

**CHARACTERISTICS, EXAMPLES, IMPORTANCE AS WELL AS
LIMITATIONS OF INSTINCTIVE BEHAVIOR, FACTORS
INFLUENCING BEHAVIORAL PLASTICITY, HUMAN-ANIMAL
INTERACTION, FACTORS INFLUENCING COMMUNICATION AND
TYPES OF ADAPTATION.**

**Vikunta Rao. V¹, Muralinath E.¹, Manjari P.¹, Sravani Pragna K.¹, Kalyan C.¹,
Guru Prasad M², Venkat Navven. A³, Sravani. K.⁴**

¹College of Veterinary Science, Proddatur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

²Vaishnavi microbial Phama pvt.ltd, Hyderabad, India

³Technical Sales Officer, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh, India

⁴Veterinary Officer, Nuzivedu, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT:-

Instinctive behavior is an innate, unrelated response to specific stimuli. Characteristics of instinctive behavior include inherited, unlearned, complex and species-specific. Examples of instinctive behaviors are migration, hunting skills, fight or flight and courtship rituals. Importance of instinctive behavior include survival, reproduction and efficiency. Factors affecting behavior are environmental factors, genetics as well as instincts, learning as well as experience, mating as well as reproductive strategies, circadian rhythms, communication as well as signalling, physiological states, cognitive abilities and human influence. Factors affecting behavioral plasticity include predator-prey interaction, habitat variation, climate as well as weather. Types of communication are visual, auditory, olfactory and tactile. Types of adaptation include behavioral adaptations, physiological adaptation and structural adaptation.

KEY WORDS: Instinctive behavior, migration, nest building, parental care, hunting skills, fight or flight, courtship rituals, web spinning, survival, reproduction, efficiency, inflexibility, genetics as well as instincts, social interactions, circadian rhythms, communication as well as signalling, cognitive abilities, behavioral plasticity, habitat variation, social structure, communication as well as learning, mate selection as well as reproduction, hormonal changes, neurological plasticity, genetic variation, inherited traits, natural selection cognitive abilities, auditory communication, olfactory communication, group living, hierarchies, territoriality, mating rituals, parental care, alarm calls, cooperative hunting, domestication, R-selected species, K-selected species, oviparity, viviparity, ovo viviparity, parental care, sexual selection, marginal value theorem patch selection, beak shape, camouflage, echolocation, seasonal migration, vertical migration.

INTRODUCTION: _

The animal kingdom is a diverse realm loaded with an incredible array of behaviors that never cease to amaze us. From the complex social interactions of primates to the intricate

mating dances of birds, animal behavior offers insights into the evolutionary adaptations and survival strategies that have developed over millions of years.

1. Instinctive Behaviors

Instinctive behavior, also termed as innate behavior, is an innate, unlearned response to specific stimuli. It's typically hardwired into an animal's genetics and doesn't need prior experience or learning.

CHARACTERICS OF INSTINCT BEHAVIOR:-

Inherited: Instincts are genetically moved from one generation to another.

Unlearned: Animals perform instinctive behaviors without prior experience or training.

Complex: Instincts are participated intricate sequences of actions.

Species-Specific: Different species show distinct instinctive behaviors.

Adaptive: Instincts increase an animal's survival as well as reproductive success.

Examples of Instinctive Behaviors:

Migration: Birds and some insects instinctively travel especially long distances to find better resources or suitable breeding grounds.

Nest Building: Many animals, like birds and rodents, instinctively produce nests for shelter and raising offspring.

Parental Care: Maternal instincts prompt animals to care particularly for their young, ensuring their survival as well as well-being.

Hunting Skills: Predators show instinctive hunting behaviors namely stalking, pouncing, or ambushing prey.

Fight or Flight: When faced with danger, animals instinctively decide whether to confront or flee run away the threat.

Courtship Rituals: Many species exhibit elaborate courtship displays that are instinctive and h attract mates.

Web Spinning: Spiders instinctively weave intricate webs to catch prey.

Importance of Instinctive Behavior:

Survival: Instinctive behaviors provide animals with immediate responses especially to critical situations.

Reproduction: Many instincts are geared towards finding mates and raising offspring, ensuring the continuation of the species.

Efficiency: Instincts allow animals to react quickly without necessity to think or learn in rapidly changing environments.

Limitations of Instinctive Behavior:

Inflexibility: Animals may be limited to certain fixed responses, which might not be suitable for novel situations.

No Adaptation: Instincts don't evolve quickly; they might not adjust well particularly to new challenges or environments.

In conclusion, instinctive behavior in animals is a critical aspect of their survival as well as reproductive success. It's shaped by genetics and serves as a foundation for immediate and appropriate responses to various stimuli.

Factors Influencing behaviour:

Genetics and Instincts:

Inherited genetic traits play a significant role in shaping an animal's behavior. Instincts, or innate behaviors, are pre-programmed responses that assist in survival and reproduction.

Environmental Factors:

Habitat and surroundings influence behavior. Different environments need different adaptive behaviors.

Climate, food availability, and presence of predators affect how animals behave.

Learning and Experience:

Animals can learn from interactions with their environment as well as other individuals. Trial and error, operant conditioning, and observational learning contribute to behavioral adaptations.

