  is regular.

**Proof.** We first show (i)  $\iff$  (ii)

Let  $x, y \in E, x \neq y$ . By hypothesis  $x$  has an open neighbourhood  $U$  which does not contain  $y$ . Then by regularity there exist a open neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $\overline{V} \subseteq U$ . Since  $y \notin U$ , it follows that  $y \notin \overline{V}$ . This shows that  $y \in E \setminus \overline{V}$ . Since  $V$  and  $E \setminus \overline{V}$  are open neighborhood of  $x$  and  $y$  respectively, this proves that  $E$  is a Hausdorff space.

So we have  $(E, \mathcal{U})$  is Hausdorff space.

Now by separation axiom we have directly that a Hausdorff space is  $T_1$ -space. That has been proved.

So (i)  $\iff$  (iv) by above theorem. Hence (i)  $\iff$  (ii)  $\iff$  (iv).

**REFERENCES**

- [1] Weil, A., Sur les espaces a structures uniforme. Paris, 1937.
- [2] Tuckey, Y., Convergence and Uniformity in Topology. Princeton, 1940.
- [3] Bourbaki, N., Topologie générale. Actualités Sei. Ind. No. 858. Paris, 1951. [4] Csaszar, A. Fondements de la topologie générale. Budapest, 1960.