Social Interactions:

Social species exhibit behaviors affected by interactions with conspecifics. Hierarchies, mating rituals, and communication patterns are influenced by social dynamics.

Mating and Reproductive Strategies:

Animal behavior linked to reproduction includes courtship rituals, mate selection, and parental care. Strategies vary based on species, ranging from monogamy to polygamy.

Circadian Rhythms:

Biological internal clocks affect daily behaviors, namely feeding and sleeping patterns. These rhythms are often tied to light-dark cycles and optimize activities for specific times.

Communication and Signaling:

Animals communicate through vocalizations, body language, and chemical signals (pheromones). These signals convey information about territory, danger, mating readiness and more.

Physiological States:

Hormones and physiological changes affect behavior. Stress, hunger, and reproductive hormones can influence altered behaviors.

Cognitive Abilities:

Animals vary in their cognitive capacities, affecting problem-solving and decision-making abilities.

Intelligence affects learning speed as well as complexity of behaviors.

Human Influence:

Human activities such as habitat destruction and pollution can disturb animal behavior. Domestication and captivity can also result in behavioral changes particularly in animals. Remember, these factors are interconnected and can interact in complex ways to shape an animal's behavior.

Behavioral plasticity:

Behavioral plasticity refers to an organism's capability to adapt and change its behavior in response to varying environmental conditions. It's a key aspect of an organism's flexibility and survival. This concept is often observed in animals along with humans, as they adjust their behaviors to different types of situations namely finding food, avoiding predators, or interacting with others. Behavioral plasticity showcases the capacity for learning, memory, and decision-making, permitting organisms to optimize their actions based on their surroundings.

FACTORS INFLUENCING BEHAVIORAL PLASTICITY:-

Environmental Factors:

Resource Availability: Animals may adapt their behavior dependent on the availability of food, water, shelter, and other resources.

Predator-Prey Interactions: The presence or absence of predators can result in changes particularly in prey behavior to enhance survival.

Habitat Variation: Different habitats may need animals to exhibit different behaviors to adapt to varying conditions.

Climate and Weather: Animals may modify their behaviors according to temperature, humidity, and other weather-related factors.

Social Factors:

Social Structure: Animals in different social structures might show varying behaviors dependent on dominance, cooperation, and competition.

Communication and Learning: The ability to learn from other individuals with the help of observation and imitation can result in behavioral changes.

Mate Selection and Reproduction: Behaviors related to courtship, mating rituals, and parental care can vary dependent on mate availability and reproductive success.

Physiological Factors:

Hormonal Changes: Hormonal fluctuations can affect behaviors related to mating, aggression, and parental care.

Neurological Plasticity: Changes in the brain's structure and function can affect modifications regarding behavior and learning capabilities.

Age and Development: Young animals might display different behaviors compared to adults because of the developmental changes.

Genetic Factors:

Genetic Variation: Genetic differences can result in variations regarding behaviors within a species, permitting for adaptation to different environments.

Inherited Traits: Some behaviors may be passed down through generations, aiding in survival and reproduction.

Evolutionary Factors:

Natural Selection: Behaviors that increase an animal's survival and reproduction are more likely to be passed on to future generations.

Trade-offs: Animals may show flexible behaviors regarding trade-offs between different survival and reproductive strategies.

Learning and Experience:

Trial-and-Error Learning: Animals might modify behaviors with the help of repeated attempts to reach desired outcomes.

Cognitive Abilities: Animals with higher cognitive abilities can show more flexible and adaptive behaviors.

Remember, these factors often interact in complex ways and behavioral plasticity can vary widely among different species and even individuals within a species.

2. COMMUNICATION AND SOCIAL BEHAVIOR

Introduction:

Animals engage in various forms of communication and show complex social behaviors to interact with each other and their environment. Communication lies at the heart of social behavior in animals.

Types of Communication:

Visual: Animals use body language, color changes, and displays to convey information, establish dominance, or attract mates.

Auditory: Vocalizations namely calls, songs, and chirps, help animals communicate over long distances and convey emotions.

Olfactory: Scent marking and pheromones are used to mark territories, signal reproductive readiness, and identify individuals.

Tactile: Touch and physical contact are essential for bonding, grooming, and conveying messages.

Social Structures:

Solitary: Some animals choose to live and hunt alone, minimizing interaction with others.

Group Living: Many species form groups for safety, cooperation, and efficient resource utilization.

Hierarchies: Social hierarchies create dominance and access to resources within groups.

Territoriality: Animals defend and mark territories particularly to secure resources as well as mates.

Reproductive Behavior:

Mating Rituals: Elaborate courtship behaviors and displays assist attract mates and ensure compatibility.

Parental Care: Some animals invest in a heavy manner in raising their offspring, while others exhibit minimal involvement.

Alarm Calls and Cooperation:

Alarm Calls: Many animals emit warning calls to alert others of potential threats, increasing group survival.

Cooperative Hunting: Group hunting strategies enhance hunting success permitting animals to catch larger prey.

Complex Communication:

Dances and Rituals: Some species utilize intricate dances or rituals to convey specific messages, often regarding food sources or mating.

Language-Like Systems: Certain animals, like dolphins and primates, show communication systems with structured elements as well as learned meanings.

Cultural Transmission:

Cultural Behaviors: Some animals learn behaviors from their peers, leading to the spread of local traditions within a group.

Tool Use: Certain species namely apes and birds, demonstrate tool use that can be transferred down through generations.

Adaptations and Evolution:

Evolutionary Advantage: Effective communication and social behavior increase survival as well as reproductive success.

Adaptations: Communication methods and social structures evolve based on environmental as well as ecological factors.

Human-Animal Interaction:

Domestication: Humans have selectively bred animals for specific traits, affecting their communication as well as behavior.

Animal Communication Studies: Studying animal communication helps us understand our own communication patterns and cognitive abilities. In Conclusion, communication and social behavior in animals are diverse and essential aspects of their lives, shaping their interactions, survival, and evolution.

Factors Influencing communication

Ecological Environment:

Habitat type, presence of obstacle and ambient noise influence the range and effectiveness of communication signals. Open areas facilitate long-distance visual and auditory signals, while dense vegetation might limit these signals.

Social Structure:

Hierarchical societies show complex communication to create dominance and maintain social order. Solitary animals might have simpler signals for attracting mates or defending territory.

Reproductive Behavior:

Mating calls, visual displays and chemical cues help individuals find suitable mates. Timing of signals is critical to coincide with breeding seasons.

Predator-Prey Relationships:

Alarm calls or visual signals warn others of imminent danger particularly from predators. Camouflage and cryptic behaviors help prey animals avoid detection.

Intraspecific Competition:

Aggressive displays and vocalizations create dominance and resource access within a group. Territory marking through scent or sound prevents conflicts over resources.

Cognitive Abilities:

Highly intelligent species may use complex signals involving learned meanings and syntax. Simple organisms rely on innate or basic signals.

Environmental Conditions:

Weather, light conditions, and time of day influence signal visibility and audibility. Nocturnal animals often use auditory signals because of the reduction regarding visibility.

Individual Variation:

Physical traits namely size or color can influence an animal's capability to produce or perceive signals.

Unique variations might assist in individual recognition within a group.

Migration and Navigation:

Animals communicate particularly during migration to maintain group cohesion and share information about suitable feeding or resting areas. Navigation cues namely landmarks, celestial cues or Earth's magnetic field assist in long-distance communication.

Learning and Cultural Transmission:

Some animals learn new signals from others in their group, leading to cultural variations in communication. These learned signals can accustom to changing environments or challenges.

3.Reproductive Strategies

Reproductive strategies refer to the various ways that animals have evolved to reproduce and ensure the survival of their offspring. These strategies are affected by factors namely environmental conditions, resources, and predation pressures.

R-Selected Species

R-selected species are manifested by high reproductive rates as well as low parental investment. They produce a large number of offspring with minimal care, as seen in insects such as mosquitoes and some rodents. This strategy expands the chances of a few offspring surviving in unpredictable environments.

K-Selected Species

K-selected species exhibit low reproductive rates as well as high parental investment. They produce fewer offspring but invest more time and energy in raising them. Humans, elephants, and whales are examples of K-selected species, ensuring the survival of their offspring with the help of care and protection.

Oviparity

Oviparous animals lay eggs externally and the embryos develop particularly outside the mother's body. Reptiles, birds, and most fish use this strategy. It permits for dispersal and protection of offspring in various habitats.

Viviparity

Viviparous animals give birth to live young particularly after the embryos develop internally. Mammals along with humans, fall into this category. This strategy provides a controlled environment for embryo development and greater maternal care.

Ovoviviparity

Ovoviviparous animals retain eggs inside their bodies until they hatch, and then give birth to live young. Certain species of sharks and some reptiles come under this category. It unites aspects of both oviparity and viviparity.

Semelparity

Semelparity, or “big-bang” reproduction, involves producing all offspring in a single reproductive event. Salmon are a classic example, as they migrate to their birthplace to spawn and then die. This strategy intensifies the chances of offspring survival in specific conditions.

Iteroparity

Iteroparous species reproduce in multiple reproductive events throughout their lives. Trees, shrubs, and most mammals come under this strategy, permitting for continued reproduction over time.

Parental Care

Parental care involves providing resources, protection, and guidance to offspring. It can range from minimal care to extensive nurturing, based on the species and environmental conditions.

Sexual Selection

Sexual selection affects reproductive strategies by favoring traits that increase an individual’s ability to compete for mates or attract them. This can result in the development of elaborate courtship rituals, bright colors, or physical adaptations. Remember that these reproductive strategies are not mutually exclusive and can vary within species and populations due to ecological factors as well as evolutionary pressures.

4. Foraging and Feeding Behavior

Foraging Strategies:

Animals employ various strategies to search for and obtain food efficiently.

Solitary Foraging: Individuals search for food alone.

Group Foraging: Animals forage in groups, benefiting especially from collective effort.

Central Place Foraging: Animals return to a central location to consume food.

Feeding Modes:

Animals exhibit different ways of feeding, based on their anatomy and diet.

Herbivores: Feed on plants and vegetation.

Carnivores: Prey on other animals.

Omnivores: Consume both plant and animal matter.

Detritivores: Feed on dead organic material.

Optimal Foraging Theory:

Animals tend to intensify their energy intake while minimizing energy expenditure.

Marginal Value Theorem: Animals decide when to leave a feeding site dependent on diminishing returns.

Patch Selection: Animals choose between different food patches based on resource density.

Predator-Prey Dynamics:

Foraging behaviors are affected by the predator-prey relationship.

Ambush Predation: Predators lie in wait for prey to approach.

Active Hunting: Predators in an active manner search for and pursue prey.

Feeding Adaptations:

Animals have evolved specific adaptations for efficient feeding.

Beak Shapes: Birds' beak shapes match their diet (e.g., finches).

Camouflage: Predators use camouflage to approach prey unnoticed.

Echolocation: Bats use echolocation to locate prey in the dark.

Competition and Resource Partitioning:

Animals often share habitats and resources lead to the occurrence of competition.

Competitive Exclusion: Similar species with overlapping niches can't coexist indefinitely.

Resource Partitioning: Species divide resources to decrease competition.

Feeding and Foraging in Social Animals:

Social animals display unique feeding dynamics.

Division of Labor: Different roles in a colony (e.g., worker ants).

Altruistic Behavior: Sacrificing individual food for the benefit of the group (e.g., honeybees).

Migration and Feeding:

Many animals migrate in search of food.

Seasonal Migration: Birds and mammals travel to areas with more quantity of food.

Vertical Migration: Oceanic species show movement between deep and surface waters for feeding.

Learning and Innovation:

Animals can learn new foraging techniques and innovate.

Trial and Error: Trying different methods to find effective ways to obtain food.

Cultural Transmission: Passing on learned behaviors to others in the group.

Human Impact on Foraging:

Human activities can disturb natural foraging behaviors.

Habitat Destruction: Loss of natural habitats influences food availability.

Overfishing: Impacts marine ecosystems and food chains.

5. Migration and Navigation

Definition:

Migration refers to the seasonal movement of animals particularly from one region to another.

Purpose: Animals migrate to discover better resources, escape harsh weather, or breed in suitable areas.

Examples: Birds like swallows migrate south for winter, wildebeests migrate in search of grazing land and monarch butterflies migrate to warmer climates.

Navigation:

Definition: Navigation is the capability of animals to find their way from one place to another.

Mechanisms:

Celestial Navigation: Animals use the sun, moon, stars, and even Earth's magnetic field especially for orientation.

Landmarks: Recognizing especially prominent features namely mountains, rivers, and coastlines aids navigation.

Odor and Chemical Signals: Some animals utilize scents to find their way, especially in water environments.

Social Cues: Following the movement of other members of their species helps animals navigate.

Examples: Sea turtles use Earth's magnetic field to navigate across oceans, homing pigeons rely on magnetic cues and sun positioning and desert ants use visual cues and pedometer-like step counting.

6. Learning and Adaptation

Learning in Animals:

Learning is the process by which animals gain new information or modify their behavior dependent on experience. It permits animals to adjust to changes in their environment, find food, avoid danger, and interact with others effectively.

Types of Learning:

Classical Conditioning: Animals associate a neutral stimulus with a meaningful one to trigger a response (Pavlov's dogs).

Operant Conditioning: Animals learn through consequences, like rewards and punishments (Skinner's experiments).

Observational Learning: Animals learn by watching and imitating the actions of others (social learning).

Adaptations in Animals:

Adaptations are traits or behaviors that have evolved in accordance with environmental challenges, enhancing an animal's chances of survival and reproduction.

Types of Adaptations:

Structural Adaptations: Physical traits that help animals survive (e.g., camouflage, sharp claws, specialized teeth).

Behavioral Adaptations: Actions and behaviors that aid survival (e.g., migration, hibernation, mating rituals).

Physiological Adaptations: Internal changes that enable animals to thrive (e.g., ability to produce venom, thermal regulation).

Examples of Learning and Adaptations:

Birdsong Learning: Some bird species learn their songs via a combination of genetics and imitation.

Tool Use: Certain animals namely chimpanzees and dolphins, have learned to use tools to obtain food.

Camouflage: Animals like chameleons and arctic foxes have developed color-changing abilities to blend into their surroundings.

Migration: Many bird species learn migration routes from older individuals, ensuring particularly successful long-distance journeys.

Hibernation: Animals like bears and squirrels learn to enter a state of hibernation to conserve energy especially during harsh seasons. In conclusion, learning and adaptations in animals are crucial for their survival and reproduction. These processes enable animals to thrive in diverse environments by adjusting their behaviors, traits, and responses to changing conditions.

Conclusion

The study of animal behavior offers a window into the intricate and diverse ways in which creatures interact with their environments and each other. From survival strategies to social dynamics, the behaviors of animals are a testament to the wonders of evolution as well as the complexity of life on Earth. As research continues, our understanding of these behaviors deepens, reminding us of the richness and inter connectedness of the natural world.

References and Further Reading:-

- Acharya, L., & Fenton, M. B. (1992). Echolocation behaviour of vespertilionid bats (*Lasiurus cinereus* and *Lasiurus borealis*) attacking airborne targets including arctiid moths. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 70, 1292–1298. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Adams, D. B. (1980). Motivational systems of agonistic behavior in muroid rodents: A comparative review and neural model. *Aggressive Behavior*, 6, 295–346. [3.0.CO;2-Q>CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Adams, N., & Boice, R. (1989). Development of dominance in domestic rats in laboratory and seminatural environments. *Behavioural Processes*, 19, 127–142. [CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Adler, J. (1975). Chemotaxis in bacteria. *Annual Reviews of Biochemistry*, 44, 341–356. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Alaverdashvili, M., & Whishaw, I. Q. (2013). A behavioral method for identifying recovery and compensation: Hand use in a preclinical stroke model using the single pellet reaching task. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 37, 950–967. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Alaverdashvili, M., Leblond, H., Rossignol, S., & Whishaw, I. Q. (2008). Cineradiographic (video X-ray) analysis of skilled reaching in a single pellet reaching task provides insight into relative contribution of body, head, oral, and forelimb movements in rats. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 192, 232–247. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

- Alberts, J. R. (2005). Infancy. In Whishaw, I. Q. & Kolb, B. (Eds.), *The behavior of the laboratory rat: A handbook with tests* (pp. 266–277). Cambridge: Oxford University Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- Alberts, J. R. (2007). Huddling by rat pups: Ontogeny of individual and group behavior. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 49, 22–32. [CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Alberts, J. R. (2012). Observe, simplify, titrate model, and synthesize: A paradigm for analyzing behavior. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 231, 250–261. [CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Alberts, J. R., & Galef, B. G. (1973). Olfactory cues and movement: Stimuli mediating intraspecific aggression in the wild Norway rat. *Journal of Comparative & Physiological Psychology*, 85, 233–242. [CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Alcock, J. (2013). *Animal behavior: An evolutionary approach*. 10th edition. Cambridge: Sinauer Associates. [Google Scholar](#)
- Aldis, O. (1975). *Play fighting*. Cambridge: Academic Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- Almli, R. C., & Fisher, R. S. (1977). Infant rats: Sensorimotor ontogeny and effects of substantia nigra destruction. *Brain Research Bulletin*, 2, 425–459. [CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Altman, J., & Sudarshan, K. (1975). Postnatal development of locomotion in the laboratory rat. *Animal Behaviour*, 23, 896–920. [CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Altmann, J. (1974). Observational study of behaviour: Sampling methods. *Behaviour*, 48, 1–41. [Google Scholar](#)
- Anderson, D. J., & Perona, P. (2014). Toward a science of computational ethology. *Neuron*, 84, 18–31. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Archer, J. (1988). *The behavioural biology of aggression*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- Archer, J., & Huntingford, F. (1994). Game theory models and escalation of animal fights. In Potegal, M. & Knutson, J. F. (Eds.), *Dynamics of aggression: Biological and social processes in dyads and groups* (pp. 3–31). Cambridge: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. [Google Scholar](#)
- Armitage, J. P. (1997). Behavioural responses of bacteria to light and oxygen. *Archives of Microbiology*, 168, 249–261. [CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Baerends, G. P. (1976). The functional organization of behaviour. *Animal Behaviour*, 24, 726–738. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Barnett, S. A. (1975). *The rat: A study in behavior*. Cambridge: The University of Chicago Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- Barnett, S. A., & Marples, T. G. (1981). The ‘threat posture’ of wild rats: A social signal or an anthropomorphic assumption? In Brain, P. F. & Benton, D. (Eds.), *Multidisciplinary approaches to aggression research* (pp. 39–52). Cambridge: Elsevier/North-Holland Biomedical Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- Barrett, L. (2011). *Beyond the brain: How body and environment shape animal and human minds*. Cambridge: Princeton University Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- Bell, H. C. (2013). *Control in living systems: An exploration of the cybernetic properties of interactive behaviour*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, AB. [Google Scholar](#)
- Bell, H. C. (2014). Behavioral variability in the service of constancy. *International Journal of Comparative Psychology*, 27, 196–217. [Google Scholar](#)

- Bell, H. C., & Pellis, S. M. (2011). A cybernetic perspective on food protection in rats: Simple rules can generate complex and adaptable behaviour. *Animal Behaviour*, 82, 659–666. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Bell, H. C., Johnson, E., Judge, K. A., Cade, W. H., & Pellis, S. M. (2012). How is a cricket like a rat? Insights from the application of cybernetics to evasive food protective behaviour. *Animal Behaviour*, 84, 843–851. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Bell, H. C., Bell, G. D., Schank, J. A., & Pellis, S. M. (2015). Attack and defense of body targets in play fighting: Insights from simulating the ‘keep away game’ in rats. *Adaptive Behaviour*, 23, 371–380. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Bernard, C. (1865/1927). An introduction to the study of experimental medicine (H. C. Green, trans.). Cambridge: Macmillan. [Google Scholar](#)
- Berridge, K. C., & Fentress, J. C. (1986). Deterministic versus probabilistic models of behaviour: Taste-elicited actions in rats as a case study. *Animal Behaviour*, 34, 871–880. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Berthoz, A. (2009). The human brain ‘projects’ upon the world, simplifying principles and rules for perception. In Berthoz, A. & Christen, Y. (Eds.), *Neurobiology of the ‘Umwelt’: How living beings perceive the world* (pp. 17–27). Cambridge: Springer-Verlag. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Berthoz, A., & Christen, Y. (2009). *Neurobiology of the ‘Umwelt’: How living beings perceive the world*. Cambridge: Springer-Verlag. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Blake, B. E., & McCoy, K. A. (2015). Hormonal programming of rat social play behavior: Standardized techniques will aid synthesis and translation to human health. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 55, 184–197. [CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Blanchard, D. C., & Blanchard, R. J. (1990). The colony model of aggression and defense. In Dewsbury, D. A. (Ed.), *Contemporary issues in comparative psychology* (pp. 410–430). Cambridge: Sinauer Associates. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Blanchard, R. J., & Blanchard, D. C. (1994). Environmental targets and sensorimotor systems in aggression and defence. In Cooper, S. J. & Hendrie, C. A. (Eds.), *Ethology and Psychopharmacology* (pp. 133–157). Cambridge: John Wiley & Sons. [Google Scholar](#)
- Blanchard, R. J., Blanchard, D. C., Takahashi, T., & Kelley, M. J. (1977). Attack and defensive behaviour in the albino rat. *Animal Behaviour*, 25, 622–634. [CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Blanchard, R. J., O’Connell, V., & Blanchard, D. C. (1979). Attack and Defensive behaviors in the albino mouse. *Aggressive Behavior*, 5, 622–634. [3.0.CO;2-H>CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Bolhuis, J. J., & Giraldeau, L-A. (2005). *The behavior of animals: Mechanisms, function, and evolution*. Cambridge: Blackwell. [Google Scholar](#)
- Bowers, R. I. (2017). Behavior systems. In Vonk, J. & Shackelford, T. K. (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of animal cognition and behavior* (pp. 1–8). Cambridge: Springer. [Google Scholar](#)
- Brain, P. F. (1981). Differentiating types of attack and defense in rodents. In Brain, P. F. & Benton, D. (Eds.), *Multidisciplinary approaches to aggression research* (pp. 53–77). Cambridge: Elsevier/North-Holland Biomedical Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- Brain, P. F., Parmigiani, S., Blanchard, R., & Mainardi, D. (1990). *Fear and Defense*. Cambridge: Harwood Academic Publishers. [Google Scholar](#)
- Breed, M. D., Meaney, C., Deuth, D., & Bell, W. J. (1981). Agonistic interactions of two cockroach species, *Gromphadorhina portentosa* and *Supella longipalpa* (Orthoptera (Dictyoptera): Blaberidae, Blattellidae). *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society*, 54, 197–208. [Google Scholar](#)

- Brentari, C. (2015). Jakob von Uexküll: The discovery of the Umwelt between biosemiotics and theoretical biology. Cambridge: Springer-Verlag. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Brindley, G. S. (1965). How does an animal that is dropped in a non-upright posture know the angle through which it must turn in the air so that its feet point to the ground? *Journal of Physiology (London)*, 180, 20. [Google Scholar](#)
- Brown, A. E. X., & de Bivort, B. (2018). Ethology as a physical science. *Nature Physics*, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-018-0093-0> [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Brown, A. R., & Teskey, G. C. (2014). Motor cortex is functionally organized as a set of spatially distinct representations for complex movements. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 34, 13574–13585. [CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Brown, R. E. (1985). The rodents II: Suborder Myomorpha. In Brown, R. E. & MacDonald, D. W. (Eds.), *Social odours in mammals*, Vol. 1. (pp. 345–457). Cambridge: Clarendon Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- Burgdorf, J., Kroes, R. A., Moskal, J. R., Pfaus, J. G., Brudzynski, S. M., & Panksepp, J. (2008). Ultrasonic vocalizations of rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) during mating, play, and aggression: Behavioral concomitants, relationship to reward, and self-administration of playback. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, 122, 357–367. [CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Burghardt, G. M. (1980). Behavioral and stimulus correlates of vomeronasal functioning in reptiles: Feeding, grouping, sex, and tongue use. In D. Müller-Schwarze & R. M. Silverstein (Eds.), *Chemical signals. Vertebrates and aquatic invertebrates* (pp. 275–301). Cambridge: Plenum Press. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Burghardt, G. M. (2005). *The genesis of animal play: Testing the limits*. Cambridge: MIT Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- Burghardt, G. M., & Bowers, R. I. (2017). From instinct to behavior systems: An integrated approach to ethological psychology. In Call, J. (Ed.-in-Chief), *APA handbook of comparative psychology: Vol. 1. Basic concepts, methods, neural substrate, and behavior* (pp. 333–364). Cambridge: American Psychological Association. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Burghardt, G. M., Bartmess-LeVasseur, J. N., Browning, S. A., Morrison, K. E., Stec, C. L., Zachau, C. E., & Freeberg, T. M. (2012). Minimizing observer bias in behavioral studies: A review and recommendations. *Ethology*, 118, 511–517. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Burke, C. J., Kisko, T. M., Euston, D. R., & Pellis, S. M. (2018). Do juvenile rats use specific ultrasonic calls to coordinate their social play? *Animal Behaviour*, 140, 81–92. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Burkhart, R. W. Jr. (2005). *Patterns of behavior. Konrad Lorenz, Niko Tinbergen, and the founding of ethology*. Cambridge: The University of Chicago Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- Carter, S. C. (1985). Female sexual behavior. In Siegel, H. I. (Ed.), *The hamster: Reproduction and behavior* (pp. 173–189). Cambridge: Plenum Press. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Casarrubea, M., Magnusson, M. S., Anguera, M. T., Jonsson, G. K., Castañer, M., Santangelo, A., Palacino, M., Aiello, S., Faulisi, F., Raso, G., Puigarnau, S., Camerino, O., di Giovanni, G., & Crescimanno, G. (2018). T-pattern detection and analysis for the discovery of hidden features of behaviour. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 310, 24–32. [CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Cheng, J.-T., Schallert, T., DeRyck, M., & Teitelbaum, P. (1981). Galloping induced by pontine tegmentum damage in rats: A form of “Parkinsonian festination” not blocked by haloperidol. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science (USA)*, 78, 3279–3283. [CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

- Clark, A. (1996). Being there: Putting brain, body and world together again. Cambridge: MIT Press.[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Clark, D. C., & Moore, A. J. (1994). Social interactions and aggression among male Madagascar hissing cockroaches (*Gromphadorhina portentosa*) in groups (Dictyoptera: Blaberidae). *Journal of Insect Behavior*, 7, 199–215.[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Clark, R. W. (2016). The hunting and feeding behavior of wild rattlesnakes. In Schuett, G. W., Reiserer, R. S., Smith, C. F. & Feldner, M. J. (Eds.), *The rattlesnakes of Arizona* (pp. 91–118). Cambridge: Eco Publishing.[Google Scholar](#)
- Colvin, P. V. (1973). Agonistic behaviour in males of five species of voles. *Animal Behaviour*, 21, 471–480.[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Cools, A. R., Brachten, R., Heeren, D., Willemen, A., & Ellenbroek, B. (1990). Search for the neurobiological profile of individual-specific features of Wistar rats. *Brain Research Bulletin*, 24, 49–69.[CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Cooper, W. E. Jr., & Burghardt, G M. (1990). Vomeroolfaction and vomodor. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 16, 103–105.[CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Cowan, P. E. (1981). Early growth and development of roof rats. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 45, 239–250.[Google Scholar](#)
- Cziko, G. (2000). *The things we do. Using the lessons of Bernard and Darwin to understand the what, how, and why of our behavior.* Cambridge: MIT Press.[Google Scholar](#)
- Dawkins, M. S. (2007). *Observing animal behaviour. Design and analysis of quantitative data.* Cambridge: Oxford University Press.[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Delius, J. D. (1969). A stochastic analysis of the maintenance behaviour of skylarks. *Behaviour*, 33, 137–177.[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Dempster, E. R., & Perrin, M. R. (1989). A comparative study of agonistic behaviour in hairy-footed gerbils (genus *Gerbillurus*). *Ethology*, 83, 43–59.[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Donaldson, T. N., Barto, D., Bird, C. W., Magcalas, C. M., Rodriguez, C. I., Fink, B. C., & Hamilton, D. A. (2018). Social order: Using the sequential structure of social interaction to discriminate abnormal social behavior in the rat. *Learning & Motivation*, 61, 41–51.[CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Dorwood, D. F. (1977). A case of comeback: The Cape Barren goose. *Australian Natural History*, 19, 130–135.[Google Scholar](#)
- Drai, D., & Golani, I. (2001). See: A tool for the visualization and analysis of rodent exploratory behavior. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 25, 409–426.[CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Driver, P. M., & Humphries, N. (1988). *Protean behavior: The biology of unpredictability.* Cambridge: Oxford University Press.[Google Scholar](#)
- Dugatkin, L. A., & Trut, L. (2017). *How to tame a fox (and build a dog).* Cambridge: University of Chicago Press.[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Eberhard, W. G. (1990). Imprecision in the behavior of *Leptomorphus* sp. (Diptera, Mycetophilidae) and evolutionary origin of new behavior patterns. *Journal of Insect Behavior*, 3, 327–357.[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Eilam, D. (1997). Postnatal development of body architecture and gait in several rodent species. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 200, 1339–1350.[Google ScholarPubMed](#)

- Eilam, D., & Golani, I. (1988). The ontogeny of exploratory behavior in the house rat (*Rattus rattus*): The mobility gradient. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 21, 679–710.[CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Eilam, D., & Golani, I. (1989). Home base behavior of rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) exploring a novel environment. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 34, 199–211.[CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Eilam, D., & Golani, I. (1990). Home base behavior in amphetamine-treated tame wild rats (*Rattus norvegicus*). *Behavioural Brain Research*, 36, 161–170.[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Eilam, D., Adijes, M., & Velinsky, J. (1995). Uphill locomotion in mole rats: A possible advantage of backward locomotion. *Physiology & Behavior*, 58, 483–489.[CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Ellis, M. E. (1982). Evolution of aversive information processing: A temporal trade-off hypothesis. *Brain, Behavior & Evolution*, 21, 151–160.[CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Eshkol, N., & Wachmann, A. (1958). *Movement notation*. Cambridge: Weidenfeld & Nicholson.[Google Scholar](#)
- Ewert, J-P. (2005). Stimulus perception. In Bolhuis, J. J. & Giraldeau, L-A. (Eds.), *The behavior of animals. Mechanisms, function, and evolution* (pp. 13–40). Cambridge: Blackwell.[Google Scholar](#)
- Fagen, R. A. (1981). *Animal play behavior*. Cambridge: Oxford University Press.[Google Scholar](#)
- Fagen, R., Conitz, J., & Kunibe, E. (2000). Observing behavioral qualities. *International Journal of Comparative Psychology*, 10, 167–179.[Google Scholar](#)
- Fernández-Espejo, E., & Mir, D. (1990). Ethological analysis of the male rat's socioagonistic behaviour in a resident-intruder paradigm. *Aggressive Behavior*, 16, 41–55.[3.0.CO;2-V>CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Field, E. F., & Pellis, S. M. (2008). The brain as the engine of sex differences in the organization of movement in rats. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 37, 30–42.[CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Field, E. F., & Whishaw, I. Q. (2008). Sex differences in the organization of movement. In Becker, J. B., Berkley, K. J., Geary, N., Hampson, E., Herman, J. P., & Young, E. A. (Eds.), *Sex differences in the brain from genes to behavior* (pp.155–175). Cambridge: Oxford University Press.[Google Scholar](#)
- Field, E. F., Whishaw, I. Q., & Pellis, S. M. (1996). An analysis of sex differences in the movement patterns used during the food wrenching and dodging paradigm. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, 110, 298–306.[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Field, E. F., Martens, D. J., Watson, N. V., & Pellis, S. M. (2005). Sex differences in righting from supine to prone: A masculinized skeletomusculature is not required. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, 119, 238–245.[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Finkelstein, L. (1982). What is not measurable, make measurable. *Measurement & Control*, 15, 25–32.[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)
- Flash, T., & Hochner, R. (2005). Motor primitives in vertebrates and invertebrates. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 15, 660–665.[CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Foroud, A., & Pellis, S. M. (2003). The development of 'roughness' in the play fighting of rats: A Laban Movement Analysis perspective. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 42, 35–43.[CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)
- Foroud, A., & Whishaw, I. Q. (2006). Changes in the kinematic structure and non-kinematic features of movements during skilled reaching after stroke: A Laban Movement Analysis in two case studies. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 158, 137–149.[CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed](#)

- Foroud, A., & Whishaw, I.Q. (2012). The consummatory origins of visually guided reaching in human infants: A dynamic integration of whole-body and upper-limb movements. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 231, 343–355. [CrossRef](#)[Google Scholar](#)[PubMed](#)
- Galef, B. G. Jr. (1996). Social enhancement of food preferences in Norway rats: A brief review. In Heyes, C. M. & Galef, B. G. Jr. (Eds.), *Social learning in animals: The roots of culture*, (pp. 49–64). Cambridge: Academic Press. [CrossRef](#)[Google Scholar](#)
- Gallistel, C. R. (1980). *The organization of action: A new synthesis*. Cambridge: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. [Google Scholar](#)
- Gambaryan, P. P. (1974). *How mammals run: Anatomical adaptations*. Cambridge: John Wiley & Sons. [Google Scholar](#)
- Garamszegi, L. Z., Calhim, S., Gergely, N. D., Hurd, P. L., Jørgensen, C., Kutsukake, N., Lajeunesse, M. J., Pollard, K. A., Schielzeth, H., Symonds, M. R. E., & Nakagawa, S. (2009). Changing philosophies and tools for statistical inferences in behavioral ecology. *Behavioral Ecology*, 20, 1363–1375. [CrossRef](#)[Google Scholar](#)
- Geist, V. (1978). On weapons, combat and ecology. In Krames, L., Pliner, P. & Alloway, T. (Eds.), *Advances in the study of communication and affect. Vol. 4, Aggression, dominance and individual spacing* (pp. 1–30). Cambridge: Plenum Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- Glimcher, P. W. (2003). *Decisions, uncertainty, and the brain: The science of neuroeconomics*. Cambridge: MIT Press. [CrossRef](#)[Google Scholar](#)
- Golan, L., Radcliffe, C., Miller, T., O’Connell, B., & Chiszar, D. (1982). Trailing behavior in prairie rattlesnakes (*Crotalus viridus*). *Journal of Herpetology*, 16, 287–293. [Google Scholar](#)
- Golani, I. (1976). Homeostatic motor processes in mammalian interactions: A choreography of display. In Bateson, P. P. G. & Klopfer, P. H. (Eds.), *Perspectives in ethology*, vol. 2. (pp. 69–134). Cambridge: Plenum. [CrossRef](#)[Google Scholar](#)
- Golani, I. (1981). The search for invariants in behavior. In Immelmann, K., Barlow, G. W., Petrinovich, L. & Cain, M. (Eds.), *Behavioral development: The Bielefeld interdisciplinary project* (pp. 372–390). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- Golani, I. (2012). The developmental dynamics of behavioral growth processes in rodent egocentric and allocentric space. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 231, 309–316. [CrossRef](#)[Google Scholar](#)[PubMed](#)
- Golani, I., Wolgin, D. L., & Teitelbaum, P. (1979). A proposed natural geometry of recovery from akinesia in the lateral hypothalamic rat. *Brain Research*, 164, 237–267. [CrossRef](#)[Google Scholar](#)[PubMed](#)